Finite amplitude method in axial basis

ICNT workshop "Physics of exotic nuclei: Theoretical advances and challenges"

> Markus Kortelainen University of Jyväskylä



Proper degrees of freedom



Skyrme energy density:

$$\begin{aligned} H_t^{even}(\mathbf{r}) &= C_t^{\rho} \rho_t^2 + C_t^{\tau} \rho_t \tau_t + C_t^{\Delta \rho} \rho_t \Delta \rho_t + C_t^{\nabla J} \rho_t \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_t + C_t^J J_t^2 \\ H_t^{odd}(\mathbf{r}) &= C_t^s s_t^2 + C_t^j \mathbf{j}_t^2 + C_t^{\Delta s} s_t \cdot \Delta s_t + C^{\nabla j} s_t \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{j}_t + C^T s_t \cdot \mathbf{T}_t \\ C_t^{\rho} &= C_{t0}^{\rho} + C_{tD}^{\rho} \rho_0^{\gamma} , \quad C_t^s &= C_{t0}^s + C_{tD}^s \rho_0^{\gamma} , \quad t = 0,1 \end{aligned}$$

- •Skyrme EDF is constructed from densities and their derivatives, multiplied by coupling constants
- •Usually used in the framework of Hartree-Fock or Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov theory
- •HFB equations solved self-consistently (iterative process)

HFB equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} h-\lambda & \Delta \\ -\Delta^* & -h+\lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_n \\ V_n \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_n \begin{pmatrix} U_n \\ V_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$h_{ij} = \frac{\partial E[\rho, \kappa]}{\partial \rho_{ij}} , \quad \Delta_{ij} = \frac{\partial E[\rho, \kappa]}{\partial \kappa_{ij}}$$



Linear response with matrix-QRPA

•QRPA traditionally formulated in the matrix form (MQRPA)

 $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & A^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \omega \begin{pmatrix} X \\ -Y \end{pmatrix}$

Dimension of matrices A and B increases rapidly when basis size is increased
Dimensions of MQRPA matrices usually reduced by introducing a cut-off parameter v_{crit} for the occupation of canonical states





 \Rightarrow Iterative QRPA method required!

History of FAM-QRPA briefly

•FAM-QRPA method introduced in: T. Nakatsukasa, T. Inakura, K. Yabana, PRC 76, 024318 (2007)



History of FAM-QRPA briefly (cont.)



•Implementation to HFBTHO: M. Stoitsov, M. Kortelainen, T. Nakatsukasa, C. Losa, and W. Nazarewicz, PRC 84, 041305(R) (2011), see details later •Discrete states with FAM: N. Hinohara, M. Kortelainen, W. Nazarewicz, PRC C 87, 064309 (2013), **see talk by Nobuo Hinohara**

History of FAM-QRPA briefly (cont..)

FAM and relativistic mean field models

•Feasibility of the FAM with RMF models: H. Liang T. Nakatsukasa Z. Niu, J. Meng, PRC 87, 054310 (2013)

R (10³ fm⁴/MeV)





History of FAM-QRPA briefly (cont...)

•Effect of pairing channel to the position of the centroid: P. Avogadro, C.A. Bertulani, PRC 88, 044319 (2013)

•Beta-decays with FAM: M. T. Mustonen, T. Shafer, Z. Zenginerler, J. Engel, arXiv:1405.0254 (2014)



Finite amplitude method QRPA

FAM: T. Nakatsukasa, et. al., PRC 76, 024318 (2007)

1) Perform stationary HFB calculation

3) Time-dependent HFB equation now reads

$$i\frac{d\,\delta\,\alpha_{\mu}(t)}{dt} = [H(t),\alpha_{\mu}(t)]$$

5) Polarize system with an external field F



2) Introduce time-dependent q.p. operator as $\alpha_{\mu}(t) = (\alpha_{\mu} + \delta \alpha_{\mu}(t))e^{iE_{\mu}t}$

4) Define oscillating part as

$$\delta \alpha_{\mu}(t) = \eta \sum_{\nu} \alpha_{\nu}^{+} (X_{\nu\mu} e^{-i\omega t} + Y_{\nu\mu}^{*} e^{+i\omega t})$$

Here η is small, and the amplitude of oscillation is also small

6) FAM equations then reads

$$\begin{split} & \left(E_{\mu} + E_{\nu} - \omega \right) X_{\mu\nu} + \delta H^{20}_{\mu\nu}(\omega) = F^{20}_{\mu\nu} \\ & \left(E_{\mu} + E_{\nu} + \omega \right) Y_{\mu\nu} + \delta H^{02}_{\mu\nu}(\omega) = F^{02}_{\mu\nu} \end{split}$$

Solving FAM-QRPA equations



- •FAM equations are solved iteratively
- •A small imaginary width introduced: $\omega \rightarrow \omega + \mathrm{i} \gamma$
- •Similarly as with HFB iterations, X and Y amplitudes eventually converge to solution
- •Broyden method essential for the FAM (uses history of previous iterations to speed-up convergence)



Example of ²⁴Mg with FAM and MQRPA



- •FAM QRPA was implemented to axial HFBTHO code
- •Results with FAM and matrix-QRPA agree.
- •Results independent on expansion parameter η .

Monopole transition strength

M. Stoitsov, M. Kortelainen, T. Nakatsukasa, C. Losa, W. Nazarewicz, PRC 84, 041305(R) (2011)



Comparison to iterative Arnoldi diagonalization

FAM results can be compared to iterative Arnoldi diagonalization method (J. Toivanen, et. al., PRC 81, 034312 (2010))
A test case of ¹²⁶Sn agrees well



Discrete QRPA states with FAM



•Discrete QRPA states can be also accessed with FAM-QRPA

•Contour integration around the QRPA eigen-frequency, in complex plane, gives discrete QRPA amplitudes

K≠0 modes with axial FAM-QRPA

- •Transition operator proportional to spherical harmonic \mathbf{Y}_{LK}
- •K = 0 modes for E2 transitions can be calculated within the same block structure as the HFB
- •In spherical nucleus, due to the Wigner-Eckart theorem, all K modes give the same transition strength function
- •For deformed nuclei, all K modes needed
- •For $K \neq 0$ modes, the transition operator has a different block structure than HFB
- •Need to explicitly linearize density dependent parts (expansion parameter η no longer needed)
- Implementation to HFBTHO

Matrix structure in axial basis for $K \neq 0$ modes



In collaboration with N. Hinohara and W. Nazarewicz

E2 transition rates (spherical nucleus)



In collaboration with N. Hinohara and W. Nazarewicz

Test case of ²⁴Mg



- •Current FAM implementation in HFBTHO, with K≠0 modes, lacks some of the time-odd EDF components (**s**.∇×**j** -term)
- •Test case of oblate deformed ²⁴Mg shows that this term has some impact

In collaboration with N. Hinohara and W. Nazarewicz

Testing spherical nuclei



In collaboration with N. Hinohara and W. Nazarewicz

Testing spherical nuclei



In collaboration with N. Hinohara and W. Nazarewicz

Testing spherical nuclei



In collaboration with N. Hinohara and W. Nazarewicz

Isoscalar quadrupole stregth in ¹⁷⁰Er

PRELIMINARY



In collaboration with N. Hinohara and W. Nazarewicz

Parallelization of the FAM-QRPA



- •New K \neq 0 mode code requires substantially more CPU time
- •MPI setup under construction, which would allow large scale surveys across the nuclear chart
- •Can be parallelized (rather) trivially

Conclusions & Outlook

- •Implementation of $K \neq 0$ modes into the HFBTHO currently in progress (one time-odd term still missing)
- •Computationally $K \neq 0$ mode takes about two times more CPU time
- Another factor of ~2-8 to old FAM implementation, because densities are calculated from density matrices (instead of left-right method)
- •Missing time-odd terms needs to be implemented
- •Direct Coulomb may need some improvements: At the moment it is the largest source of discrepancy between K=0 and K=2 modes in spherical nuclei
- •Parallelization of the FAM module
- Magnetic transitions
- •Novel EDFs and FAM?