Nucleon-pion-state contributions in the determination of the nucleon axial charge

Oliver Bär

Humboldt Universität zu Berlin

Outline

□ Introduction

> Lattice 2015 - Kobe 15 July 2015

### Lattice simulations close to the physical pion mass

- Advantage: No or short chiral extrapolation
  - Systematic uncertainties are much better controlled
- Disadvantage: Some problems get more severe for small pion masses
  - Signal-to-noise problem
  - Presence of multi-particle state contamination in correlation functions

## Excited-state contribution to gA

• Compute 
$$C_3^A(t,t') = \Gamma'_{\alpha\beta} \langle N_\beta(t)A(t')\overline{N}_\alpha(0) \rangle$$
  
 $C_2(t) = \langle N_\alpha(t)\overline{N}_\alpha(0) \rangle$   
 $N_\alpha, \overline{N}_\alpha$ : nucleon fields  $A$ : axial vector current  
• Consider large times  
excited-state contributions  $\Delta E_1 = E_1 - M_N$ 

 $R \xrightarrow{t \gg t' \gg 0} g_A + b_1 e^{-\Delta E_1(t-t')} + \tilde{b}_1 e^{-\Delta E_1 t'} + c_1 e^{-\Delta E_1 t} + \dots$ 

For small pion masses and large volumes (i.e. small momenta)

 $E_1 \approx E_N + E_\pi$  <  $E_2 \approx M_N + 2M_\pi$  <  $E_3 \approx M_{N^*}$ 

•  $N\pi$ -state contribution has the smallest exponential suppression •  $b_1, \tilde{b}_1, c_1$  are volume suppressed and expected to be small How small?

# Topic of this talk

$$R \xrightarrow{t \gg t' \gg 0} g_A + b_1 e^{-\Delta E_1(t-t')} + \tilde{b}_1 e^{-\Delta E_1 t'} + c_1 e^{-\Delta E_1 t} + \dots$$

- Here: Computation of  $b_1, \tilde{b}_1, c_1$  due to  $N\pi$ -states in ChPT
  - provides good estimate for this particular excited-state contamination
- Important: LO ChPT makes a <u>definite prediction</u> for the coefficients i.e. they do not depend on LECs associated with interpolating fields
- Details and full results for the 2pt-function in arXiv:1503.03649

# Baryon ChPT

Framework: Covariant Baryon ChPT

Use literature expressions for Lagrangian and currents Example: leading interaction term



•••

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm int,LO}^{(1)} = \frac{ig_A}{2f} \overline{\Psi} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \sigma^a \Psi \partial_\mu \pi^a \qquad \Psi(x) =$ nucleon-pion Vertex

 $\Psi(x) = \left( egin{array}{c} p(x) \\ n(x) \end{array} 
ight) egin{array}{c} {
m doublet \ of \ nucleon} \\ {
m spinor \ fields} \end{array}$ 

- We assume
  - O isospin symmetry
  - a finite box with spatial extent L,  $\Rightarrow$  discrete spatial momenta
  - infinite time extent T (for simplicity)

# Nucleon interpolating fields



 Map interpolating fields to ChPT based on their symmetry properties

Expand in powers of the pion fields



LEC, only difference between  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  (at this order)

Nagata et al 2008 P. Wein et.al. 2011

# Smeared interpolating fields

Smeared interpolating fields build from smeared quark fields

$$q_{\rm sm}(x) = \int \mathrm{d}^4 y K(x-y)q(y)$$

$$N_{1,\text{sm}} = (\tilde{q}_{\text{sm}}q_{\text{sm}})q_{\text{sm}}$$
$$N_{2,\text{sm}} = (\tilde{q}_{\text{sm}}\gamma_5 q_{\text{sm}})\gamma_5 q_{\text{sm}}$$

- The gauge covariant kernel *K* 
  - depends on smearing (Gaussian, Gradient flow, ... )
  - O is essentially zero for  $|x y| > R_{\text{smear}}$  (``smearing radius'')
  - is diagonal in spinor space
  - ⇒ Smeared fields → transform as unsmeared ones
    - > map to the same pointlike fields as their unsmeared counterparts provided  $R_{\rm smear} \ll \frac{1}{M_{\pi}}$ Different LECs only !

Güsken 1989, Alexandrou et. al. 1991 Lüscher 2013

- Remaining task: Standa
- Feynman diagrams for b) d)Ċ e) h) j) k) m interpolating field interaction vertex current
  - 4 diagrams for the 2-pt function OB 2015

#### $N\pi$ contribution to ratio R

$$\Delta E_n = E_n - M_N$$
$$R = g_A + \sum_{p_n} \left( b_n e^{-\Delta E_n (t - t')} + \tilde{b}_n e^{-\Delta E_n t'} + c_n e^{-\Delta E_n t} \right)$$

General remarks concerning the result

- We find  $b_n = \tilde{b}_n$  (as expected by symmetry)
- The coefficients  $b_n, ilde{b}_n, c_n$  depend only on  $f/M_N$   $g_A$   $M_\pi/M_N$   $M_\pi L$
- No dependence on the LECs associated with the interpolating fields (these enter at higher order) !
- For estimates use  $f/M_N \approx f_{\rm exp}/M_{N,{\rm exp}}$   $g_A \approx g_{A,{\rm exp}}$

► LO ChPT makes a definite prediction for these coefficients as a function of  $M_{\pi}/M_N$  and  $M_{\pi}L$ 

$$\Delta E_n = E_n - M_N$$

$$R = g_A + \sum_{p_n} \left( b_n e^{-\Delta E_n (t - t')} + \tilde{b}_n e^{-\Delta E_n t'} + c_n e^{-\Delta E_n t} \right)$$

General remarks concerning the result

Separate trivial prefactor
 and non-trivial ChPT result

multiplicity of momentum states  $m_1 = 6, m_2 = 12, ...$ 

$$b_n = \frac{m_n}{16(fL)^2 E_\pi L} \overline{b}_n^{3 \mathrm{pt}}$$
 non-trivial ChPT result

$$c_n = c_n^{3\text{pt}} - c_n^{2\text{pt}}$$
$$= \frac{m_n}{16(fL)^2 E_\pi L} \left(\overline{c}_n^{3\text{pt}} - \overline{c}_n^{2\text{pt}}\right)$$

Comment: Expand in  $\frac{p_n}{M_N}$  and keep the leading term only we reproduce the results obtained with Heavy Baryon ChPT

Tiburzi 2015

#### Results for the coefficients



**Observations:** 

 $\overline{c}_n^{3\text{pt}} - \overline{c}_n^{2\text{pt}} \approx -\overline{c}_n^{2\text{pt}} < 0$  $\overline{b}_n^{3\text{pt}} \approx -(\overline{c}_n^{3\text{pt}} - \overline{c}_n^{2\text{pt}}) > 0$ 

#### $N\pi$ contribution to $g_A$

$$\Delta E_n = E_n - M_N$$
$$R = g_A + \sum_{p_n} \left( b_n e^{-\Delta E_n (t - t')} + \tilde{b}_n e^{-\Delta E_n t'} + c_n e^{-\Delta E_n t} \right)$$

• plateau method I 
$$g_A pprox R(t,t'=rac{t}{2})$$

• summation method Maiani et al 1987

Consider

$$S(t) = \int_0^t dt' R(t, t') = \text{const} + t \ m(t) + \dots$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \ g_A \approx m(t)$$

• plateau method 2

- $g_A \approx \frac{C_3^A(t,t'=t/2)}{C_3^V(t,t'=t/2)}$   $\longleftarrow$  3pt-function with vector current
- as a function of sink time t



Observations:

- +2 to +3% shift for plateau method I
  - ▶ -0.5 to -2% shift for summation method and plateau method 2
  - Substantial cancellation effect in the plateau methods due to opposite signs of the coefficients

Vary pion mass:



sink time *t* in fm

 $n \le 3$  $M_{\pi}L = 4$ 

Vary number of included momentum states:



sink time *t* in fm

 $M_{\pi} = 150 \mathrm{MeV}$  $M_{\pi}L = 4$ 

#### **Errors**

- LO calculation only 

   error ?
   perform next-order calculation (straightforward)
- Smeared fields mapped on point-like ChPT fields  $\rightarrow$  error  $O(\frac{1}{M_{\pi}R_{\text{smax}}})$  ?

➡ Crude error estimate for the LO result: 50 - 100 % (??)

- Comment: Impact of 3-particle  $N\pi\pi$  state:
  - suppressed by additional factor  $\frac{1}{2(fL)^2M_{\pi}L}$
  - no momentum degeneracy

probably too small to play any role

# Summary and outlook

- ChPT provides estimates for the  $N\pi$ -state contributions in nucleon correlation functions
- $N\pi$ -state contamination to  $g_A$  is at the few-percent level
- Outlook:
  - Compute the next order
  - O Other observables: Other nucleon charges Momentum fraction  $\langle x \rangle_{u-d}$ Electromagnetic form factors

...

# Backup slides

# $N\pi \text{ contribution to } g_{A} \text{ - analytical results}$ $\Delta E_{n} = E_{n} - M_{N}$ $R = g_{A} + \sum_{p_{n}} \left( b_{n} e^{-\Delta E_{n}(t-t')} + \tilde{b}_{n} e^{-\Delta E_{n}t'} + c_{n} e^{-\Delta E_{n}t} \right)$

$$c_n = c_n^{3\text{pt}} - c_n^{2\text{pt}} = \frac{m_n}{16(fL)^2 E_\pi L} \left( \overline{c}_n^{3\text{pt}} - \overline{c}_n^{2\text{pt}} \right)$$

$$\overline{c}_{n,3\text{pt}} = \frac{1}{3}g_A \left(\overline{g}_{A,n} - 1\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{M_N}{E_{N,n}}\right) \left(\frac{2M_N}{E_{N,n}} - 1\right)$$

$$\overline{c}_{n,2\text{pt}} = 3g_A \left(\overline{g}_{A,n} - 1\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{M_N}{E_{N,n}}\right)$$

$$\overline{g}_A = g_A \frac{E_{\text{tot},n} + M_N}{E_{\text{tot},n} - M_N}$$

# $N\pi \text{ contribution to } g_{A} \text{ - analytical results}$ $\Delta E_{n} = E_{n} - M_{N}$ $R = g_{A} + \sum_{p_{n}} \left( b_{n} e^{-\Delta E_{n}(t-t')} + \tilde{b}_{n} e^{-\Delta E_{n}t'} + c_{n} e^{-\Delta E_{n}t} \right)$ $= b_{n}$

$$b_n = \frac{m_n}{16(fL)^2 E_\pi L} \,\overline{b}_n^{\rm 3pt}$$

$$\overline{b}_{n} = (\overline{g}_{A,n} - 1) \left[ \overline{g}_{A,n} g_{A} \left( 3 + \frac{1}{3} \left( 1 - \frac{2M_{N}}{E_{N}} \right) \right) \dots + \frac{2}{3} g_{A}^{2} \left( 1 + \frac{M_{N}}{E_{N,n}} \right) \frac{E_{N,n} - E_{\pi,n} - M_{N}}{E_{N,n} - E_{\pi,n} + M_{N}} - 4 \right]$$

$$\overline{g}_A = g_A \frac{E_{\text{tot},n} + M_N}{E_{\text{tot},n} - M_N}$$



sink time *t* in fm

 $M_{\pi} = 150 \mathrm{MeV}$  $M_{\pi}L = 4$ 



sink time *t* in fm

 $n \le 3$  $M_{\pi}L = 4$ 



**Observations:** 

2 - 3% shift upwards for plateau method
0.5 - 2% shift downwards for summation method



## Comparison with finite volume effects

$$R = g_A \left( 1 + \delta_{\mathrm{N}\pi} (M_\pi L, M_\pi / M_N) \right)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$g_A(L) = g_A(\infty) \left( 1 + \delta_{\mathrm{FV}} (M_\pi L) \right)$$

combined finite volume/excited state effects