The Wilson flow in scalar field theory

Susanne Ehret



In collaboration with Francesco Capponi, Luigi Del Debbio, Roberto Pellegrini, Antonio Rago

July 2015 - Kobe

Motivation

- Renormalisation of energy-momentum tensor for scalar theory
- EMT relates to β -function

$$\langle \int d^D x \, T_{\mu\mu} \, \phi(x_1) ... \phi(x_n) \rangle$$

= $- \left(\sum_k \beta_k \frac{\partial}{\partial g_k} + n(\gamma_\phi + d_\phi) \right) \langle \phi(x_1) ... \phi(x_n) \rangle$

• $\phi^{\rm 4}\text{-theory}$ in 3 dimensions: toy model for theories with IR fixed point

Motivation

<

- Renormalisation of energy-momentum tensor for scalar theory
- EMT relates to β -function

$$\int d^{D}x T_{\mu\mu} \phi(x_{1})...\phi(x_{n}) \rangle$$

= $-\left(\sum_{k} \beta_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{k}} + n(\gamma_{\phi} + d_{\phi})\right) \langle \phi(x_{1})...\phi(x_{n}) \rangle$

• ϕ^4 -theory in 3 dimensions: toy model for theories with IR fixed point



Gradient flow in ϕ^4

• Euclidean action

$$S = \int d^D x \, \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!}\phi^4\right)$$

• Flow equation [Mohanan, Orginos 2014]

$$\partial_t \varphi(t, x) = \partial^2 \varphi(t, x), \qquad \varphi(t, x)|_{t=0} = \phi(x)$$



• Smoothing effect, radius $r = \sqrt{8t}$

Field Theory in D+1 dimensions

• Action [Lüscher, Weisz 2011]

$$\begin{split} S &= S_{\text{boundary}} + S_{\text{bulk}} \\ S_{\text{bulk}} &= \int_0^\infty dt \int d^D x \; L(t,x) \left(\partial_t - \partial^2\right) \varphi(t,x) \end{split}$$

• Feynman rules

$$\tilde{\varphi}(t,p) \cdots \tilde{\varphi}(s,q) \qquad \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2} e^{-(t+s)p^2}$$
$$\tilde{\varphi}(t,p) \longrightarrow \tilde{L}(s,q) \qquad \theta(t-s) e^{-(t-s)p^2}$$
$$-\lambda$$

Gradient flow and perturbation theory

• Flow equation as gradient of action

$$\partial_t \varphi = \partial^2 \varphi - m^2 \varphi - \frac{\lambda}{3!} \varphi^3$$

- Additional Feynman rule $-\lambda$
- Mass in damping factor $e^{-(t+s)(p^2+m^2)}$
- Self energy of the bulk field φ in 4 dimensions



- Divergence canceled by multiplicative renormalisation of φ , L
- BUT: theory non-renormalisable for non-zero couplings in bulk action

Energy-momentum tensor and Ward identities

• Translational Ward identity

$$\langle \, \delta_{x,\rho} P \, \rangle = - \langle \, P \, \partial_{\mu} T_{\mu\rho}(x) \, \rangle$$

Local operator of translation

$$\delta_{x,
ho}P = rac{\delta P}{\delta \phi(x)} \, \partial_{
ho} \phi(x)$$

• Comparison gauge theory

$$\delta_{x,\rho}P = \frac{\delta P}{\delta A^a_\mu(x)} F^a_{\rho\mu}(x)$$

Wilson flow - gradient flow on the lattice

Lattice action

$$\hat{S} = a^D \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{2} (\hat{\partial}_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 \right)$$

Flow equation

$$\partial_t \varphi(t, x) = \hat{\partial}^2 \varphi(t, x), \qquad \varphi(t, x)|_{t=0} = \phi(x)$$
 $\left(\partial_t + \hat{k}^2\right) \tilde{\varphi}(t, k) = 0$

• Flow equation implemented by numerical integration

Algorithm

- Two parts: [Brower, Tamayo 1989]
 - Metropolis update
 - Swendsen-Wang update: cluster algorithm, not ergodic
- Autocorrelation time

λ	т	L	$\tau(S)$	$ au(arphi^2)$
2	0.4726	8	1.02	2.00
2	0.4741	28	1.05	2.00
100	2.7219	8	1.02	1.00
100	2.7245	28	1.02	1.00
175	3.4118	8	1.02	1.00
175	3.4137	28	1.04	1.00

- Measurements every 200 Metropolis and 40 Cluster
- Simulation time very short, L=8: 1h for 75000 measurements, L=28: 8h for 33000 measurements
- Autocorrelation time monitored for all observables
- Always small: order 1, measurements uncorrelated

Translational Ward identity on the lattice

• Lattice regularisation breaks translation symmetry explicitly

$$\langle \hat{\delta}_{x,\rho} \hat{P} \rangle = - \langle \hat{P} \left(\hat{\partial}_{\mu} \hat{T}_{\mu\rho} + \hat{R}_{\rho} \right) \rangle$$

- \hat{R}_{ρ} gives finite contributions in expectation values
- EMT requires renormalisation
- Renormalised lattice TWI

$$\langle Z_{\delta} \hat{\delta}_{x,\rho} \hat{P} \rangle = -\langle \hat{P} \left(\hat{\partial}_{\mu} [\hat{T}_{\mu\rho}] + [\hat{R}_{\rho}] \right) \rangle$$

• Renormalised $\hat{T}_{\mu\rho}$

$$\begin{split} [\hat{T}_{\mu\rho}] = & c_1 \hat{\partial}_\mu \phi \hat{\partial}_\rho \phi - \delta_{\mu\rho} \left(\frac{c_2}{2} \sum_{\lambda} \hat{\partial}_\lambda \phi \hat{\partial}_\lambda \phi \right. \\ & + \frac{c_3}{2} \hat{\partial}_\mu \phi \hat{\partial}_\mu \phi + \frac{c_4}{4!} \phi^3 \hat{\partial}_\mu \phi + \frac{c_5}{6!} \phi^6 + \frac{c_6}{2} \phi \hat{\partial}_\mu \phi + \frac{c_7}{4!} \phi^4 + \frac{c_8}{2} \phi^2 \right) \end{split}$$

Comparison of renormalised EMT

$$[\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\mu
ho}(\mathsf{x})] = \sum_i c_i \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{T}}^{(i)}_{\mu
ho} - \langle \hat{\mathcal{T}}^{(i)}_{\mu
ho}
ight\}$$

• Gauge theory: 3 operators mix

$$\begin{split} \hat{T}^{[1]}_{\mu\rho} &= -\delta_{\mu\rho} \frac{1}{2g_0^2} \mathrm{tr} \hat{F}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{F}_{\alpha\beta} \\ \hat{T}^{[3]}_{\mu\rho} &= \delta_{\mu\rho} \frac{2}{g_0^2} \left[\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{tr} \hat{F}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{F}_{\alpha\beta} - \mathrm{tr} \hat{F}_{\mu\alpha} \hat{F}_{\mu\alpha} \right] \\ \hat{T}^{[6]}_{\mu\rho} &= (\delta_{\mu\rho} - 1) \frac{2}{g_0^2} \mathrm{tr} \hat{F}_{\mu\alpha} \hat{F}_{\rho\alpha} \end{split}$$

• Scalar theory: 8 operators $\hat{T}^{(i)}_{\mu
ho}$, proportional to

 $\hat{\partial}_{\mu}\phi\hat{\partial}_{\rho}\phi \\ \propto \delta_{\mu\rho}: \sum_{\lambda}\hat{\partial}_{\lambda}\phi\hat{\partial}_{\lambda}\phi, \quad \hat{\partial}_{\mu}\phi\hat{\partial}_{\mu}\phi, \quad \phi^{3}\hat{\partial}_{\mu}\phi, \quad \phi^{6}, \quad \phi\hat{\partial}_{\mu}\phi, \quad \phi^{4}, \quad \phi^{2}$

Renormalisation of the EMT

- Renormalisation condition [Del Debbio, Patella, Rago 2013]
 - Choose probe \hat{P}_T : function of fields at T > 0, then:
 - Coefficients c_i can be tuned such that the EMT is finite
 - $[\hat{R}_{\rho}] \rightarrow 0$

$$Z_{\delta} \left\langle \hat{\delta}_{x,\rho} \hat{P}_{T} \right\rangle = -\sum_{i} c_{i} \left\langle \hat{P}_{T} \ \hat{\partial}_{\mu} \hat{T}^{(i)}_{\mu\rho}(x) \right\rangle$$

• Determination Z_{δ} (test)

$$Z_{\delta} \left\langle \varphi_{z} a^{D} \sum_{y \in V} \hat{\delta}_{y,\rho} \varphi_{x} \right\rangle = \left\langle \varphi_{z} \hat{\partial}_{\rho} \varphi_{x} \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-\frac{r^{2}}{16t}} \right)$$

• Determination c_i : system of 8 equations with 8 different operators $P^{(k)}$

$$Z_{\delta} V^{(k)} = -\sum_{i} c_i M^{(k,i)}$$

Calculation of Z_{δ}

• Agreement MCS and lattice perturbation theory



• Perturbative formula

$$RHS(2t) = -\frac{i}{L^{d}} \sum_{p} e^{ip(z-x)} \frac{\hat{p}_{\rho}}{m^{2} + \hat{p}^{2}} e^{-2t\hat{\rho}^{2} - iap_{\rho}/2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda))$$

$$LHS = Z_{\delta} a^{d} \sum_{y \in D} J(t, x; 0, y) RHS(t)$$

Summary

- Powerful tool for non-perturbative study of QFTs
- High precision measurements
- Define renormalised EMT on the lattice in a meaningful way
- Scaling behaviour, in particular of theories with an IR fixed point

Summary

- Powerful tool for non-perturbative study of QFTs
- High precision measurements
- Define renormalised EMT on the lattice in a meaningful way
- Scaling behaviour, in particular of theories with an IR fixed point

Thank you!

Susceptibility



Susceptibility at lambda=51.000

Figure: Susceptibility at $\lambda = 51$ for different lattice sizes

Susceptibility



Figure: Susceptibility at $\lambda = 2, 26.5, 51, 75.5, 100$ for different lattices sizes

Susceptibility



Figure: Susceptibility at $\lambda = 125, 150, 175, 200, 225$ for different lattice sizes