The density of states approach at finite chemical potential: a numerical study of the Bose gas.

Roberto Pellegrini¹

¹The University of Edinburgh.

In collaboration with L. Bongiovanni K. Langfeld, B. Lucini, A.Rago

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Density of states

• The density of states is the volume of phase-space available to the system at given energy

$$\rho(s) = \int [D\phi] \,\delta(s - S[\phi]). \tag{1}$$

• Partition function and observables can be computed using a simple 1-d integral

$$Z(\beta) = \int ds \rho(s) e^{-\beta s}.$$
 (2)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

LLR

- Recently proposed algorithm to compute the Density of States in systems with continuum degrees of freedom.¹
- Based on the simulation of the system in energy intervals.
- Converges to the true log-derivative of the DOS $\frac{d\rho}{ds}$.²

¹Langfeld, Lucini, Rago PhysRevLett.109.111601 ²Langfeld, Lucini, Pellegrini, Rago in preparation.

on. <□> <⊡> <⊟> < ≣> < ≣> < ≣> ≡ のへ⊙

LLR

- Very efficient for simulation with metastabilities, e.g. 4d compact U(1).
- First order phase transition $\beta_{C_v}(V) = \beta_{C_v}(\infty) + \sum_{k=1}^{k_{max}} B_k V^{-k}$



L _{min}	k _{max}	$\beta_{C_v}(\infty)$	χ^2_{red}
14	1	1.011125(3)	0.91
12	1	1.011121(3)	2.42
12	2	1.011129(4)	0.67
10	1	1.011116(5)	7.44
10	2	1.011127(3)	0.60
8	1	1.011093(5)	90.26
8	2	1.011126(2)	0.62

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Generalised density of states

• At finite chemical potential we have

$$Z = \int \left[D\phi \right] e^{-\beta S_{Re}[\phi] + i\mu S_{Im}[\phi]}$$
(3)

• We can define a generalised density o states

$$P_{\beta}(s) = \int \left[D\phi \right] \delta\left(s - S_{Im}[\phi] \right) e^{-\beta S_{Re}[\phi]}$$
(4)

• The partition function is the Fourier transform of P

$$Z(\beta,\mu) = \int ds P_{\beta}(s) e^{i\mu s}$$
(5)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Bose gas at finite density.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- LLR was already tested on a Z_3 spin model with complex action, where it seems to work. ³
- The relativistic Bose gas is a different test since it is known to undergo a second-order phase transition at μ_c and has continuum degrees of freedom.
- Observables are independent from μ below a threshold μ_c .

³Gattringer, Torek PLB; Langfeld, Lucini PRL

Bose gas at finite density

• Continuum formulation

$$S[\phi] = \partial_{\mu}\phi\partial_{\mu}\phi + (m^2 - \mu^2) \mid \phi \mid^2 + \mu(\phi^*\partial_4\phi - \phi\partial_4\phi^*) + \lambda \mid \phi \mid^4.$$
(6)

On the lattice the chemical potential is introduced as a vector potential

$$S[\phi] = \sum_{x} (2d + m^{2})\phi_{x}^{*}\phi_{x} + \lambda(\phi_{x}^{*}\phi_{x})^{2} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{4} (\phi_{x}^{*}e^{-\mu\delta_{\nu,4}}\phi_{x+\nu} + \phi_{x+\nu}^{*}e^{\mu\delta_{\nu,4}}\phi_{x})$$
(7)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Observables

• To quantify the severity of sign problem we are interested in the expectation value of the phase

$$\langle e^{-S_{lm}} \rangle = e^{-V\delta f}$$
 (8)

• The density of particles is given by

$$\langle n \rangle = \frac{d \log Z}{d\mu} \tag{9}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Generalized DOS results



Why not a fft?

• The partition function is given by a fourier transform of the DOS.

$$Z(\beta,\mu) = \int ds e^{i\mu s} P_{\beta}(s)$$
 (10)

- P is not known exactly but up to noise coming from the Montecarlo simulation.
- The fourier transform of white noise does not depend on the frequency while $Z(\mu)$ is a fast decaying function. The method breaks at relatively small chemical potential.

Filtering the noise

• A much better alternative is a fit of the log-derivative of the density of states.

$$P = exp(\sum_{i} c_{i} x^{2i})$$
(11)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• The error is now in the coefficients and the fourier transform of every power is a fast decaying function.

Polynomial fit.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ● のへで

Evaluating the fourier transform

· We still need to evaluate the fourier transform of

$$Z = \int exp(\sum_{i} c_{i}(\beta, \mu)s^{2i} + i\mu s)ds$$
(12)

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

- Brute-force approach: use numerical integration with multi-precision methods.
- Projection on a base of L^2 with known fourier transform eg. Hermite functions.
- Numerical integration over the Lefschetz Thimble.

Projection on Hermite functions

•
$$\Psi_n(x) = H_n(x)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

•
$$F[\Psi_n(x)] = \lambda_n \Psi_n(x)$$

So that we can project the DOS on this base of functions

$$P(s) \sim \sum_{n} c_{n} \Psi_{n}(s) \tag{13}$$

• We obtain Z as

$$Z(\mu) \sim \sum_{n} \lambda_{n} c_{n} \Psi_{n}(\mu)$$
(14)

Lefschetz Thimble

Let's consider integrals of the type

$$Z = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-S(x)} dx \tag{15}$$

where S(x) is holomorphyc.

• It is possible to deform the integration path in such a way that

$$Z = \sum_{k} m_{k} e^{-iS_{lm}(z_{k})} \int_{J_{k}} dz e^{-S_{Re}(z)}$$
(16)

where z_k are the fixed point i.e. $\partial_z S = 0$. And J_k are the curves of steepest descent.

Lefschetz Thimble

• The curves of steepest descent are parametric curves in the complex plane given by the O.D.E.

$$\dot{x} = -Re\left\{\partial_z S(z)\right\}, \quad \dot{y} = +Im\left\{\partial_z S(z)\right\}$$
(17)

• *m_k* is the number of intersections between the curves of steepest ascent and the original domain of integration.

Thimbles



<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Phase factor



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Conclusions

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- We presented an application of the LLR algorithm to a system with a severe sign problem.
- We believe that with this method we can extract meaningful observable at finite density, at least if the shape of the DOS is regular enough.
- Further studies and development are still needed to decide whether is useful also for more realistic cases.