



Contribution ID: 241

Type: **Talk**

Abelian monopole or non-Abelian monopole responsible for quark confinement

Friday, 17 July 2015 16:50 (20 minutes)

We have pointed out that the $SU(3)$ Yang-Mills theory has a new way of reformulation using new field variables (minimal option), in addition to the conventional option adopted by Cho, Faddeev and Niemi (maximal option).

The reformulation enables us to change the original non-Abelian gauge field into the new field variables such that one of them called the restricted field gives the dominant contribution to quark confinement in the gauge-independent way.

In the minimal option, especially, the restricted field is non-Abelian $U(2)$ and involves the non-Abelian magnetic monopole. In the preceding lattice conferences, we have accumulated the numerical evidences for the non-Abelian magnetic-monopole dominance in addition to the restricted non-Abelian field dominance for quark confinement supporting the non-Abelian dual superconductivity using the minimal option for the $SU(3)$ Yang-Mills theory.

This should be compared with the maximal option which is a gauge invariant version of the Abelian projection in the maximal Abelian gauge: the restricted field is Abelian $U(1) \times U(1)$ and involves only the Abelian magnetic monopole, just like the Abelian projection.

In this talk, we focus on discriminating between two reformulations, i.e., maximal and minimal options of $SU(3)$ Yang-Mills theory for quark confinement from the viewpoint of dual superconductivity. For this purpose, we measure the distribution of the chromoelectric flux connecting a quark and an antiquark and the induced magnetic-monopole current around the flux tube.

Primary author: SHIBATA, Akihiro (Computing Research Center, KEK)

Co-author: Prof. KONDO, Kei-Ichi (Chiba University)

Presenter: SHIBATA, Akihiro (Computing Research Center, KEK)

Session Classification: Vacuum Structure and Confinement

Track Classification: Vacuum Structure and Confinement