

# Pure SU(3) Topological Susceptibility at Finite Temperature with the Wilson Flow

July 15, 2015 | Simon Mages | Szabolcs Borsányi Zoltán Fodor Sándor D. Katz Dániel Nógrádi Kálmán K. Szabó



# Outline

Introduction

Topological Susceptibility with the Wilson Flow

Subvolume Method

Finite Temperature Results

**Discussion and Outlook** 



#### **Motivation**

- Missing part of Standard Model: Dark Matter
- Candidates: MACHOs, WIMPs, axions, ALPs, ...
- Peccei-Quinn mechanism for strong CP problem byproduct: axions
- PQ axion dynamics depend on non-perturbative QCD potential at finite temperature for CP restoration

#### Use Lattice QCD to constrain Dark Matter:

Lattice QCD  $\Rightarrow \chi(T) = f_a^2 m_a(T)^2 \Rightarrow$  Dark Matter



#### **Previous lattice studies**

- [Alles:1996nm,Gattringer:2002mr] etc. 1st gen results
- [Berkowitz:2015aua] large volume/statistics up to 2.5T<sub>c</sub>
- [Kitano:2015fla] reweighting to get  $Q \neq 0$





# **Topological Charge**

Integral

$$Q = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathsf{d}^4 x q(x)$$

over the topological charge density

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F_{\rho\sigma}(x)$$

- discretized in finite volume on  $\mathcal{M}=\mathbb{T}^4$
- sectors with different Q separated by infinite action barrier in continuum
- problem for ergodicity of MC algorithms with small "step" size in field space



# **Topological Susceptibility**

Integral of qq correlator

$$\chi = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathsf{d}x \langle q(\mathbf{0})q(x) 
angle$$

With global translation symmetry on  $\mathcal{M}=\mathbb{T}^4$ 

$$\chi = \frac{1}{V_4} \langle Q^2 \rangle$$

- measurement must sample sectors with  $Q \neq 0$
- difficult for simulations on  $\mathcal{M}=\mathbb{T}^4$



# Renormalization of $\chi$

 $\chi$  has additive and multiplicative renormalization [Alles:1997nu]

$$\chi^{R} = Z\chi + \chi_{0}$$

• cooling makes  $Z \rightarrow 1$  and  $\chi_0 \rightarrow 0$ 

 $\chi(t)$  at finite flow time is already renormalized [Luscher:2010iy]

- sufficient to perform a continuum limit at flow time fixed in physical units, e.g.  $t = w_0^2$
- choice of t impacts size of lattice artefacts

July 15, 2015



# Flow dependence of $\chi(t)$

- $\chi(t)$  has weak dependence on choice of t
- we choose  $t = w_0^2 \approx (0.176 \text{ fm})^2$





# Lattice Setup

#### Pure SU(3)

- Symanzik improved gauge action: O(a<sup>2</sup>) errors
- gluonic q(x) from clover field strength tensor F<sub>μν</sub>: O(a<sup>2</sup>) errors
- update sweep: 1 heatbath + 4 overrelaxation  $\Rightarrow z = 1$

#### Parameters

- 0.1  $T_c \le T \le 4.0 T_c$
- *n*<sub>t</sub> = 5, 6, 8
- spatial volume fixed in physical units  $L_{x,y} = 2/T_c$
- $L_z = 2L_{x,y}$  to enable subvolume analysis

Simulations on the QPACE machines in Wuppertal and Jülich



## Subvolume Trick [Brower:2014bqa]

Possible solution

- discretization of Q is finite volume effect
- continuous  $Q_{sub}$  on finite subvolumes of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and  $\mathbb{T}^4$

• calculate 
$$\chi_{sub} = Q_{sub}^2 / V_{sub}$$

make infinite V<sub>sub</sub> limit instead of infinite V<sub>4</sub> limit

Quenched and T = 0: large  $\chi$ 

plausible, works

Dynamic or  $T \neq 0$ : small  $\chi$ 

- finite volume errors are T independent
- errors larger than  $\chi$  for reasonable volumes



## **Subvolume Trick - Finite Volume Errors**

$$T = 2T_c, N_t = 5, L_{sub} = L_z/2$$

error scales like 1/L





# Subvolume Trick 2

- step from *χ* = ∫<sub>M</sub> dx⟨q(0)q(x)⟩ to *χ* = ⟨Q<sup>2</sup>⟩/V<sub>4</sub> required translation invariance of *M* = T<sup>4</sup>
- not valid for subvolume with boundary  $\Rightarrow$  finite volume error
- large cancellations in integral of correlator ⇒ large finite volume error

#### Alternative:

- introduce largest distance in  $\chi = \int_{\mathcal{M}} dx \langle q(0)q(x) \rangle$
- reduced finite volume errors
- reduced global/topological effects of discretization of Q



## Subvolume Trick 2 - Finite Volume Errors

 $T = 2T_c, N_t = 5, L_{sub} = L_z/2$  no 1/L





#### **Results - Full Volume VS Sub Volume**





### **Result - Lattice Spacing Dependence**





#### **Preliminary Results - Previous Studies**





# **Discussion and Outlook**

#### Technical:

- $\chi$  up to  $T = 4T_c$
- O(a<sup>2</sup>) improved action and operator
- Gluonic charge at finite Wilson Flow time
- new subvolume method with less finite volume errors
   Outlook:
- Continuum extrapolated results
- beat down quenched error with more statistics at T = 4T<sub>c</sub>
- even higher T
- evaluate subvolume method at larger V/V<sub>sub</sub>





#### **Wilson Flow**

flow  $B_{\mu}(t, x)$  defined by

$$egin{aligned} &rac{d}{dt}B_{\mu}=D_{
u}G_{
u\mu},\ & extsf{B}_{\mu}|_{t=0}=A_{\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

with field strength tensor G at finite tGenerated by stout smearing steps

$$egin{aligned} rac{d}{d\hat{t}}V_{\mu} = \mathrm{i} Q_{\mu}V_{\mu}, \ V_{\mu}ert_{t=0} = U_{\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

 $\rightarrow$  approximation by small stout smearing steps with  $r_{smear}^2 = 8t = 8N_{stout}\rho_{stout}$ 

July 15, 2015

Member of the Hel



#### **Stout Smearing**

damp UV fluctuations i.e. high energy part of the spectrum generate "fat" links by

$$\begin{split} U'_{\mu} = & e^{iQ_{\mu}} U_{\mu}, \\ Q_{\mu} = & \frac{i}{2} \left( \Omega^{\dagger}_{\mu} - \Omega_{\mu} - \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}[\Omega^{\dagger}_{\mu} - \Omega_{\mu}] \right), \\ \Omega_{\mu} = & \left( \rho_{\text{Stout}} \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} C_{\mu\nu} \right) U^{\dagger}_{\mu}, \end{split}$$

with staples  $C_{\mu\nu}$  effective smearing radius

$$r_{smear} = a\sqrt{8\rho_{Stout}N_{Stout}}$$





- m. luscher, jhep **1008** (2010) 071 [arxiv:1006.4518 [hep-lat]].
- s. borsanyi, s. durr, z. fodor, c. hoelbling, s. d. katz,
   s. krieg, t. kurth and l. lellouch *et al.*, jhep **1209** (2012)
   010 [arxiv:1203.4469 [hep-lat]].
  - k. symanzik, nucl. phys. b **226** (1983) 187.
- h. baier, h. boettiger, m. drochner, n. eicker, u. fischer, z. fodor, a. frommer and c. gomez *et al.*, pos lat **2009** (2009) 001 [arxiv:0911.2174 [hep-lat]].
- s. borsanyi, g. endrodi, z. fodor, s. d. katz and k. k. szabo, jhep **1207** (2012) 056 [arxiv:1204.6184 [hep-lat]].
- B. Alles, M. D'Elia, A. Di Giacomo and R. Kirchner, Phys. Rev. D **58** (1998) 114506 [hep-lat/9711026].



- R. Kitano and N. Yamada, arXiv:1506.00370 [hep-ph].
- E. Berkowitz, M. I. Buchoff and E. Rinaldi, arXiv:1505.07455 [hep-ph].
- B. Alles, M. D'Elia and A. Di Giacomo, Nucl. Phys. B 494 (1997) 281 [Nucl. Phys. B 679 (2004) 397] [hep-lat/9605013].
- R. C. Brower *et al.* [LSD Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 1, 014503 [arXiv:1403.2761 [hep-lat]].