

SU(3)-breaking effects and induced second-class form factors in hyperon beta decays from 2+1 flavor lattice QCD

Shoichi Sasaki (Tohoku Univ.)



Semi-leptonic decays of octet baryons (p, n, Λ , Σ , Ξ)

$$B_1 \to B_2 + l + \bar{\nu}_l$$



Weak transition process from s-quark to u-quark

Semi-leptonic decays of octet baryons (p, n, Λ , Σ , Ξ)

$$B_1 \to B_2 + l + \bar{\nu}_l$$

✓ Simple V-A structure (weak matrix element)

✓ Described by six form factors

 $\langle B_2 | V_{\alpha} - A_{\alpha} | B_1 \rangle = \bar{u}_{B_2}(p') [\gamma_{\alpha} f_1(q^2) + \sigma_{\alpha\beta} q_{\beta} \frac{f_2(q^2)}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}} + iq_{\alpha} \frac{f_3(q^2)}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}}$ $+ \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_5 g_1(q^2) + \sigma_{\alpha\beta} q_{\beta} \gamma_5 \frac{g_2(q^2)}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}} + iq_{\alpha} \gamma_5 \frac{g_3(q^2)}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}}] u_{B_1}(p)$ $q_{\alpha} = (p_{B_1} - p_{B_2})_{\alpha} = (p_l + p_{\nu})_{\alpha}$

Semi-leptonic decays of octet baryons (p, n, Λ , Σ , Ξ)

$$B_1 \to B_2 + l + \bar{\nu}_l$$

✓ Simple V-A structure (weak matrix element)

- ✓ Described by six form factors
- ✓ four independent channels (iso-spin limit : mu=md)

$$\Lambda \to p \quad \Sigma \to n \quad \Xi \to \Lambda \quad \Xi \to \Sigma$$

Semi-leptonic decays of octet baryons (p, n, Λ , Σ , Ξ)

$B_1 \to B_2 + l + \bar{\nu}_l$

✓ Simple V-A structure (weak matrix element)

✓ Described by six form factors

✓ four independent channels (iso-spin limit : mu=md)

*Unitarity of the CKM matrix (|Vus|)
*Proton spin problem

CKM Unitarity



 \star Hyperon beta decay provides a determination of $|V_{us}|$

Decay rate
$$\propto |V_{us}|^2 |f_1(0)|^2$$



Quantum correction by strong interaction

Recall:

In the exact flavor SU(3) limit, the weak vector coupling doesn't receive any quantum corrections even inside hadrons

SU(3) breaking corrections on Vus



SU(3) breaking effects are less known in hyperon decays

SU(3) breaking corrections on Vus



* Model independent evaluation of flavor SU(3)-breaking corrections is primarily required

* It can be achieved with high accuracy by lattice QCD

2+1 flavor DWF results

- 2+1 flavor RBC+UKQCD gauge configurations
 - Domain wall fermions and Iwasaki gauge action
 - coarser lattice: $L^3 \times T \times L_5 = 24^3 \times 64 \times 16$ ($\beta = 2.13$, $1/a \sim 1.7$ GeV)
 - m_{ud}=0.005, 0.01, 0.02 (3 lightest u,d quark masses)
 - fixed strange quark masses at m_s=0.04
 - ✓ partly published in PRD86 (12) 114502

m_{π} [MeV]	# of meas.	src-sink sep.	
330	240 x 4	12	
420	120 x 4	12	
570	80 x 4	12	

- finer lattice: $L^3 \times T \times L_5 = 32^3 \times 64 \times 16$ ($\beta = 2.25$, $1/a \sim 2.3$ GeV)
 - m_{ud}=0.004, 0.006, 0.008 (3 lightest u,d quark masses)
 - fixed strange quark masses at m_s=0.03
 - partly reported at Lattice 2013
- $\Sigma \rightarrow N$ and $\Xi \rightarrow \Sigma$ decays

mπ [MeV]	# of meas.	src-sink sep.	
290	120 x 8	15	
345	120 x 8	15	
390	120 x 8	15	

Coarse lattice data (published)



SS, Phys. Rev. D86, (2012) 114502

Fitting form:

 $\tilde{f}_1(0) = C_0 + (C_1 + C_2 \cdot (M_K^2 + M_\pi^2)) \cdot (M_K^2 - M_\pi^2)^2$

This functional form is motivated by the Ademollo-Gatto Theorem.

Coarse lattice data (updated)

increase # of src points from 2 to 4



Fitting form:

 $\tilde{f}_1(0) = C_0 + (C_1 + C_2 \cdot (M_K^2 + M_\pi^2)) \cdot (M_K^2 - M_\pi^2)^2$

This functional form is motivated by the Ademollo-Gatto Theorem.

Comparison of f1(0) on coarse and fine lattices

include fine lattice data



good scaling behavior (cutoff effect is small)

Comparison of f1(0) on coarse and fine lattices



needs a detailed study of the strange quark mass dependence since the simulated strange quark mass on both ensembles are not exactly at the physical point

Simultaneous global fitting of both data sets



Take into account the slight deviation of the strange mass from the physical one by using the leading order of ChPT form (GMOR relation) for the pion and kaon masses in combined fits.

Simultaneous global fitting of both data sets



 $f_1^{\Xi \to \Sigma}(0) = +0.9753(28)_{\text{stat.}}(2)_{q^2}(25)_{m_q} \qquad f_1^{\Sigma \to N}(0) = -0.9635(35)_{\text{stat.}}(38)_{q^2}(89)_{m_q}$ less than 1% level accuracy V_{us} is determined by combining the experimental values with **the lattice calculations of f₁(0)**



Vus is determined by combining the experimental values with the lattice calculations of f1(0)



$$\Gamma \approx \frac{G_F}{60\pi^3} (M_{B_1} - M_{B_2})^5 (1 - 3\delta) |V_{us}|^2 |f_1(0)|^2 \left[1 + 3 \left| \frac{g_1(0)}{f_1(0)} \right| + \cdots \right]$$

$$\delta = \frac{M_{B_1} - M_{B_2}}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}} \sim 0.1 - 0.2$$

٦

V_{us} is determined by combining the experimental values with **the lattice calculations of f**₁(**0**)



V_{us} is determined by combining the experimental values with **the lattice calculations of f**₁(**0**)



Exact SU(3) symmetry world

$$\langle B_2 | V_{\alpha} - A_{\alpha} | B_1 \rangle = \bar{u}_{B_2}(p') \left[\gamma_{\alpha} f_1(q^2) + \sigma_{\alpha\beta} q_{\beta} \frac{f_2(q^2)}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}} + iq_{\alpha} \frac{f_3(q^2)}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\text{axial-vector}}{\gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_5 g_1(q^2) + \sigma_{\alpha\beta} q_{\beta} \gamma_5 \frac{g_2(q^2)}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}}} + iq_{\alpha} \gamma_5 \frac{g_3(q^2)}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}} \right] u_{B_1}(p)$$

- time reversal invariance requires all 6 form factor to be real
- transformation properties under the SU(3) analog of G-parity

First-class $Gf_{1,2}(q^2)G^{-1} = +f_{1,2}(q^2)$ $Gg_{1,3}(q^2)G^{-1} = -g_{1,3}(q^2)$ Second-class $Gf_3(q^2)G^{-1} = -f_3(q^2)$ $Gg_2(q^2)G^{-1} = +g_2(q^2)$

SU(3) G-parity invariance requires

 $G = C e^{-i\pi T_{2,5,7}}$

 $f_3(q^2)=0$ $g_2(q^2)=0$ e.g. neutron beta decay

induced scalar form factor induced tensor form factor (weak electricity)

Induced 2nd-class form factors

$$\langle B_2 | V_{\alpha} - A_{\alpha} | B_1 \rangle = \bar{u}_{B_2}(p') \left[\gamma_{\alpha} f_1(q^2) + \sigma_{\alpha\beta} q_{\beta} \frac{f_2(q^2)}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}} + iq_{\alpha} \frac{f_3(q^2)}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\text{axial-vector}}{\gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_5 g_1(q^2) + \sigma_{\alpha\beta} q_{\beta} \gamma_5 \frac{g_2(q^2)}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}}} + iq_{\alpha} \gamma_5 \frac{g_3(q^2)}{M_{B_1} + M_{B_2}} \right] u_{B_1}(p)$$

time reversal invariance requires all 6 form factor to be real

transformation properties under the SU(3) analog of G-parity

First-class $Gf_{1,2}(q^2)G^{-1} = +f_{1,2}(q^2)$ $Gg_{1,3}(q^2)G^{-1} = -g_{1,3}(q^2)$ Second-class $Gf_3(q^2)G^{-1} = -f_3(q^2)$ $Gg_2(q^2)G^{-1} = +g_2(q^2)$

• Flavor SU(3) breaking induces

 $f_{3}(q^{2})$

$$\neq 0 \qquad g_2(q^2) \neq 0$$

e.g. neutron beta decay

 $G = Ce^{-i\pi T_{2,5,7}}$

induced scalar form factor induced tensor form factor (weak electricity)

V_{us} is determined by combining the experimental values with **the lattice calculations of f**₁(**0**)



V_{us} is determined by combining the experimental values with **the lattice calculations of f**₁(**0**)





Full QCD result of g2

Three types of 3-pt functions

$$\Lambda_L^{A,B\to b} \propto \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \mathcal{P}_z^5 \langle \mathcal{O}_b(t_{\mathrm{sink}}) A_z(t) \overline{\mathcal{O}}_B(t_{\mathrm{src}}) \rangle \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{P}_z^5 = (1+\gamma_4) \gamma_5 \gamma_z$$

$$\Lambda_T^{A,B\to b} \propto \operatorname{Tr}\left\{ \mathcal{P}_z^5 \langle \mathcal{O}_b(t_{\mathrm{sink}}) A_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}}(t) \overline{\mathcal{O}}_B(t_{\mathrm{src}}) \rangle \right\}$$

$$\Lambda_0^{A,B\to b} \propto \operatorname{Tr}\left\{ \mathcal{P}_z^5 \langle \mathcal{O}_b(t_{\mathrm{sink}}) A_{\mathbf{t}}(t) \overline{\mathcal{O}}_B(t_{\mathrm{src}}) \rangle \right\}$$

SS, T.Yamazaki, PRD79 (09) 074508

$$\mathbf{q} = (q_x, q_y, q_z) = \frac{2\pi}{L} (1, 0, 0)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \overset{0.0}{\Lambda_L} & \overset{A, B \to b}{\longrightarrow} (q_z = 0) \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\longrightarrow} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\longrightarrow} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{B \to b}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} \\ & \overset{O}{\oplus} & \overset{O$$

Three types of 3-pt functions

\rightarrow Three types of form factors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{M_B - M_b}{M_B + M_b} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{M_b}{M_B + M_b} & \frac{M_b}{M_B + M_b}\\ 1 & -\frac{E_B + M_B}{M_B + M_b} & -\frac{E_B - M_b}{M_B + M_b} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_1^{B \to b}(q^2)\\ g_2^{B \to b}(q^2)\\ g_3^{B \to b}(q^2)\\ g_3^{B \to b}(q^2) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_L^{A, B \to b}(q_z = 0)\\ \Lambda_T^{A, B \to b}\\ \Lambda_0^{A, B \to b} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$g_2^{B \to b}(q^2) = \frac{M_B + M_b}{2M_b} \bigg[\Lambda_L^{A,B \to b}(q_z = 0) \\ - \Lambda_0^{A,B \to b} - \frac{E_B - M_b}{M_b} \Lambda_T^{A,B \to b} \bigg]$$

SS, T.Yamazaki, PRD79 (09) 074508



Direct measurement for g₂ form factor

2+1 flavor DWF simulations

 $g_{2^{\text{bare}}}(q^{L})$

coarser lattice: 24³ x 64 x 16 (β=2.13, 1/a~1.7 GeV)



cf. $\delta_{\text{phys}}=0.120$

Direct measurement for g₂ form factor

CKM Unitarity \Leftrightarrow $g_2(0) \approx 0.47$

$$Z_V = 0.7190(8) \approx Z_A$$



Summary

We have studied the SU(3) breaking effects on hyperon beta decays using 2+1 flavor dynamical lattice QCD.

- \checkmark Hyperon vector coupling $f_1(0)$ reaches a sub percent level accuracy.
 - The current $\Sigma\!\rightarrow\!N$ data with lattice input of f1(0) moves slightly off the CKM unitarity condition.
- ✓ Conversely, $f_1(0) + CKM$ unitarity may expose a size of the induced 2ndclass form factor g_2 , which was less-known and ignored in experiments.
 - g₂(0)~0.4-0.5 ⇔ its size is consistent with the first-order SU(3) symmetry-breaking correction.
 - In lattice **direct** measurement, non-zero g₂ form factor is confirmed and its size is roughly consistent with the indirect estimation.
 - → The CKM unitarity could be satisfied in $\Sigma \rightarrow N$ decay.

Update of f₁(0) value



Only coarse lattice result

$$f_1(0) = -0.9698(106)$$

2+1 flavor DWF calculations SS, PRD86, 114502 (12)

statistics increases

 $f_1(0) = -0.9718(76)$

Combined with both fine and coarse results

 $f_1(0) = -0.9635(35)$

 $\tilde{f}_1(0) = C_0 + (C_1 + C_2 \cdot (M_K^2 + M_\pi^2)) \cdot (M_K^2 - M_\pi^2)^2$

Comparison with ChPT results

✓ The tendency of the SU(3)-breaking correction disagrees with the latest baryon ChPT result.

SU(3) corrections [%]

	$\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ EOMS-CBChPT		$\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ EOMS-CBChPT		2+1f DWF-LQCD
	octet	+ decuplet	octet	+ decuplet	physical point
$\Lambda \to N$	-3.8	-3.1	$-3.6^{+1.2}_{-0.9}$	$+0.1^{+1.3}_{-1.0}$	
$\Sigma \to N$	-0.8	-2.2	$+3.9^{+3.8}_{-2.8}$	$+8.7^{+4.2}_{-3.1}$	-3.7 ± 0.4
$\Xi ightarrow \Lambda$	-2.9	-2.9	$-1.2^{+2.4}_{-1.8}$	$+4.0^{+2.8}_{-2.1}$	
$\Xi \longrightarrow \Sigma$	-3.7	-3.0	$-1.3^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	$+1.7^{+2.2}_{-1.6}$	-2.5 ± 0.3

suggests that baryon ChPT seems to have a serious convergence problem