Real-time simulation of dissipation driven quantum systems

Debasish Banerjee

with F. Hebenstreit, F.-J. Jiang, M. Kon, U.-J. Wiese

DESY (Zeuthen)

Lattice 2015



Introduction

Set-up of the problem

Real-time evolution in a large quantum system

Outlook

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆三 ▶ ○ ● ● ●

The Schwinger-Keldysh (closed-time) contour

- Quantum many-body system governed by $\hat{H}(t)$
- Initial state at t = 0 specified by density-matrix $\hat{\rho}(0)$.
- Evolution of the density matrix: $\frac{d\hat{\rho}(t)}{dt} = -i[\hat{H}(t), \hat{\rho}(t)]$
- Formal solution: $\hat{\rho}(t) = \hat{U}(t,0)\hat{\rho}(0)[\hat{U}(t,0)]^{\dagger}$

$$\hat{U}(t,t') = \mathcal{T} \exp\left[-i \int_{t}^{t'} \hat{H}(\tau) d\tau\right]$$
$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} e^{-i\epsilon \hat{H}(t'-\epsilon)} \cdots e^{-i\epsilon \hat{H}(t+\epsilon)} e^{-i\epsilon \hat{H}(t)}$$

Calculations with normalized density matrix:

$$\beta \qquad \langle \hat{\mathcal{O}}(t) \rangle = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\hat{\rho}}(t) \right\} = \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\mathcal{U}}(0, t) \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\hat{\mathcal{U}}}(t, 0) \hat{\rho}(0) \right\}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{"forward-backward" evolution along the real-time contour.}}{\beta}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Entanglement makes numerics hard.}}{}$$

Measurements and Lindblads

- Idea 1: repeated measurements on the system to reduce entanglement.
- Measurements of an observable Ô_k at time t_k can be represented by projection operator P̂_{O_k}.
- Projects on to the sub-space of the Hilbert space spanned by eigenvectors of \hat{O}_k with eigenvalue O_k .
- $\hat{P}_{O_k}^{\dagger} = \hat{P}_{O_k}; \ \hat{P}_{O_k}^2 = \hat{P}_{O_k}; \ \sum_{O_k} \hat{P}_{O_k} = 1$
- Idea 2: stochastic measurements represent the Markovian, non-unitary dynamics of a quantum system interacting with an environment to a good approximation.
- The effect of the environment on the system is represented by the so-called Lindblad operators, which are related to the projection operators.
- ► They represent the quantum jumps the system makes while interacting with the environment, and given by $\hat{L}_{O_k} = \sqrt{\epsilon \gamma} \hat{P}_{O_k}$.
- ▶ $\gamma \rightarrow$ strength of the coupling to environment and $\epsilon \rightarrow$ discrete time.
- ► Schrödinger eqn → Lindblad eqn:

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = -i[H,\rho] + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{o_k} \left[L_{o_k}\rho(t)L_{o_k}^{\dagger} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ L_{o_k}^{\dagger}L_{o_k},\rho(t) \right\} \right]$$
$$= \gamma \sum_{o_k} \left[P_{o_k}\rho(t)P_{o_k} - \rho(t) \right] \text{ (without H)}$$

Why is the problem with measurements easier?





Path-Integral with measurements

Time-evolution $t_k \to t_{k+1}$ described by $\hat{U}(t_{k+1}, t_k) = \hat{U}(t_k, t_{k+1})^{\dagger}$.

- At time t_k ($k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$) observable \hat{O}_k measured with eigenvalue O_k .
- Consider an initial state, specified by a normalized density matrix $\rho = \sum_{i} \rho_{i} |i\rangle \langle i|$; with $0 \le \rho_{i} \le 1$ and $\sum_{i} \rho_{i} = 1$.
- ► Probability of making a single measurement of \hat{O}_k at time t_k while evolving from t_i to t_f : $p_{\rho f}(O_k) = \sum_i \langle i | \hat{U}(t_i, t_k) \hat{P}_{O_k} \hat{U}(t_k, t_f) | f \rangle \langle f | \hat{U}(t_f, t_k) \hat{P}_{O_k} \hat{U}(t_k, t_i) | i \rangle p_i$
- ► With many measurements, $p_{\rho f}(O_1, O_2, \cdots, O_N) = \sum_i \langle i | \hat{U}(t_i, t_1) \hat{P}_{O_1} \hat{U}(t_1, t_2) \hat{P}_{O_2} \cdots \hat{P}_{O_N} \hat{U}(t_N, t_f) | f \rangle$ $\langle f | \hat{U}(t_f, t_N) \hat{P}_{O_N} \cdots \hat{P}_{O_2} \hat{U}(t_2, t_1) \hat{P}_{O_1} \hat{U}(t_1, t_i) | i \rangle p_i$
- For PI, insert complete set of states ∑_{nk} |n_k⟩⟨n_k| = I; ∑_{n'_k} |n'_k⟩⟨n'_k| = I for the top and bottom contours respectively.
- Unavoidable sign problem for $\langle n_k | \hat{U}(t_k, t_{k+1}) | n_{k+1} \rangle$ and/or $\langle n_{k+1} | \hat{U}(t_{k+1}, t_k) | n_k \rangle$.

Zeroth attempt: remove the Hamiltonian

Take an extreme case: switch off the Hamiltonian completely for the real-time evolution.

 $\hat{U}(t_{k+1},t_k)=\mathbb{I}.$

Can the real-time evolution still be interesting?

- Time-evolution needs to be driven entirely by non-commuting measurements. Need to calculate the matrix elements of projection operators such as \langle n_k | \hftar{P}_{O_k} | n_{k+1} \rangle.
- Use the re-writing:

$$\langle n_{k} | \hat{P}_{O_{k}} | n_{k+1} \rangle \langle n_{k+1}' | \hat{P}_{O_{k}} | n_{k}' \rangle = \langle n_{k} | \hat{P}_{O_{k}} | n_{k+1} \rangle \langle n_{k}' | \hat{P}_{O_{k}}^{\dagger} | n_{k+1}' \rangle$$

$$= \langle n_{k} n_{k}' | \left(\hat{P}_{O_{k}} \otimes \hat{P}_{O_{k}}^{\mathsf{T}} \right) | n_{k} n_{k+1}' \rangle$$

With only the measurements:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\rho i}(o_1, o_2, \cdots, o_N) &= \sum_i \langle i | P_{o_1} P_{o_2} \cdots P_{o_N} | f \rangle \langle f | P_{o_N} \cdots P_{o_2} P_{o_1} | i \rangle p_i \\ &= \sum_i \rho_i \langle i i | (P_{o_1} \otimes P_{o_1}^T) (P_{o_2} \otimes P_{o_2}^T) \cdots (P_{o_N} \otimes P_{o_N}^T) | f f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

► In the doubled Hilbert space of states $|\mathbf{n}_k n'_k\rangle$, for both pieces of the Keldysh contour (using $\langle \mathbf{n}_0 n'_0 | = \langle ii | \& |\mathbf{n}_{N+1} n'_{N+1} \rangle = |ff\rangle$):

$$p_{\rho f}(o_1, o_2, \cdots, o_N) = \sum_{i} p_i \sum_{n_1 n'_1} \cdots \sum_{n_N n'_N} \prod_{k=0}^N \langle n_k n'_k | P_{o_k} \otimes P_{o_k}^T | n_{k+1} n'_{k+1} \rangle$$

・ロト・(四ト・(日下・(日下・))の(で)

A concrete example

- ► For a model of dissipation, intermediate results are unimportant sum over all possible measurement results $\longrightarrow \widetilde{P_k} = \sum_{o_k} P_{o_k} \otimes P_{o_k}^T$.
- The probability $p_{\rho f}$ to reach the final state $|f\rangle$:

$$p_{\rho f} = \sum_{o_1} \sum_{o_2} \cdots \sum_{o_N} p_{\rho f}(o_1, o_2, \cdots, o_N) = \sum_i p_i \sum_{n_1, n'_1} \cdots \sum_{n_N, n'_N} \prod_{k=0}^N \langle n_k n'_k | \tilde{P}_k | n_{k+1} n'_{k+1} \rangle$$

► Example: Two spins \vec{S}_x and \vec{S}_y forming total spin eigenstates: $|1,1\rangle = \uparrow\uparrow, |1,0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow + \downarrow\uparrow), |1,-1\rangle = \downarrow\downarrow; |0,0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\uparrow\downarrow - \downarrow\uparrow)$

Projection operator on spin-1: $P_1 = |1,1\rangle\langle 1,1| + |1,0\rangle\langle 1,0| + |1,-1\rangle\langle 1,-1|$

Projection operator on spin-0: $P_0 = |0, 0\rangle \langle 0, 0|$

$$P_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad P_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Negative entries in P₀ give rise to a sign problem.
- Measurements can also induce sign problems!

The sign-problem and it's solution

In the doubled Hilbert space, $P_1 \otimes P_1^T$, $P_0 \otimes P_0^T$ are 16 × 16 matrix with entries:



Legend: black \rightarrow 1; blue $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$; green $\rightarrow \frac{1}{4}$; red $\rightarrow -\frac{1}{4}$. Summing over all possible results eliminates the sign problem.

- Example of two-spin system easily extendable to large systems, where the environment couples to the total spin (S_x + S_y)² of the spin-pairs S_x and S_y in a spin system.
- System of quantum spins $\frac{1}{2}$ on a square lattice $L \times L$ with periodic boundary conditions.
- To define the initial density matrix β̂ = exp(−βĤ), use the Heisenberg anti-ferromagnet: Ĥ = J∑_{<xy>} S̃_x · S̃_y; J > 0.

Extension to large systems



- Sporadic non-commuting measurements drive dynamics from initial state.
- linitial state \longrightarrow low-T (large β) of antiferromagnet, Néel state.
- Order parameters: uniform and staggered magnetizations

$$M_{u} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x} S_{x}^{3}; \ M_{stag} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x} (-1)^{x_{1}+x_{2}} S_{i}^{3}$$



Lindblad evolution: Structure factors



- Evolution of the Fourier-modes can be parametrized by $\langle |\widetilde{S(p)}|^2 \rangle \rightarrow A(p) + B(p) \exp(-t/\tau(p))$
- For small momenta, $1/[\gamma \tau(p)] = C|pa|^r$ with r = 1.9(2)
- Clearly identifiable two different time scales.
- Final state at $t \to \infty$ is a paramagnet with short range correlations.
- More extensive investigations PRB 92 (2015), 3, 035116 by Hebenstreit et. al. suggest that this exponent might be unique for all 2-spin observables, and evolution about a conserved quantity for various quantum-spin Hamiltonians in (2+1)-d.
- Dynamic universality classes.

Phase Transitions in real-time?



- Staggered susceptibility $\langle M_s^2 \rangle / L^2 \propto L^2$ for small-t.
- For large-t, this becomes independent of volume.
- Breaking of SU(2) symmetry restored at late (real) times.
- Does a phase transition to the symmetry-restored phase take place at finite time t_c?
- Left plot: $\chi_s/L^2 = \langle M_s^2 \rangle/L^4$.
- Right plot: Binder cumulant $\langle M_s^4 \rangle / \langle M_s^2 \rangle^2$.
- Curves do not cross, indicating the transtion is complete only for infinite real-times.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ <

Chi PT for low energy anti-ferromagnets

- SU(2) Heisenberg antiferromagnets in (2+1)-d share many features with QCD.
- For both the systems, the low-energy effective theory can be captured by an effective field theory, which describes the magnon-magnon interaction in anti-ferromagnets, similar to the pion interactions in QCD.

$$S[\vec{e}] = \int d^2 x dt \frac{\rho_s}{2} \left(\partial_i \vec{e} \cdot \partial_i \vec{e} + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t \vec{e} \cdot \partial_t \vec{e} \right)$$

where is a Goldstone boson (magnon) field in $SU(2)/U(1) = S^2$; $\vec{e}(x) = (e_1(x), e_2(x), e_3(x))$, $\vec{e}(x)^2 = 1$

- The low-energy constants of the theorys are the staggered magnetization M_s, the spin stiffness ρ_s, the speed of sound c.
- check the applicability of Eulidean time methods in real-time.
- For example, take the expression for χ_s

$$\chi_{s} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{s}^{2}L^{2}\beta}{3} \left\{ 1 + 2\frac{c}{\rho_{s}Ll}\beta_{1}(l) + \left(\frac{c}{\rho_{s}Ll}\right)^{2} \left[\beta_{1}(l)^{2} + 3\beta_{2}(l)\right] + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{L^{3}}) \right\}$$

Make the LEC's time dependent and see real-time behaviour.

Chiral PT to study the real-time evolution



- Exponential decay of the staggered magnetization: $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}}(t) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}}(0) \exp(-t/\tau)$
- The lengthscale $\xi = c/(2\pi\rho_s)$ diverges as the spin stiffness ρ_s vanishes.
- Destruction of order takes place very rapidly $t = 1/\gamma$ in contrast to the diffusion process, which is a power law.

In progress: 1,2,3, \cdots , ∞

- Ref. PRB 92 (2015), 3, 035116 by Hebenstreit et. al. studied all possible measurement processes using two-spin observables for a variety of models (ferro, anti-ferro Heisenberg model, XY) in (2 + 1)-d. Besides confirming the general picture in this study, we find similar behaviour of the diffusion of the momentum modes around the conserved quantity.
- A real-time transport (spin diffusion) process was studied in Ref: arXiv: 1505.00135 by present authors. Diffusion of ferromagnetic order into an anti-ferromagnet when the systems are kept in contact under the influence of this measurement process.
- Cooling into dark states, instead of heating up.
- Different initial states in different phases in a model with richer phase structure.
- Bring back the Hamiltonian.
- Progess seems possible with fermions in the game as well.

Thank you for your attention



Diffusion of M_u from a ferromagnetic initial state to an anti-ferromagnetic one at different times t = 0, $t = 50/\gamma$ and $t = 500/\gamma$.

Backup 1: Models and Algorithms

- The existing highly efficient loop-cluster algorithm for anti-ferromagnets can be naturally extended to this particular case of real-time evolution.
- Resulting clusters are closed loops extending in both Euclidean and real-time, which are updated together.



backward real-time block

Identical clusters in the forward and backward real-time evolution. Summed over "all intermediate measurements", and all spins are measured in the final state. Cluster bonds are decided with the matrix elements in the matrix $\tilde{P} = P_1 \otimes P_1^T + P_0 \otimes P_0^T$.