Scale setting on the CLS 2+1 Lattices

Stefan Schaefer

Mattia Bruno, Tomasz Korzec, Rainer Sommer

Lattice 2015, Kobe, Japan







CLS large volume simulations

A major goal is Λ parameter in physical units \rightarrow TALK BY SINT

Scale determination from large volume simulations

CLS effort

 $N_{\rm f}=2+1$ flavors of NP improved Wilson fermions Lüscher–Weisz gauge action

 $c_{
m sw}$ determined two years ago Bulava, S.S.'13

open boundary conditions ightarrow no topological freezing as a
ightarrow 0

gauge field generation with openQCD code LÜSCHER, S.S.'12

account of simulations published BRUNO ET AL'15

three lattice spacings $a = 0.05 \, \mathrm{fm}, \ldots, 0.085 \, \mathrm{fm}$

Next step: scale setting via PS decay constants

Ensembles

Chiral trajectory

Generated along lines with

$$\mathrm{cr}(M_{\mathrm{q}}) = \sum_{f} m_{\mathrm{q,f}} = \mathrm{const}$$

Guarantees constant improved coupling

$$ilde{g}_0^2=g_0(1+rac{1}{3}b_{
m g}a{
m tr}M_{
m q})={
m const}$$

Note that

BHATTACHARYA ET AL'06

$$\mathrm{tr}(M^R) = Z_\mathrm{m} r_\mathrm{m} ig[(1 + a ar{d}_\mathrm{m} \mathrm{tr} M_\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{tr} M_\mathrm{q} + a d_\mathrm{m} \mathrm{tr}(M_\mathrm{q}^2)ig]$$

Tuning variables

$$\phi_2 = 8t_0 m_\pi^2 \qquad \phi_4 = 8t_0 (m_{
m K}^2 + {1\over 2} m_\pi^2)$$

Match at symmetric point $m_{
m u}=m_{
m d}=m_{
m s}$ and $m_{\pi}pprox 420\,{
m MeV}$

$$\phi_4 = 1.15$$

Chiral trajectory fixed from there on by $tr(M_q) = const.$

The ensembles



Target at $au_{
m md} > 50 \, au_{
m exp}$

Area of circle $\propto au_{
m md}/ au_{
m exp}$, for largest circles pprox 120

Main chiral trajectory, some more ensembles available

Chiral trajectory



 $\phi_2 = 8t_0 m_\pi^2 \qquad \qquad \phi_4 = 8t_0 (m_{
m K}^2 + {1\over 2} m_\pi^2)$

Discretization effects

How constant is the quark mass's sum?



$$m_{ij} = (\partial_0 f_\mathrm{A}^{ij}(x_0) + a c_A \partial_0 ilde{\partial}_0 f_\mathrm{P}^{ij}(x_0))/2 f_\mathrm{P}(x_0)$$

 $m_{
m sym}=m_{12}+2m_{13}$ at symmetric point.

Non-perturbative $c_{\rm A}$

Expect linear O(a) effects linear in $(1 - \frac{m_{12}}{m_{sym}})^2$.

Significant violations at coarsest lattice spacing.

BULAVA ET AL'15

Mass corrections

Tuning is never perfect

ightarrow want to shift expectation values to different $(m_{
m u},m_{
m s})$

If shifts are not large, compute derivative

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m_{\rm f}} \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial m_{\rm f}} \right\rangle - \left\langle \left(\mathbf{A} - \langle \mathbf{A} \rangle \right) \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial m_{\rm f}} - \left\langle \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial m_{\rm f}} \right\rangle \right) \right\rangle.$$

1 - Stochastic estimate of the derivative of

$$\partial_{m_{\mathrm{f}}} S_{\mathrm{f}}(m_{\mathrm{f}}) = -\partial_{m_{\mathrm{f}}} \mathrm{tr} \log(D+m_{\mathrm{f}}) = -\mathrm{tr}(D+m_{\mathrm{f}})^{-1}$$

2 - Analytic derivatives of 2 pt functions via

$$\partial_{m_{\mathrm{f}}}rac{1}{D+m_{\mathrm{f}}}=-rac{1}{(D+m_{\mathrm{f}})^2}$$

Use first term in expansion to correct measured quantities

$$f(\langle \vec{A}(m') \rangle) \rightarrow f(\langle \vec{A}(m) \rangle) + (m'-m) \partial_m f(\langle \vec{A}(m) \rangle)$$

Mass derivative: examples



Energy density at fixed Wilson flow time $t \ (\approx t_0)$.

 $96 imes 32^3$ lattice, prediction from $m_\pipprox 420\,{
m MeV}$

Moving along $m_{\rm u} = m_{\rm d} = m_{\rm s}$ line.

Significant step in quark mass possible. 4 MeV shift leads to $2\times$ stat. error, 30 MeV shift to $10\times$.

Mass derivative: examples

Pion mass



Moving along $m_{\rm u} = m_{\rm d} = m_{\rm s}$ line.

Roughly $O(4\,MeV)$ change in quark mass $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Error}}$ doubled.

Effect from the sea quarks significant

Curvature negligible

Chiral trajectory



Raw data

After correction

At the moment, most mass corrections extrapolated taken from fit.

Scale setting

Use light pseudoscalar decay constants

$$egin{aligned} f_{\pi\mathrm{K}} &= rac{2}{3}\left[f_{\mathrm{K}} + rac{1}{2}f_{\pi}
ight] \ &= f\left[1 + rac{16B\,\mathrm{tr}(M)}{3f^2}(L_5 + 3L_4) + \mathrm{logs}
ight] \end{aligned}$$

In NLO ChPT combination const up to known log corrections.

Two strategies

1 – Set the scale via t_0

adapted to tuning strategy ambiguity due to different flow definitions get physical value of t_0 from $\sqrt{8t_0}f_{\pi \rm K}$ in continuum

2 – Set the scale via $f_{\pi \mathrm{K}}$

good experience in $N_{\rm f}=2$ need to deal with corrections in mass chiral trajectories at different β no longer match

Discretization effects



Relative discretization effects between the two scales $\sqrt{8t_0}f_{\pi K}$.

At $m_{
m u}=m_{
m d}=m_{
m s}$ with $\phi_4=1.15$

Scales by t_0 and $f_{\pi \mathrm{K}}$ differ at $a \approx 0.085$ fm by $\approx 5\%$

High precision $Z_{\rm A}$ from chirally rotated SF DALLA

DALLA BRIDA, KORZEC

Chiral corrections



Chiral corrections are O(2%)

Bär, Golterman'14

$$t_0(\phi_2) = t_0(0)(1+k_1rac{2m_K^2+m_\pi^2}{4\pi f}+\dots)$$

On our trajectory $2m_K^2 + m_\pi^2 \approx \text{const}$ Spoiled by O(am) effects + higher order corrections.

Chiral corrections

Decay constants



Chiral corrections to physical quark masses of O(5%)

NLO SU(3) ChPT prediction: no free parameters works within 20% of the chiral effect \dots at $m_{\pi} \approx 420$ MeV stretching range of validity

Chiral corrections



Chiral corrections are O(5%)

Depending on model assumptions they are under control on the 1%--2% level.

Lattice spacing



Measurements shifted to chiral trajectories which go through

$$y_{\pi} = rac{m_{\pi}^2}{(4\pi f_{\pi {
m K}})^2} = y_{\pi}^{
m phys} \qquad {
m and} \qquad y_{
m K} = rac{m_{
m K}^2}{(4\pi f_{\pi {
m K}})^2} = y_{
m K}^{
m phys}$$

Increased uncertainties with current data sets \rightarrow lattice spacings at 2% level

Conclusions

CLS effort is paying off: scale setting for running coupling possible

Mass corrections to get on defined line of constant physics are important

Possible without new simulations by measuring the derivatives

4 MeV shift in all three quark masses leads to doubling of the stat error in m_{π} .

 $\sqrt{8t_0} = 0.4122(78)\,{
m fm}$

Goal of 1% accuracy not yet reached Still room for improvement