Coupled channel baryon-baryon interactions on the lattice

Kenji Sasaki (CCS, University of Tsukuba)

for HAL QCD collaboration



HAL (Hadrons to Atomic nuclei from Lattice) QCD Collaboration

S. Aoki (<i>YITP</i>)	T. Doi (<i>RIKEN</i>)	F. Etminan (<i>Birjand U</i> .)	T. Hatsuda (<i>RIKEN</i>)	Y. Ikeda (<i>RIKEN</i>)
T. Inoue (<i>Nihon Univ.</i>)	N. Ishii (<i>RCNP</i>)	K. Murano (<i>RCNP</i>)	H. Nemura (<i>Univ. of Tsukuba</i>)	T. Miyamoto (<i>YITP</i>)
T. Iritani (<i>YITP</i>)	S. Gongyo (<i>YITP</i>)	D. Kawai (<i>YITP</i>)		

Introduction

Introduction

BB interactions are inputs to investigate the nuclear structure



Technical improvements

- Unified Contraction Algorithm,
- Time dependent method,
- Higher partial waves,
- Finite volume method vs potential

Extensions of the method

- Generalized BB interaction
- Charmed baryon system
- Meson-meson,meson-baryon system
- Three-body interaction

S=-2 BB interaction

Interests of S=-2 multi-baryon system

H-dibaryon

The flavor singlet state with J=0 predicted by R.L. Jaffe.

- Strongly attractive color magnetic interaction.
- No quark Pauli principle for flavor singlet state.

Double-∧ hypernucleus

Conclusions of the "NAGARA Event"

K.Nakazawa and KEK-E176 & E373 Collaborators

 Λ −N attraction Λ − Λ weak attraction $m_{H} \ge 2m_{\Lambda} - 6.9 MeV$



Ξ hypernucleus

Conclusions of the "KISO Event"
 K.Nakazawa and KEK-E373 Collaborators

 Ξ –N attraction



SU(3) feature of BB interaction



In view of quark degrees of freedom

Oka, Shimizu and Yazaki NPA464 (1987)

 Short range repulsion in BB interaction could be a result of Pauli principle and color-magnetic interaction for the quarks.

- Strengths of repulsive core in YN and YY interaction are largely depend on their flavor structures.
- For the s-wave BB system, no repulsive core is predicted in flavor singlet state which is known as H-dibaryon channel.

Numerical results

Lists of channels





NE potential is strongly attractive. We can see an attractive pocket at around r=0.7fm. We have to check that the potential is saturated well in this time range.



We can see an attractive pocket at around r=0.7fm.
 We have to check that the potential is saturated well in this time range.

$N\Xi, \Lambda\Sigma$ (I=1) ¹S_o channel



m, $m\pi = 145 \text{ MeV}$ Preliminary!



All diagonal element are totally repulsive in whole range.

•Diagonal NE potential is strongly repulsive unlike the I=0 ${}^{3}S_{1}$ case. It means that the NE potential is strongly depend on the channel.



All diagonal element have a repulsive core and shallow attractive pocket.
 Diagonal NE potential has a shallow attractive pocket.
 We find that NE-ΣΣ transition potential is relatively strong comparing to the other transition potentials

$\Lambda\Lambda$, N Ξ , $\Sigma\Sigma$ (I=0) ¹S₀ channel near the physical point



•All diagonal element have a repulsive core $\Sigma\Sigma - \Sigma\Sigma$ potential is strongly repulsive.

•Diagonal NE potential is more attractive than the $\Lambda\Lambda$ potential.

We need more statistics to discuss physical observables through this potential.

Potentials in ${}^{1}S_{0}$ channel with SU(3) basis



Potential of flavor singlet channel does not have a repulsive core

Potential of flavor octet channel is strongly repulsive which reflects Pauli effect.
 Off-diagonal potentials are visible only in r<1fm region.

H-dibaryon channel (2-ch calculation)

Effective two channel potential

Original coupled channel equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(E^{\Lambda\Lambda} - H_{0}^{\Lambda\Lambda}\right)R^{\Lambda\Lambda}(\vec{r},t) \\ \left(E^{\Xi N} - H_{0}^{\Xi N}\right)R^{\Xi N}(\vec{r},t) \\ \left(E^{\Sigma\Sigma} - H_{0}^{\Sigma\Sigma}\right)R^{\Sigma\Sigma}(\vec{r},t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V^{\Lambda\Lambda}_{\Lambda\Lambda}(\vec{r}) & V^{\Lambda\Lambda}_{\Xi N}(\vec{r}) & V^{\Lambda\Lambda}_{\Sigma\Sigma}(\vec{r}) \\ V^{\Xi N}_{\Lambda\Lambda}(\vec{r}) & V^{\Xi N}_{\Xi N}(\vec{r}) & V^{\Xi N}_{\Sigma\Sigma}(\vec{r}) \\ V^{\Sigma\Sigma}_{\Lambda\Lambda}(\vec{r}) & V^{\Sigma\Sigma}_{\Xi N}(\vec{r}) & V^{\Sigma\Sigma}_{\Sigma\Sigma}(\vec{r}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R^{\Lambda\Lambda}(\vec{r},t) \\ R^{\Xi N}(\vec{r},t) \\ R^{\Sigma\Sigma}(\vec{r},t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Truncation of $\Sigma\Sigma$ channel

Reduced coupled channel equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} (E^{\Lambda\Lambda} - H_0^{\Lambda\Lambda}) R^{\Lambda\Lambda}(\vec{r},t) \\ (E^{\Xi N} - H_0^{\Xi N}) R^{\Xi N}(\vec{r},t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{V_{\Lambda\Lambda}^{\Lambda\Lambda}}(\vec{r}) & \overline{V_{\Xi N}^{\Lambda\Lambda}}(\vec{r}) \\ \overline{V_{\Lambda\Lambda}^{\Xi N}}(\vec{r}) & \overline{V_{\Xi N}^{\Xi N}}(\vec{r}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R^{\Lambda\Lambda}(\vec{r},t) \\ R^{\Xi N}(\vec{r},t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Effective $\Lambda\Lambda$ -NE potential

The same scattering phase shift would be expected in a low energy region.

Non-locality (energy dependence, higher derivative contribution)

of potential matrix could be enhanced.

$\Lambda\Lambda$, N Ξ (I=0) ¹S_o potential (2ch calc.)



- Potential calculated by only using ΛΛ and ΝΞ channels
- Long range part of potential is stable against the time slice
- Short range part of NE potential is largely changed.
- Deviation from potential in 3ch calc. can be seen mainly in r<1fm.</p>



Preliminary!

Kenji Sasaki (University of Tsukuba) for HAL QCD collaboration

$\Lambda\Lambda$ and $N\Xi$ (I=0) $^{1}S_{0}$ phase shift (2ch calculation)

Preliminary!





- $\circ \Lambda \Lambda$ and NE phase shift is calculated by using 2ch effective potential.
- •For both cases, we found the sharp resonance just below the N Ξ threshold.
- Time slice saturation should be checked.
- 3ch calculation(need more statistics)

Summary and outlook

- We have investigated coupled channel S=-2 baryon-baryon interactions from lattice QCD.
 - Simulation is performed near the physical point
 - mπ=145MeV, La=8fm.
- This talk focused on the S=-2 BB interactions
 - $\Lambda\Lambda$ potential is weakly attractive.
 - NE potential is largely depend on the channel.
 - H-dibaryon channel
 - There is strongly attractive potential in flavor singlet state.
 - It is not enough statistics to calculate several observables and to discuss the fate of H-dibayon.

Further investigation will be performed with high statistical data.





Backup

Introduction

Study the hyperon-nucleon (YN) and hyperon-hyperon (YY) interactions



They would be complement each other to complete knowledge of generalized BB interaction.

BB interaction from NBS wave function

$$\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\nabla^2}{2\mu}\right)R_I^{B_1B_2}(t,\vec{r})=\int U(\vec{r},\vec{r}')R_I^{B_1B_2}(t,\vec{r})d^3r'$$

Derivative (velocity) expansion of U is performed to deal with its nonlocality.

For the case of oct-oct system,

$$U(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \begin{bmatrix} V_C(r) + S_{12}V_T(r) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}_s V_{LS}(r) + \vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}_a V_{ALS}(r) \end{bmatrix} + O(\nabla^2)$$

Leading order part

For the case of dec-oct and dec-dec system,

$$U(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \begin{bmatrix} V_C(r) + S_{12}V_{T_1}(r) + S_{ii}V_{T_2}(r) + O(Spin op^3) \end{bmatrix} + O(\nabla^2)$$

Leading order part

$$\equiv \begin{bmatrix} V_C^{eff}(r) \end{bmatrix} + O(\nabla^2) \qquad ((\vec{r}\cdot\vec{S_1})^2 - \frac{\vec{r}^2}{3}\vec{S_1}^2 + (\vec{r}\cdot\vec{S_2})^2 - \frac{\vec{r}^2}{3}\vec{S_2}^2)V_{T^2}(r)$$

We consider the effective central potential which contains not only the genuine central potential but also tensor parts.

Coupled channel Schrödinger equation

NBS wave function with ith energy eigen state

Two-channel coupling case

 $\Psi^{\alpha}(E_i, \vec{r}) = \langle 0 | (B_1 B_2)^{\alpha}(\vec{r}) | E_i \rangle$ $\Psi^{\beta}(E_i, \vec{r}) = \langle 0 | (B_1 B_2)^{\beta}(\vec{r}) | E_i \rangle$

 $\int dr \tilde{\Psi}_{\beta}(E',\vec{r}) \Psi^{\gamma}(E,\vec{r}) = \delta(E'-E) \delta_{\beta}^{\gamma}$

We define potentials which satisfy a coupled channel Schrodinger equation

 $\begin{pmatrix} (p_{\alpha}^{2} + \nabla^{2})\Psi^{\alpha}(E_{i},\vec{r}) \\ (p_{\beta}^{2} + \nabla^{2})\Psi^{\beta}(E_{i},\vec{r}) \end{pmatrix} = \int dr' \begin{pmatrix} U_{\alpha}^{\alpha}(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,') & U_{\beta}^{\alpha}(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,') \\ U_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,') & U_{\beta}^{\beta}(\vec{r},\vec{r}\,') \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^{\alpha}(E_{i},\vec{r}\,') \\ \Psi^{\beta}(E_{i},\vec{r}\,') \end{pmatrix}$

Leading order of velocity expansion and time-derivative method

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\nabla^2}{2\mu_{\alpha}}\right) R_{E_0}^{\alpha}(t,\vec{r}) \\ \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\nabla^2}{2\mu_{\beta}}\right) R_{E_0}^{\beta}(t,\vec{r}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{\alpha}^{\alpha}(\vec{r}) & V_{\beta}^{\alpha}(\vec{r}) \Delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}(t) \\ V_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\vec{r}) \Delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}(t) & V_{\beta}^{\beta}(\vec{r}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{E_0}^{\alpha}(t,\vec{r}) \\ R_{E_0}^{\beta}(t,\vec{r}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{E_0}^{\alpha}(t,\vec{r}) \\ R_{E_0}^{\beta}(t$$

Considering two different energy eigen states

$$\begin{pmatrix} V^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha}(\vec{r}) & V^{\alpha}_{\ \beta}(\vec{r})\Delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} \\ V^{\beta}_{\ \alpha}(\vec{r})\Delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} & V^{\beta}_{\ \beta}(\vec{r}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2\mu_{\alpha}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})R^{\alpha}_{E0}(t,\vec{r}) & (\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2\mu_{\beta}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})R^{\alpha}_{E1}(t,\vec{r}) \\ (\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2\mu_{\alpha}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})R^{\beta}_{E0}(t,\vec{r}) & (\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2\mu_{\beta}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})R^{\beta}_{E1}(t,\vec{r}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R^{\alpha}_{E0}(t,\vec{r}) & R^{\alpha}_{E1}(t,\vec{r}) \\ R^{\beta}_{E0}(t,\vec{r}) & R^{\beta}_{E1}(t,\vec{r}) \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$