## Measurement of Antiquark Flavor Asymmetry in the Proton by the Drell–Yan Experiment SeaQuest at Fermilab

MENU 2016, Meson-Nucleon Physics and the Structure of the Nucleon

Kei Nagai

Tokyo Institute of Technology

27th July, 2016



Contents

1 Introduction

- 2 SeaQuest Experiment: Drell–Yan Process
  - 3 Data Analysis and Results





Kei Nagai (Tokyo Tech)

# 1. Introduction

## Valence Quarks and Sea Quarks

- Valence quarks carry the quantum numbers such as the electric charge and isospin.
- Sea quarks are generated from gluon splitting.



• Probability of gluon splitting is independent of quark flavors.

- Coupling constant is the same.
- ightarrow The amounts of  $u ar{u}$  and  $d ar{d}$  should be the same. "Flavor Symmetry"

Here, the quarks are current quarks:  $m_u$  and  $m_d$  are a few  $MeV/c^2$ .

Kei Nagai (Tokyo Tech)

MENU2016 27-Ns-2 - 4

 Gottfried sum was measured by Deep Inelastic Muon Scattering to test flavor symmetry.

 $S_G \equiv \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \left[ F_2^p(x) - F_2^n(x) \right] = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \left( \int_0^1 \bar{u}_p(x) dx - \int_0^1 \bar{d}_p(x) dx \right)$   $F_2^p(x), \ F_2^n(x) : \text{structure functions of proton and neutron, respectively}$ x : Bjorken x, which is the momentum fraction of parton to proton

 Assuming that the parton distribution functions in neutron and proton have isospin symmetry:

$$\int_{0}^{1} u_{p}(x) dx = \int_{0}^{1} d_{n}(x) dx, \ \int_{0}^{1} d_{p}(x) dx = \int_{0}^{1} u_{n}(x) dx \int_{0}^{1} \bar{u}_{p}(x) dx = \int_{0}^{1} \bar{d}_{n}(x) dx, \ \int_{0}^{1} \bar{d}_{p}(x) dx = \int_{0}^{1} \bar{u}_{n}(x) dx$$

- If  $\bar{d}$  and  $\bar{u}$  in the proton are symmetric, Gottfried Sum is 1/3.
- NMC experiment at CERN (1990)  $S_G = 0.235 \pm 0.026 < 1/3$   $\rightarrow \int_0^1 \bar{d}(x) dx > \int_0^1 \bar{u}(x) dx$

Discovery of "Flavor Asymmetry"



### $\boldsymbol{x}$ Dependence of Flavor Asymmetry

E866 experiment (0.015 < x < 0.35) and NA51 experiment  $(x \sim 0.2)$  measured Bjorken x dependence of  $\bar{d}/\bar{u}$  in the proton using Drell–Yan process.



- $\bar{d}/\bar{u}$  is the ratio of antiquark PDFs of  $\bar{d}$  and  $\bar{u}$
- $\bar{d}/\bar{u}$  deviates from 1.0  $\rightarrow$  "Flavor Asymmetry"

- $\bullet$  70% asymmetry at maximum has been measured at  $x\sim 0.2.$ 
  - · Several models are proposed for explaining this result.
- $x \gtrsim 0.3$ : More accurate measurement is needed.
  - Statistical error is large.

SeaQuest is measuring  $\bar{d}/\bar{u}$  at large x (0.1 < x < 0.45) accurately!!

Understanding the flavor asymmetry of the antiquarks in the proton is a challenge in QCD.

- ${\ensuremath{\, \bullet }}$  It is important to measure with higher accuracy and in wider Bjorken x range.
- Theoretical investigation from the first principle of QCD such as lattice QCD calculation is important.
- QCD effective models and hadron models can also be tested with the flavor asymmetry of antiquarks.

Meson Cloud Model, for example

 A proton wave function contains virtual meson wave functions;

$$|\mathbf{p}\rangle = \alpha |\mathbf{p}_0\rangle + \beta |\mathbf{n}\pi^+\rangle + \gamma |\Delta^{++}\pi^-\rangle + \cdots$$

- $p \rightarrow n + \pi^+$ :  $\pi^+$  includes  $\bar{d}$ .
- $\mathbf{p} \rightarrow \Delta^{++} + \pi^-$ :  $\pi^-$  includes  $\bar{u}$ .
  - \* Probability of  $p \rightarrow n + \pi^+$  is higher than that of  $p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} + \pi^-$ .
  - ★ It leads to  $\bar{d} > \bar{u}$ .



SeaQuest experiment provides the new data points.

It will be useful for understanding the structure of the proton.

## 2. SeaQuest Experiment: Drell-Yan Process

#### SeaQuest Experiment

- Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (Fermilab)
  - $^\circ~$  Main Injector: 120 GeV ( $\sqrt{s}=15~{\rm GeV})$  proton beam
- Collaboration: Japan, USA, Taiwan
- Drell–Yan experiment
  - $\circ \ q\bar{q} \to \gamma \to \mu^+\mu^-$
  - An antiquark is always involved in the interaction. → Drell–Yan process can directly access antiquarks
  - We measure the momenta of muons in the final state
    - $\star~$  Typical momentum of the muon  $\sim~40~{\rm GeV}$
- Measurements with Drell–Yan Process
  - Antiquark Flavor Asymmetry
  - Boer–Mulders Function
  - Partonic Energy Loss





#### Collaboration

- \* Abilene Christian University
- \* Academia Sinica
- \* Argonne National Laboratory
- \* University of Colorado
- Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
- University of Illinois
- \* KEK
- \* Los Alamos National Laboratory

#### Japanese Group

- \* University of Maryland
- \* University of Michigan
- National Kaohsiung Normal University
- \* RIKEN
- \* Rutgers University
- Tokyo Institute of Technology
- \* Yamagata University

- o In charge of running and managing drift chambers
- Two of the drift chambers (St. 3 chambers) are built with JSPS KAKENHI

#### Timeline

Year	Month		Year	Month	
2009	04	Building detectors	2014	09-10	Accelerator Shutdown
2011	08			11–	Run III
2012	02.04	Commissioning Run	2015	07-09	Accelerator Shutdown
2012	03-04	(Run I)		10-	Run IV
	05–	Upgrade detectors	2016	08-11?	Accelerator Shutdown
2013	11–	Run II	2016	11?	Run V

• Run IV is about to finish

- obtained:
  - $1.1\times 10^{18}~{\rm protons}$
- Proposal:  $3.4 \times 10^{18}$  protons
- Run V will start later this year.
  - $^\circ~$  Total with Run V will be  $1.5\times10^{18}.$
  - Reach 50% of proposal.



#### Spectrometer



Measures momenta of dimuons from Drell-Yan process.

- Targets:
  - proton, deuteron, carbon, iron and tungsten
- Hadron absorbers:
  - Downstream the targets, to stop the proton beam
  - Between St. 3 and St. 4 for muon identification

- Four Tracking "Stations":
  - Hodoscopes for Trigger.
  - Drift Chambers or Proportional Tubes for Tracking.
- Two Dipole Magnets:
  - Focuses the muons and dumps the beam.
  - Determines muon momenta.

- Beam energy: 120 GeV
  - $\circ~$  Center of mass energy  $\sqrt{s}=15~{\rm GeV}$
- 5 seconds of the beam is provided every 60 seconds.
  - The other 55 seconds of the beam is used for a neutrino experiment at Fermilab. The targets of SeaQuest are swapped during this 55 seconds.
- Beam bunch
  - Frequency: 53 MHz (comes every 19 ns)
  - One bunch contains 40k protons on average.
  - Duty Factor (indicates stability of beam intensity I)  $\equiv \langle I \rangle^2 / \langle I^2 \rangle$ : 30% in Run II  $\rightarrow$  45% after Run III

#### Targets

- Liquid Targets: LH2, LD2
- Solid Targets: Iron, Carbon, Tungsten
- Move the target table during beam off

Target



Target



Data set:

- approximetely 5% of final data set taken in Run II
- Succeeded in reconstructing the mass distributions.
  - $\circ\,$  Drell–Yan, J/ $\psi$ ,  $\psi'$ : estimated with simulation
  - Random Background: estimated using real data
  - Experimental data were well fitted
    - $\star\,$  Detectors and tracking tools work as expected
    - \* Drell–Yan events are dominant at mass  $\geq 4.2 \text{ GeV}$

# 3. Data Analysis and Results

#### Analysis Method

• SeaQuest uses proton-proton and proton-deuteron Drell-Yan process.

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dx_t dx_b} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{9x_t x_b} \frac{1}{s} \sum e^2 [\bar{q}_t(x_t)q_b(x_b) + \bar{q}_b(x_b)q_t(x_t)]$$
  

$$\cdot x_t \ll x_b \text{ in SeaQuest acceptance.}$$
  

$$\cdot q_{\bar{b}}(x_b)q_t(x_t) \text{ can be ignored.}$$
  

$$\cdot \text{ Cross section ratio provides } \bar{d}/\bar{u}:$$
  

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma^{pd \to \mu^+ \mu^-}}{\sigma^{pp \to \mu^+ \mu^-}} \Big|_{x_b \gg x_t} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\bar{d}(x_t)}{\bar{u}(x_t)} \right]$$
  

$$\frac{10^{-1}}{\sqrt{\frac{10^{-2}}$$

#### Extracting the Cross Section Ratio

$$\frac{\sigma^{pd}}{2\sigma^{pp}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{N_D \cdot C_D}{P_D \cdot G_D} \right) \left/ \left( \frac{N_H \cdot C_H}{P_H \cdot G_H} \right) \right.$$

N : Number of dimuons, C : Correction factor,

- ${\boldsymbol{P}}:$  Number of protons in the beam,  ${\boldsymbol{G}}:$  Number of nucleons in the target
- 1. Count dimuon yields of each LH2 and LD2 target as a function of x (N)
- 2. Correct dimuon yields  $(N \cdot C)$ 
  - Background subtraction
  - Tracking efficiency correction
    - $\star\,$  Tracking efficiency depends on beam intensity or chamber hit rate
- 3. Normalize the corrected dimuon yields (  $N \cdot C / P \cdot G$  )
  - $G_D \sim 2 \cdot G_H$
- 4. Take ratio of them
  - Cross section ratio is obtained!
- Benefit of taking the ratio
  - Don't have to require the absolute value of cross section
  - Cancel out the systematics
    - detector acceptance
    - intensity of the beam
    - efficiency

#### Cross Section Ratio



Released preliminary result in April 2016.

Cross section ratio of  $\sigma^{pd}$  and  $\sigma^{pp}$ 

#### Systematics

- Hydrogen contamination of deuterium target
- Background subtraction
- Remaining hit rate dependence of dimuon yields
  - Most of it was corrected by tracking efficiency correction.
- $\bullet\,$  Data analysis was done with  ${\sim}70\%$  of FY 2014 and FY 2015 data.
- ${\bullet}\,$  Cross section ratio at 0.1 < x < 0.58 is obtained
- $\bullet\,$  Cross section ratio at each bin >1

Iterative analysis has been done at each  $\boldsymbol{x}$  bin

- 1. obtain  $R_{\rm data}$  from data
  - $\circ~R_{\rm data}:$  cross section ratio obtained from data
- 2. set  $\bar{d}/\bar{u}=1$
- 3. calculate  $R_{
  m pred}$  at leading order based on estimate of  ${ar d}/{ar u}$ 
  - $\circ~R_{\rm pred}$ : cross section ratio predicted from CT10NLO PDF
    - $\star\,$  CT10NLO: PDF at next to leading order calculated by CTEQ group.

$$\sigma^{pp} \propto \sum e_i^2 q_i(x_1) \bar{q}_i(x_2)$$

$$q_i = u, d, s, c$$

- 4. update estimate of  ${ar d}/{ar u}$  based on  $R_{
  m pred}$  and  $R_{
  m data}$
- 5. repeat the step 3 and step 4 until  $R_{\rm pred} = R_{\rm data}$  is reached.

#### Flavor Asymmetry

Released preliminary result in April 2016.



Systematics

- (The same sources as cross section ratio)
- Uncertainties from PDFs

•  $\bar{d}/\bar{u}$  at 0.1 < x < 0.58 is obtained based on the cross section ratio

The same trend as cross section ratio

•  $\bar{d}/\bar{u} > 1$  at each bin

 $\rightarrow$  Antiquark flavor asymmetry has been found at high Bjorken x.

- We are taking data in FY 2016 with updated detector with a wider Bjorken *x* acceptance.
  - $\circ$  More statistics at higher x

#### Flavor Asymmetry (Compared with E866, NA51)



- Comparison with the previous experiments (E866, NA51)
- ${\scriptstyle \odot}$  SeaQuest and the previous experiments agree well at small x
- $\bar{d}/\bar{u}$  around x = 0.3 is higher than that of E866 beyond statistical and systematic errors.
  - Physical reasons for the difference between the SeaQuest result and the E866 results are being investigated.

## 4. Summary

- The proton consists of quarks, antiquarks and gluons.
- Bjorken x dependence of flavor asymmetry of antiquarks ( $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{d}$ ) is important to understand the structure of the proton.
- SeaQuest measures flavor asymmetry of antiquarks in the proton at large x (0.1 < x < 0.45) using Drell–Yan process.
- We constructed a dimuon spectrometer.
- We analyzed FY 2015 data.
- Dimuon mass was reconstructed well.
  - $\,\circ\,$  Detectors and tracking tools work as expected.
- We obtained cross setion ratio and  $\bar{d}/\bar{u}$  at 0.1 < x < 0.58.
  - $\circ~$  Cross section ratio and  $ar{d}/ar{u}>1$  at each bin
    - $\rightarrow$  Antiquark flavor asymmetry has been found at high Bjorken x.
- Understanding the flavor asymmetry of the antiquarks in the proton is a challenge in QCD.

# Backup

#### Cross Section Ratio (Compared with E866)



Cross section ratio of  $\sigma^{pd}$  and  $\sigma^{pp}$ 

#### • Comparison with the previous experiment (E866)

- $\circ$  Obtained cross section ratio at larger Bjorken x
- SeaQuest data are larger than those of E866

#### Difference of Cross Section Ratio?

CT10NLO: PDF at next to leading order calculated by CTEQ group. Fitted with E866 results.



- CT10NLO cross section ratio with SeaQuest kinematics is larger than that with E866 kinematics
  - $\,\circ\,$  Difference of  $Q^2$  doesn't make the difference of PDF
  - Bjorken x in beam of SeaQuest is higher than that of E866  $\rightarrow$  PDFs of antiquarks and quarks are not the same
  - $\circ~$  Cross section ratio difference at  $x \lesssim 0.2$  can be explained by difference of beam Bjorken x, but not at higher x

Kei Nagai (Tokyo Tech)

MENU2016 27-Ns-2 - 4