Modern dynamical coupled-channels calculations for extracting and understanding the nucleon spectrum

Hiroyuki Kamano (KEK)

The 14th International Conference on Meson-Nucleon Physics and the Structure of the Nucleon (MENU2016) (MENU2016) Kyoto, Japan, July 25-30, 2016

Outline

PART I: Background & motivation for spectroscopic study of N* & Δ* resonances

PART II:

Recent results from ANL-Osaka Dynamical Coupled-Channels (DCC) analysis

PART I

Background & motivation for spectroscopic study of N* & Δ* resonances



Discovery of the Δ baryon (1952)

Total Cross Sections of Positive Pions in Hydrogen*

H. L. ANDERSON, E. FERMI, E. A. LONG,[†] AND D. E. NAGLE Institute for Nuclear Studies, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois



FIG. 1. Total cross sections of negative pions in hydrogen (sides of the rectangle represent the error) and positive pions in hydrogen (arms of the cross represent the error). The cross-hatched rectangle is the Columbia result. The black square is the Brookhaven result and does not include the charge exchange contribution.

N*		Δ*	
Particle J^P	overall	Particle J^P	overall
$N = 1/2^+$	****	$\Delta(1232) \ 3/2^+$	****
$N(1440) 1/2^+$	****	$\Delta(1600) \ 3/2^+$	***
$N(1520) 3/2^{-}$	****	$\Delta(1620) \ 1/2^{-}$	****
$N(1535) 1/2^{-}$	****	$\Delta(1700) \ 3/2^{-}$	****
$N(1650) 1/2^-$	****	$\Delta(1750) \ 1/2^+$	*
$N(1675) 5/2^{-}$	****	$\Delta(1900) \ 1/2^{-1}$	**
$N(1680) 5/2^+$	****	$\Delta(1905) 5/2^+$	****
$N(1700) 3/2^{-}$	***	$\Delta(1910) \ 1/2^+$	****
$N(1710) 1/2^+$	****	$\Delta(1920) \ 3/2^+$	***
$N(1720) 3/2^+$	****	$\Delta(1930) 5/2^{-}$	***
$N(1860) 5/2^+$	**	$\Delta(1940) \ 3/2^{-1}$	**
$N(1875) 3/2^-$	***	$\Delta(1950) 7/2^+$	****
$N(1880) 1/2^+$	**	$\Delta(2000) 5/2^+$	**
$N(1895) 1/2^{-}$	**	$\Delta(2150) \ 1/2^{-}$	*
$N(1900) 3/2^+$	***	$\Delta(2200) 7/2^{-1}$	*
$N(1990) 7/2^+$	**	$\Delta(2300) 9/2^+$	**
$N(2000) 5/2^+$	**	$\Delta(2350) 5/2^{-1}$	*
$N(2040) 3/2^+$	*	$\Delta(2390) \ 7/2^+$	*
$N(2060) 5/2^{-}$	**	$\Delta(2400) 9/2^{-1}$	**
$N(2100) 1/2^+$	*	$\Delta(2420) \ 11/2^{+}$	****
$N(2120) 3/2^{-}$	**	$\Delta(2750) \ 13/2^{-1}$	**
$N(2190) 7/2^{-}$	****	$\Delta(2950) \ 15/2^{\neg}$	**
$N(2220) 9/2^+$	****		
$N(2250) 9/2^{-}$	****		
$N(2300) 1/2^+$	**	PDG(2015)	
$N(2570) 5/2^-$	**	http://pdg.	lbl.gov
$N(2600) 11/2^{-1}$	***		

 $N(2700) \, 13/2^+ **$

	N*	
Particle	J^P	overal
N	$1/2^{+}$	****
N(1440)	$1/2^{+}$	****
N(1520)	$3/2^{-}$	****
N(1535)	$1/2^{-}$	****
N(1650)	$1/2^{-}$	****
N(1675)	$5/2^{-}$	****
N(1680)	$5/2^{+}$	****
N(1700)	$3^{-2^{-}}$	***
N(1710)	$1/2^{+}$	****
N(1720)	$3/2^{+}$	****
N(1860)	$5/2^{+}$	**
N(1875)	$3/2^{-}$	***
N(1880)	$1/2^{+}$	**
N(1895)	$1/2^{-}$	**
N(1900)	$3/2^{+}$	***
N(1990)	72+	**
N(2000)	$5/2^{+}$	**
N(2040)	$3/2^{+}$	*
N(2060)	$5/2^{-}$	**
N(2100)	$1/2^{+}$	*
N(2120)	$3/2^{-}$	**
N(2190)	$7/2^{-}$	****
N(2220)	$9/2^{+}$	****
N(2250)	$9/2^{-}$	****
N(2300)	$1/2^{+}$	**
N(2570)	$5/2^{-}$	**
N(2600)	$11/2^{-}$	***
N(2700)	$13/2^{+}$	**

	Δ*	
Particle	J^P	overal
$\Delta(1232)$	$3/2^{+}$	****
$\Delta(1600)$	3/2+	***
$\Delta(1620)$	$1/2^{-}$	****
$\Delta(1700)$	$3/2^{-}$	****
$\Delta(1750)$	1/2+	*
$\Delta(1900)$	1/2-	**
$\Delta(1905)$	$5/2^{+}$	****
$\Delta(1910)$	$1/2^{+}$	****
$\Delta(1920)$	$3/2^{+}$	***
$\Delta(1930)$	572-	***
$\Delta(1940)$	$3/2^{-}$	**
$\Delta(1950)$	$7/2^{+}$	****
$\Delta(2000)$	$5/2^{+}$	**
$\Delta(2150)$	$1/2^{-}$	*
$\Delta(2200)$	$7/2^{-}$	*
$\Delta(2300)$	9 <mark>72</mark> +	**
$\Delta(2350)$	$5/2^{-}$	*
$\Delta(2390)$	$7/2^{+}$	*
$\Delta(2400)$	$9/2^{-}$	**
$\Delta(2420)$	$11/2^{+}$	****
$\Delta(2750)$	$13/2^{-}$	**
$\Delta(2950)$	$15/2^+$	**

All of these studies essentially agree on the existence and (most) properties of the 4-star states. For the 3-star and lower states, however, even a statement of existence is problematic.

- Arndt, Briscoe, Strakovsky, Workman PRC74(2006)045205

PDG(2015): http://pdg.lbl.gov

	N*	
Particle	J^P	overal
N	$1/2^{+}$	****
N(1440)	$1/2^{+}$	****
N(1520)	$3/2^{-}$	****
N(1535)	$1/2^{-}$	****
N(1650)	$1/2^{-}$	****
N(1675)	$5/2^{-}$	****
N(1680)	$5/2^{+}$	****
N(1700)	$3^{-2^{-}}$	***
N(1710)	$1/2^{+}$	****
N(1720)	$3/2^{+}$	****
N(1860)	$5/2^{+}$	**
N(1875)	$3/2^{-}$	***
N(1880)	$1/2^{+}$	**
N(1895)	$1/2^{-}$	**
N(1900)	$3/2^{+}$	***
N(1990)	72+	**
N(2000)	$5/2^{+}$	**
N(2040)	$3/2^{+}$	*
N(2060)	$5/2^{-}$	**
N(2100)	$1/2^{+}$	*
N(2120)	$3/2^{-}$	**
N(2190)	$7/2^{-}$	****
N(2220)	$9/2^{+}$	****
N(2250)	$9/2^{-}$	****
N(2300)	$1/2^{+}$	**
N(2570)	$5/2^{-}$	**
N(2600)	$11/2^{-}$	***
N(2700)	$13/2^{+}$	**

Δ*	
Particle J^P	overall
$\Delta(1232) \ 3/2^+$	****
$\Delta(1600)$ 3/2+	***
$\Delta(1620) 1/2^{-}$	****
$\Delta(1700) \ 3/2^{-}$	****
$\Delta(1750) 1/2^+$	*
$\Delta(1900) 1/2^{-1}$	**
$\Delta(1905) 5/2^+$	****
$\Delta(1910) \ 1/2^+$	****
$\Delta(1920) \ 3/2^+$	***
$\Delta(1930) 5 / 2^{-1}$	***
$\Delta(1940) 3/2^{-1}$	**
$\Delta(1950) 7/2^+$	****
$\Delta(2000) 5/2^+$	**
$\Delta(2150) \ 1/2^{-1}$	*
$\Delta(2200) 7/2^{-1}$	*
$\Delta(2300) 9 2^+$	**
$\Delta(2350) 5/2^{-1}$	*
$\Delta(2390) 7/2^+$	*
$\Delta(2400) 9/2^{-1}$	**
$\Delta(2420) \ 11/2^+$	****
$\Delta(2750) \ 13/2^{-1}$	**
$\Delta(2950) \ 1572^+$	**

PDG(2015): http://pdg.lbl.gov All of these studies essentially agree on the existence and (most) properties of the 4-star states. For the 3-star and lower states, however, even a statement of existence is problematic.

- Arndt, Briscoe, Strakovsky, Workman PRC74(2006)045205

N* & Δ* spectroscopy remains as central issue in the hadron physics !!

- Mass, width, spin, parity …?
- quark-gluon structure (form factors)?
- How produced in reaction processes?



Static hadron models and reaction dynamics

- Various static hadron models have been proposed to calculate hadron spectrum and form factors.
 - Constituent quark models, soliton models, Dyson-Schwinger Eq. approaches,...
 - Excited hadrons are treated as stable particles.



Constituent quark model



Capstick, Roberts, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys 45(2000)S241

Static hadron models and reaction dynamics

- Various static hadron models have been proposed to calculate hadron spectrum and form factors.
 - Constituent quark models, soliton models, Dyson-Schwinger Eq. approaches,...
 - Excited hadrons are treated as stable particles.
- In reality, excited hadrons are "unstable" and can exist only as resonance states in hadron reactions.

Static hadron models and reaction dynamics

- Various static hadron models have been proposed to calculate hadron spectrum and form factors.
 - Constituent quark models, soliton models, Dyson-Schwinger Eq. approaches,...
 - Excited hadrons are treated as stable particles.
- In reality, excited hadrons are "unstable" and can exist only as resonance states in hadron reactions.



What is the role of reaction dynamics in interpreting the hadron spectrum, structures, and dynamical origins ??



- ✓ Width: a few hundred MeV.
- ✓ Resonances are highly overlapping in energy except ∆(1232).



- ✓ Width: a few hundred MeV.
- ✓ Resonances are highly overlapping in energy except ∆(1232).



- ✓ Width: a few hundred MeV.
- ✓ Resonances are highly overlapping in energy except Δ (1232).



- ✓ Width: a few hundred MeV.
- ✓ Resonances are highly overlapping in energy except Δ (1232).
- ✓ Width: ~10 keV to tens of MeV
- Each resonance peak is clearly separated.



Width: a few hundred MeV.

- ✓ Resonances are highly overlapping in energy except ∆(1232).
- Width: ~10 keV to tens of MeV
- Each resonance peak is clearly separated.

Cooperative efforts between experiments and theoretical analyses



Theoretical analyses

with coupled-channels framework

ANL-Osaka Argonne-Pittsburgh Bonn-Gatchina Carnegie-Mellon-Berkeley Dubna-Mainz-Taipei EBAC Giessen GWU/VPI Juelich-Bonn Karlsruhe-Helsinki KSU Zagreb

• Multichannel unitary condition: $T_{ab}(E) - T^{\dagger}_{ab}(E) = -2\pi i \sum_{c} T^{\dagger}_{ac} \delta(E - E_{c}) T_{cb}(E)$

Why multichannel unitarity is so important ??

$$T_{ab}(E) - T_{ab}^{\dagger}(E) = -2\pi i \sum_{c} T_{ac}^{\dagger} \delta(E - E_{c}) T_{cb}(E)$$

1) Ensures conservation of probabilities in multichannel reaction processes

Key essential to

- simultaneous analysis of various inelastic reactions.
- increasing predictivity of constructed reaction models



Why multichannel unitarity is so important ??

$$T_{ab}(E) - T_{ab}^{\dagger}(E) = -2\pi i \sum_{C} T_{ac}^{\dagger} \delta(E - E_{c}) T_{cb}(E)$$

1) Ensures conservation of probabilities in multichannel reaction processes

Key essential to

- simultaneous analysis of various inelastic reactions.
- increasing predictivity of constructed reaction models



- 2) Defines proper analytic structure (branch points, cuts,...) of scattering amplitudes in the complex energy plane, as required by scattering theory
 - Crucial for extracting resonances "correctly", and avoiding WRONG resonance signals !!
 [e.g., Ceci et al, PRC84(2011)015205]



Approaches for coupled-channels analysis

✓ Multichannel unitary condition:

$$T_{ab}(E) - T_{ab}^{\dagger}(E) = -2\pi i \sum_{c} T_{ac}^{\dagger} \delta(E - E_{c}) T_{cb}(E)$$

✓ Heitler equation:

K-matrix: must be hermitian for real E.

$$T_{ab}(E) = K_{ab}(E) + \sum_{c} K_{ac}(E) \left[-i\pi\delta(E - E_{c})\right] T_{cb}(E)$$

Approaches for coupled-channels analysis

✓ Multichannel unitary condition:

$$T_{ab}(E) - T_{ab}^{\dagger}(E) = -2\pi i \sum_{c} T_{ac}^{\dagger} \delta(E - E_{c}) T_{cb}(E)$$

✓ Heitler equation:

K-matrix: must be hermitian for real E.

$$T_{ab}(E) = K_{ab}(E) + \sum_{c} K_{ac}(E) \left[-i\pi\delta(E - E_{c})\right] T_{cb}(E)$$

(on-shell) K-matrix approach:

Dynamical-model approach:

 $K_{ab}(E) \equiv (\text{Polynomials of } E) + (\text{Pole terms})^{4}$

✓ Heitler equation can be solved algebraically.

Argonne-Pittsburgh, Bonn-Gatchina, Carnegie Mellon-Berkely, GWU/VPI, Karlsruhe-Helsinki, KSU, ...

 Heitler equation becomes identical to Lippmann-Schwinger integral equation.

 Potential V is derived from a model Hamiltonian.

$$K_{ab}(E) \equiv K_{ab}(\vec{p}_a, \vec{p}_b; E) = V_{ab}(\vec{p}_a, \vec{p}_b; E) + \sum_c \mathcal{P} \int d\vec{q} V_{ac}(\vec{p}_a, \vec{q}; E) \frac{1}{E - H_c^0 + i\varepsilon} K_{cb}(\vec{q}, \vec{p}_b; E)$$

off-shell rescattering effect

ANL-Osaka, Dubna-Mainz-Taipei, Juelich-Bonn,...

Why dynamical coupled-channels approach??

	(on-shell) K-matrix	Dynamical model
Numerical cost	Cheap - solve on-shell algebraic eq. (Analysis can be done quickly on PC.)	(Very) Expensive (Supercomputers are needed.)
Data fitting	Efficient - K(E) can be parametrized as one likes	Not so efficient - Form of <i>V</i> is severely constrained by theoretical input (model Hamiltonian)

Why dynamical coupled-channels approach??

	(on-shell) K-matrix	Dynamical model
Numerical cost	Cheap - solve on-shell algebraic eq. (Analysis can be done quickly on PC.)	(Very) Expensive (Supercomputers are needed.)
Data fitting	Efficient - K(E) can be parametrized as one likes	Not so efficient - Form of <i>V</i> is severely constrained by theoretical input (model Hamiltonian)

To understand the physics of reaction dynamics behind formation, structure, etc. of hadron resonances, one needs:

- Modeling reaction processes appropriately with a model Hamiltonian.
 (→ not a simple "pole + polynomial" parametrization, etc.)
- Solving proper quantum scattering equation (LS eq.) in which off-shell rescattering effects are also appropriately contained.



This can be achieved only by using the dynamical-model approach !!

Dynamical origin of P₁₁ **N* resonances** (nontrivial feature of multichannel reaction dynamics)

Would be related to a baryon state in static hadron models excluding meson-baryon continuums



Suzuki, Julia-Diaz, HK, Lee, Matsuyama, Sato, PRL104 042302 (2010)

Dynamical origin of P₁₁ **N* resonances** (nontrivial feature of multichannel reaction dynamics)



Suzuki, Julia-Diaz, HK, Lee, Matsuyama, Sato, PRL104 042302 (2010)

PART II

Recent results from ANL-Osaka DCC analysis

For details see Matsuyama, Sato, Lee, Phys. Rep. 439(2007)193 HK, Nakamura, Lee, Sato, PRC(2013)035209

✓ Partial-wave (LSJ) amplitudes of $a \rightarrow b$ reaction:

$$T_{a,b}^{(LSJ)}(p_a, p_b; E) = V_{a,b}^{(LSJ)}(p_a, p_b; E) + \sum_c \int_0^\infty q^2 dq V_{a,c}^{(LSJ)}(p_a, q; E) G_c(q; E) T_{c,b}^{(LSJ)}(q, p_b; E)$$

coupled-channels off-shell effect effect

Reaction channels:

$$a, b, c = (\gamma^{(*)}N, \pi N, \eta N, \pi \Delta, \sigma N, \rho N, K\Delta, K\Sigma, \omega N \cdots)$$
$$\pi \pi N$$

Transition Potentials:

$$V_{a,b} = v_{a,b} + Z_{a,b} + \sum_{N^*} \frac{\Gamma_{N^*,a}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{N^*,b}}{E - M_{N^*}}$$

Exchange potentials Z-diagrams bare N* states

For details see Matsuyama, Sato, Lee, Phys. Rep. 439(2007)193 HK, Nakamura, Lee, Sato, PRC(2013)035209

✓ Partial-wave (LSJ) amplitudes of $a \rightarrow b$ reaction:

$$T_{a,b}^{(LSJ)}(p_{a}, p_{b}; E) = V_{a,b}^{(LSJ)}(p_{a}, p_{b}; E) + \sum_{c} \int_{0}^{\infty} q^{2} dq V_{a,c}^{(LSJ)}(p_{a}, q; E) G_{c}(q; E) T_{c,b}^{(LSJ)}(q, p_{b}; E)$$

$$Coupled-channels off-shell effect$$
effect
effect
$$MB = \pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma, \omega N$$

$$MB = \pi \Delta, \rho N, \sigma N$$

$$Cuasi 2-body channels$$

$$MB = \pi \Delta, \rho N, \sigma N$$

$$Cuasi 2-body channels$$

$$MB = \pi \Delta, \rho N, \sigma N$$

$$Cuasi 2-body channels$$

For details see Matsuyama, Sato, Lee, Phys. Rep. 439(2007)193 HK, Nakamura, Lee, Sato, PRC(2013)035209

✓ Partial-wave (LSJ) amplitudes of $a \rightarrow b$ reaction:

$$T_{a,b}^{(LSJ)}(p_a, p_b; E) = V_{a,b}^{(LSJ)}(p_a, p_b; E) + \sum_c \int_0^\infty q^2 dq V_{a,c}^{(LSJ)}(p_a, q; E) G_c(q; E) T_{c,b}^{(LSJ)}(q, p_b; E)$$

coupled-channels off-shell effect effect

Reaction channels:

$$a, b, c = (\gamma^{(*)}N, \pi N, \eta N, \pi \Delta, \sigma N, \rho N, K\Delta, K\Sigma, \omega N \cdots)$$
$$\pi \pi N$$

Transition Potentials:

$$V_{a,b} = v_{a,b} + Z_{a,b} + \sum_{N^*} \frac{\Gamma_{N^*,a}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{N^*,b}}{E - M_{N^*}}$$

Exchange potentials Z-diagrams bare N* states

For details see Matsuyama, Sato, Lee, Phys. Rep. 439(2007)193



For details see Matsuyama, Sato, Lee, Phys. Rep. 439(2007)193 HK, Nakamura, Lee, Sato, PRC(2013)035209

✓ Partial-wave (LSJ) amplitudes of $a \rightarrow b$ reaction:

$$T_{a,b}^{(LSJ)}(p_a, p_b; E) = V_{a,b}^{(LSJ)}(p_a, p_b; E) + \sum_c \int_0^\infty q^2 dq V_{a,c}^{(LSJ)}(p_a, q; E) G_c(q; E) T_{c,b}^{(LSJ)}(q, p_b; E)$$

coupled-channels off-shell effect effect

Reaction channels:

 $a,b,c = (\gamma^{(*)}N)$

Would be related with hadron states of the static hadron models (quark models, DSE, etc.) excluding meson-baryon continuums.

Transition Potentials:

$$V_{a,b} = v_{a,b} + Z_{a,b} + \sum_{N^*} \frac{\Gamma_{N^*,a}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{N^*,b}}{E - M_{N^*}}$$

Exchange potentials Z-diagrams bare N* states

For details see Matsuyama, Sato, Lee, Phys. Rep. 439(2007)193 HK, Nakamura, Lee, Sato, PRC(2013)035209

✓ Partial-wave (LSJ) amplitudes of $a \rightarrow b$ reaction:

$$T_{a,b}^{(LSJ)}(p_a, p_b; E) = V_{a,b}^{(LSJ)}(p_a, p_b; E) + \sum_c \int_0^\infty q^2 dq V_{a,c}^{(LSJ)}(p_a, q; E) G_c(q; E) T_{c,b}^{(LSJ)}(q, p_b; E)$$

coupled-channels off-shell effect effect

Reaction channels:

$$a, b, c = (\gamma^{(*)}N, \pi N, \eta N, \pi \Delta, \sigma N, \rho N, K\Delta, K\Sigma, \omega N \cdots)$$
$$\pi \pi N$$

Transition Potentials:

$$V_{a,b} = v_{a,b} + Z_{a,b} + \sum_{N^*} \frac{\Gamma_{N^*,a}^{\dagger} \Gamma_{N^*,b}}{E - M_{N^*}}$$

Exchange potentials Z-diagrams bare N* states

Strategy for N* and Δ* spectroscopy

- Construct a model by making χ²-fit of the world data of meson production reactions.
- Latest published model (8-channel): HK, Nakamura, Lee, Sato, PRC88(2013)035209 [updated in PRC94(2016)015201]

Made simultaneous analysis of

- $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ (SAID amp) (W < 2.3 GeV)
- $\pi p \rightarrow \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma$ (W < 2.1 GeV)
- $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi N$, ηN , $K\Lambda$, $K\Sigma$ (W < 2.1 GeV)
- γ 'n' $\rightarrow \pi N$ (W < 2 GeV)
- →~27,000 data points of both dσ/dΩ & spin-pol. obs.



Use supercomputers to accomplish coupled-channels analyses:



Strategy for N* and Δ* spectroscopy

- Construct a model by making χ²-fit of the world data of meson production reactions.
- Latest published model (8-channel): HK, Nakamura, Lee, Sato, PRC88(2013)035209 [updated in PRC94(2016)015201]

Made simultaneous analysis of

- $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ (SAID amp) (W < 2.3 GeV)
- $\pi p \rightarrow \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma$ (W < 2.1 GeV)
- $vp \rightarrow \pi N$, ηN , $K\Lambda$, $K\Sigma$ (W < 2.1 GeV)
- -γ'n' →πN

(W < 2 GeV)

→~27,000 data points of both dσ/dΩ & spin-pol. obs.



Use supercomputers to accomplish coupled-channels analyses:



2) Search *poles* of scattering amplitudes by analytic continuation to a complex energy plane.



Pole position → (complex) resonance mass Residues → coupling strengths between resonance

and meson-baryon channel

Strategy for N* and Δ* spectroscopy

amplitudes by analytic continuation

2) Search poles of scattering

to a complex energy plane.

- Construct a model by making χ²-fit of the world data of meson production reactions.
- Latest published model (8-channel): HK, Nakamura, Lee, Sato, PRC88(2013)035209 [updated in PRC94(2016)015201]

Made simultaneous analysis of

- $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ (SAID amp) (W < 2.3 GeV)
- $\pi p \rightarrow \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma$ (W < 2.1 GeV)
- $yp \rightarrow \pi N$, ηN , $K\Lambda$, $K\Sigma$ (W < 2.1 GeV)
- -γ'n' →πN

(W < 2 GeV)

Argonne

→~27,000 data points of both dσ/dΩ & spin-pol. obs.



Use supercomputers to accomplish coupled-channels analyses:



Branch point unphysical physical -10 sheet sheet Im(W) ____ 1680 1670 Re(W) 1660 1650 Cut rotated from real W axis Pole position \rightarrow (complex) resonance mass Residues \rightarrow coupling strengths between resonance and meson-baryon channel

3) Extract resonance parameters defined by poles.





DISTING THE

2Im(M_{pole}) (MeV)

111

ſ___

٥

ANL-Osaka DCC analysis



ANL-Osaka DCC analysis











Electromagnetic transition form factors: quantitative understanding of N* & Δ* structure



N-N* e.m. transition form factor

"dressed"-quark core obscured by dense meson clouds

"Partons"











Analysis of electroproduction reactions: Determining N-N* e.m. transition form factors



Analysis of electroproduction reactions: Determining N-N* e.m. transition form factors



Extracted e.m. transition form factors

✓ N → "1st P33(J^P=3/2⁺) Δ " transition form factor A_{3/2}

[evaluated at Δ pole mass: M_R = 1210 –i 50 MeV]



Summary

• N* & Δ^* spectroscopy as physics of broad & overlapping resonances

- Cooperative efforts between experiments and theoretical analyses with coupled-channels framework are indispensable to establishing the spectrum.
- > Reaction dynamics is a crucial part of understanding the spectrum, dynamical origin, and structure, ... of N* & Δ^* .
- Dynamical coupled-channels approach is a suitable one to study the role of reaction dynamics.
 - \rightarrow Multichannel reaction dynamics in the origin of P₁₁ N* resonances.
 - → Meson-cloud effect on the transition form factors.

Summary

• N* & Δ^* spectroscopy as physics of broad & overlapping resonances

- Cooperative efforts between experiments and theoretical analyses with coupled-channels framework are indispensable to establishing the spectrum.
- > Reaction dynamics is a crucial part of understanding the spectrum, dynamical origin, and structure, ... of N* & Δ^* .
- Dynamical coupled-channels approach is a suitable one to study the role of reaction dynamics.
 - → Multichannel reaction dynamics in the origin of P₁₁ N* resonances.
 - → Meson-cloud effect on the transition form factors.

Major topics in N* & Δ* spectroscopy

- Establishing high-mass N* & Δ* resonances [Re(M_R) > 1.7 GeV]
 - → "(over-)complete" experiments for photoproduction reactions (CLAS6, ELSA, MAMI,...)
- Determining Q² dependence of electromagnetic transition form factors for well-established low-lying N* & Δ* resonances.

→ Measurements of electroproduction reactions over wide Q² range (CLAS6, CLAS12)

Summary

• N* & Δ^* spectroscopy as physics of broad & overlapping resonances

- Cooperative efforts between experiments and theoretical analyses with coupled-channels framework are indispensable to establishing the spectrum.
- > Reaction dynamics is a crucial part of understanding the spectrum, dynamical origin, and structure, ... of N* & Δ^* .
- Dynamical coupled-channels approach is a suitable one to study the role of reaction dynamics.
 - → Multichannel reaction dynamics in the origin of P₁₁ N* resonances.
 - → Meson-cloud effect on the transition form factors.

Major topics in N* & Δ* spectroscopy

- Establishing high-mass N* & Δ* resonances [Re(M_R) > 1.7 GeV]
 - → "(over-)complete" experiments for photoproduction reactions (CLAS6, ELSA, MAMI,...)
- Determining Q² dependence of electromagnetic transition form factors for well-established low-lying N* & Δ* resonances.
 - → Measurements of electroproduction reactions over wide Q² range (CLAS6, CLAS12)

Electroproduction analysis & extension of our DCC model are underway !!



Applications of ANL-DCC approach



Substructure of N* & Δ*

See, e.g., ECT* workshop "Nucleon Resonances: From Photoproduction to High Photon Virtualities", Oct. 2015 http://www.ectstar.eu/node/1227



Baryon resonances as poles of scattering amplitudes

PROPER definition of

- Transition amplitudes between resonance and scattering states
- Hadron resonance masses (complex) -> Pole positions of scattering amplitudes in the lower-half of complex-W plane
 - \rightarrow ~ Residues^{1/2} at the pole



Extracting poles of amplitudes from analyzing reaction data is nothing but obtaining "exact" energy eigenvalues of QCD !!!

Baryon resonances as poles of scattering amplitudes

PROPER definition of

- Transition amplitudes between resonance and scattering states
- ✓ Hadron resonance masses (complex) → Pole positions of scattering amplitudes in the lower-half of complex-W plane
 - \rightarrow ~ Residues^{1/2} at the pole

Resonance theory based on Gamow vectors: [G. Gamow (1928), R. E. Peierls (1959), ...]

"Quantum resonance state is an (complex-)energy eigenstate of the **FULL** Hamiltonian of the **underlying theory** under the Purely Outgoing Boundary Condition (POBC)."

- **Energy eigenvalue**
- **Transition matrix elements between** ~ **Residues**^{1/2} at the pole resonance and scattering states
- pole energy

Extracting poles of amplitudes from analyzing reaction data is nothing but obtaining "exact" energy eigenvalues of QCD !!!

Baryon resonances as poles of scattering amplitudes

PROPER definition of

- Hadron resonance masses (complete
- Transition amplitudes between resonance and scattering states

Resonance theory based o [G. Gamow (1928), R. E. Peierls

"Quantum resonance state is a the *FULL* Hamiltonian of the *uncentry* Purely Outgoing Boundary Condition

Energy eigenvalue

Transition matrix elements betwe resonance and scattering states

There are attempts to link real energy spectrum of QCD in the finite volume to resonance pole masses.



pole energy Residues^{1/2} at the pole

Extracting poles of amplitudes from analyzing reaction data is nothing but obtaining *"exact"* energy eigenvalues of QCD !!!