

LEO P. SINGER NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Astroparticle Physics Laboratory <u>leo.p.singer@nasa.gov</u>

ADVANCED LIG **FIRST LIGHT** BROADBAND FOLLOW-UP OF COMPACT BINARY MERGERS





7 YEARS OF MAXI

RIKEN, Japan, 2016 December 7

FIRST LIGHT

— noun 1. In astronomy, first light is the first use of a telescope (or, in general, a new instrument) to take an astronomical image after it has been constructed. This is often not the first viewing using the telescope; optical tests will probably have been performed during daylight to adjust the components... first light is always a moment of great excitement, both for the people who design and build the telescope and for the astronomical community, who may have anticipated the moment for many years while the telescope was under **construction**. A well-known and **spectacular** astronomical object is usually chosen as a subject.

(Wikipedia)

I. ADVANCED LIGO FIRST LIGHT

A GLOBAL NETWORK of **GW observatories**



- LIGO: Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory
- Senses fractional arm displacements (strain) of 10⁻²¹
 - \rightarrow changes in length of ~2×10⁻¹⁶ cm
 - =1/500 of charge radius of proton
 - → like measuring distance to Proxima Centauri to the width of a human hair
- A tour de force of precision measurement:

40 kg "test masses" suspended from from fused silica fibers, multi-stage pendula, active seismic isolation

20 W laser power \rightarrow 100 kW circulating in arm cavities

Thermal deformation of mirrors must be compensated by ring heaters and CO_2 lasers





GW150914: first light

- Chirp signal recorded at LLO and 7 ms later at LHO during pre-observing engineering operations
- Swept from 35 to 250 Hz in 0.2 s
- Inspiral and merger of two stellar-mass black holes as predicted by general relativity



GW150914: first light



Surprising properties...
 Masses: 36 + 29 → 62 M_☉
 3 M_☉ radiated in GWs!

much heavier than BHs known in Xray binaries → low-metallicity formation scenario

Spins weakly constrained, but **nowhere near maximal**: $<0.7 + <0.9 \rightarrow ~0.6$

- Distance: ~400±200 Mpc, z~0.09
- Stringent tests of general relativity... Best ever measurement of graviton mass: m_g<10⁻²² eV

THROWING MATTER INTO THE MIX: EM signatures of neutron star mergers



image: Fernández & Metzger 2016

- Resonant shattering of NS crust → X-ray/radio precursor
- Rapid accretion,
 relativistic fireball →
 short GRB
- Central engine (magnetar wind) → extended, isotropic Xray emission
- Synchrotron cooling of shock-accelerated relativistic electrons → broadband afterglow
- Radioactive ejecta → macronova/kilonova
- Ejecta-shocked ISM → slow radio remnant

The future is *bright!*



R Berger E. 2014. Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 52:43–105

Understanding **the full astrophysical richness of compact binaries** will take not just LIGO, but the broad astronomy community across many wavelengths!

- EM counterparts of LIGO sources
- Central engine vs. external fireball and ejecta
- **Pinpoint host galaxy**, determine formation environment
- **Standard sirens**: Calibrationfree rung on cosmological distance ladder
- Explain cosmic abundance of heavy elements – "bling nova"
- Explain nature of short GRBs
- ...and (uh oh): challenge whether stellar BBHs are truly barren of matter!

SKY LOCATION AND DISTANCE: a phased array of gravitational antennas



- Sky location inferred from triangulation of times, phases, and amplitudes on arrival → bimodal rings of100–1000 of deg² with only 2 detectors
- **Distance** inferred by signal amplitude and directional antenna patterns, but **degenerate** with inclination
 - → ~400 ± 200 Mpc for GW150914-like BBH mergers

LVT151012

GW151226

GW150914

LIGO/Singer/Mellinger



II. BROADBAND FOLLOW-UP IN O1



Credit: LIGO/Caltech

LOCALIZATION AND BROADBAND FOLLOW-UP

OF THE GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE TRANSIENT GW150914

ApJL, 826, L13 arXiv:1602.08492



LOCALIZATION AND BROADBAND FOLLOW-UP

OF THE GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE TRANSIENT GW150914

ApJL, 826, L13 arXiv:1602.08492





LOCALIZATION AND BROADBAND FOLLOW-UP

25 observing teams (+LIGO, Virgo), **1551 authors**

unprecedented: broke ApJL author portal!

ASKAP, LOFAR, MWA, Fermi/GBM, Fermi/LAT, INTEGRAL, IPN, Swift, MAXI, BOOTES, MASTER, Pi of the Sky, DES/DECam, INAF/GRAWITA, iPTF, J-GEM/ KWFC, La Silla–QUEST, Liverpool Telescope, PESSTO, Pan-STARRS, SkyMapper, TAROT, Zadko, TOROS, VISTA

OF THE GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE TRANSIENT GW150914

GW FOLLOW-UP WITH LARGE **SYNOPTIC SURVEY INSTRUMENTS**



Soares-Santos+ 2016 Deep, wide-field follow-up with DECam to *i*=22.5



Annis+ 2016 DECam search for missing supergiants in LMC



Pointing map for GW151226



Kasliwal, Cenko, <u>Singer+ 2016</u> iPTF OT search, Keck spectra δ [deg] <1 hour after discovery, + a serendipitous superluminous supernova



JOINT **GW-HIGH ENERGY** SEARCHES

- Strong indirect evidence that NS binary mergers power most or all short, hard GRBs (Paczynski, Eichler, Narayan, Rezzolla, Fong, etc.)
- GW or GRB threshold can be lowered due to reduction in trials from assuming know time, inclination, and sky location
- Three kinds of joint GW-HE searches:
 - 1. Coincidence between GW candidates and GRB (see A. Urban Ph.D thesis)
 - Sub-threshold targeted searches of GW data triggered by GRB (notable example: GRB 051103, LVC+ 2012)
 - 3. Sub-threshold targeted searches of gamma-ray data triggered by LIGO (see <u>Blackburn 2014</u>)
- Notable synergies with: *Fermi, Swift, INTEGRAL, IPN, MAXI*

image: GRB 051103, <u>LVC+ 2012</u>





GW150914 *Fermi* GBM candidate

- Faint coincident gamma-ray transient present in *Fermi* Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) 0.4s after GW150914 (<u>Connaughton+</u> <u>2016</u>), estimated false alarm probability of 0.002 (2.9σ)
- Unclear if astrophysical (<u>Connaughton+</u> <u>2016</u>, <u>Greiner+ 2016</u>), not seen by INTEGRAL (<u>Savchenko+ 2016</u>) or AGILE (<u>Tavani+ 2016</u>)
- If astrophysical, would constitute a novel GRB mechanism because EM emission is not expected a priori from stellar-mass BBH mergers
- Some exotic scenarios proposed (<u>Loeb 2016</u>, <u>Perna+ 2016</u>, etc.)



 LIGO/Virgo pipelines must and will be able to produce rapid alerts for BBHs going forward

X-RAY FOLLOW-UP

- Swift XRT → new ToO modes, large tiling patterns, galaxytargeted searches (Evans, Kenna +2016)
- →MAXI/GSC → covers almost full GW localization every 92 minutes
 - **N. Kawai's talk, this session** GCN 18557, 18784, 19013
- CALET (Adriani+ 2016)

III. WHERE WE WILL GO IN O2

WHERE WE WILL GO IN O2

- Based on O1:
 ~10 BBHs by O2, ~100 by O3 (!!)
- Both distinctive single-object analysis and population statistics

→ History of stellar BH masses and spins through cosmic time

- Even *more* exciting: more highly asymmetric masses, spin precession, binary neutron star and neutron star–black hole mergers
- An alert every 1–2 weeks

→ Alerts with distance and GW classification must go out within half an hour (~1 minute, with more practice!)

Based on all O1 events. LVC 2016, <u>arXiv:1606.04856</u>

LVT151012

GW151226

image credit: LIGO/Leo Singer (Milky Way image: Axel Mellinger)

LVT151012 **+virgo**

GW151226 +virgo

image credit: LIGO/Leo Singer (Milky Way image: Axel Mellinger)

GCN NOTICES: CONTENTS IN 01

	CBC	Burst		
IVORN	<pre>ivo://nasa.gsfc.gcn/LVC#{G,M}nnnnn- {1,2,3}-Preliminary,Initial,Update</pre>			
Who	LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration (lv-em@gw-astronomy.org)			
What	GraceDB ID: {G,M}nnnnn			
Search Group	CBC	Burst		
Pipeline	Gstlal or MBTA	CWB		
Internal	0 or 1 (0 causes distribution to partners)			
FAR	estimated FAR in Hz			
Network	Binary flag for each detector (LHO_participated, etc.)			
Skymap	SKYMAP_URL_{ <i>FITS</i> , <i>PNG</i> }_{ <i>SHIB</i> , <i>X509</i> , <i>BASIC</i> } (not included in a Preliminary alert)			
WhereWhen	Arrival time (UTC, ISO-8601), e.g., 2010–08–27T19:21:13.982800			

Two "EM bright" classifiers:

- Possible **presence of a NS** ($m_2 < 3 M_{\odot}$)
 - → Relatively model independent
- Probability of sufficient tidally disrupted material to form a disk (e.g., to power a GRB)
 - → Based on Foucart disk mass fits to numerical simulations (arXiv: 1207.6304) as implemented by Pannarale & Ohme (arXiv:1406.6057)
 - → Highly model dependent, but conservative assumptions made (e.g. stiff NS EoS)

GCN NOTICES: NEW FOR O2

Both **real-time** and **full parameter estimation** versions in final stages of development for O2: Shaon Ghosh et al.

Singer, Chen, Holz+ 2016 (arXiv:1603.07333, ApJL)

COSMOGRAPHY for **fun and profit**

Combine GW parameter estimation with map of local luminosity density

Example: <u>Tully 2015</u> galaxy group map based on 2MASS Redshift Survey

Singer, Chen, Holz+ 2016 (arXiv:1603.07333, ApJL)

GOING THE DISTANCE red=accepted Targeted O/IR kilonova search orange=proposed

•			.,	•
	* , .		*	i
		*		
			¥	
· · ·				

"Searching for Optical Counterparts to Gravitational Wave Sources" LCOGT 2016A (Arcavi, Howell, Valenti, Singer)

Ideal facilities: LCOGT (2m) + Spectral NOT (2.6m) + ALFOSC Discovery Chan. (4.3m) + LMI Magellan (6.5m) + FourStar Gemini (8.2m) + NIRI, Flamingos-2 VLT (8.2m) + FORS2 Keck (10m) + LRIS GTC (10.4m) + OSIRIS

SCIENCE OUTREACH How to get started with LIGO/Virgo alerts

● ● < > dcc.ligo.org	C Diffs where 2015, HL 2015, H
LIGO Scientific Collaboration	The First Two Years of with Advanced LIGO and Virgo
LIGO-Virgo EM Follow-Up Tutorial	This web page provides additional online material related to the paper "The First Two Years of Electromagnetic Follow-Up with Advanced LIGO and Virgo" and the follow-up paper "Parameter Estimation for Brany Neutron-Star Coalescences with
by Leo P. Singer (NASA/GSFC)	Data release 2015. HL 2016. HLV 👼 2015. recikune Drowfikie column 2015 / coinc_event.coinc_event.jdt:18951
This document is LIGO-G1500442-v10.	18079 1000 60° 75°
Abstract This document explains how to receive, filter, and process gravitational-wave (GW) detection candidate alerts from Advanced LIGO and Virgo. We provide sample code in Python and document alternatives for users of other programming environments. You can download this document and run the code samples in <u>IPython</u> <u>Notebook</u> .	1000 1000 1001 1000 1000 1000 10000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100
Table of Contents	2015 / coinc_event:coinc
 Sign up for GCN/TAN network Sign up for a GraceDb robot password Install some dependencies Write GCN handler script Working with probability sky maps Basic observability calculations with Astropy Submitting observation coordinates to GraceDB 	
Appendix: Full example code	<pre></pre>

•••

Source codes 0 0 + event_id:18951 (bayestar)

Electromagnetic Follow-Up

Singer+ 2014 (arXiv: 1404.5623) Berry+ 2015 (arXiv:1411.6934) Essick+ 2015 (arXiv:1409.2435) LVC+ 2016 (arXiv:1304.0670)

- Minimize surprise by • reusing technologies with heritage: GCN, FITS, HEALPix
- Rich sample catalogs, modern and simple toolchain (Astropy, Healpy, PyGCN)
- Sample code, tutorials, and more

Conclusions

- LIGO discovery firehose: expect O(10) GW signals by end of 2016, O(100) by end of 2017
- **NS binary mergers** are likely around the corner: O(0.1–10) events possible in O2
- Wealth of information can be learned from joint GW+broadband EM observations

THANK YOU

HOW TO GET INVOLVED IN LIGO/VIRGO FOLLOW-UP

EM alerts during proprietary period (01/02) http://www.ligo.org/scientists/GWEMalerts.php

For inquiries

emf@ligo.org, L. Singer, P. Shawhan, M. Branchesi

Tutorials and technical info

https://gw-astronomy.org/wiki/LV_EM/TechInfo

LIGO open data (including sky maps) https://losc.ligo.org/