# Quantum many-body dynamics under continuous observation

#### Masahito Ueda RIKEN CEMS, University of Tokyo

collaborators



Yuto Ashida U. Tokyo



Shunsuke Furukawa U. Tokyo

# Motivation of this work

Experimental breakthrough – quantum gas microscopy –

> Markus Greiner (Harvard) Immanuel Bloch (LMU)



#### **Snapshot of many-body wavefunction**

W. S. Bakr et al. Nature 462, 74 (2009)

#### **Superfluid-Mott insulator transition**



- SF-MI transition has been observed at the single-particle level by verifying suppression of on-site atom-number fluctuations.
- Predicted "wedding" cake pattern has experimentally been confirmed.



W. S. Bakr et al., Science 329, 547 (2010).

#### Site-resolved quantum gas microscopy: Antiferromagnetic order of Fermi-Hubbard model



M. F. Parsons et al., Science 353, 1253 (2016),
M. Boll et al., Sceience 353, 1257 (2016),
L. W. Cheuk et al., Science 353, 1260 (2016).

Staggered behavior against distance directly reveals the **antiferromagnetic** nature of the spin-spin correlation.

#### **Measurement of entanglement entropy**



**Entanglement generation** across QPT has been experimentally demonstrated

entanglement of bosons: bunching

Predicted size dependence of Renyi entropy (n=2) has been observed.





R. Islam et al., Nature 528, 77 (2015).

#### **Many-body localization**



M. Schreiber et al., Science 349, 842 (2015).

# **Outline of this talk**

#### Introduction

- Continuous monitoring of quantum systems
- Quantum gas microscopy as a new tool to observe quantum many-body dynamics
- Two-particle quantum dynamics under continuous observation
  - Compare quantum transport for distinguishable and indistinguishable particles.

#### Quantum critical phenomena influenced by measurement backaction

- Measurements change the quantum critical point and critical exponents.
- A unique 1D universality class beyond the conventional paradigm of TLL.







# **Continuous Monitoring of Quantum Systems**

A seminal experiment: cavity-QED experiment

Measure the cavity photon number through monitoring of atoms.



S. Gleyzes et al., Nature 446, 297 (2007)











Haroche, 2012

# **Continuous Monitoring of Quantum Systems**

A seminal experiment: cavity-QED experiment

Measure the cavity photon number through monitoring of atoms.



Application: measurement-based control of quantum systems

Prepare an arbitrary state of cavity photons. C. Sayrin et al., Nature 477, 73 (2011)

- Other systems: trapped ions, superconducting qubits, quantum dots, etc.
- → Restricted to quantum systems with small degrees of freedom



Haroche, 2012

# **Quantum Gas Microscopy**

# A new approach to quantum many-body systems via *in-situ* imaging of ultracold atoms

weak resolved imaging

single-site resolved imaging

Destructive single-shot measurement





N. Gemelke et al., Nature 460, 995 (2009) W. S. Bakr et al., Nature 462, 74 (2009)

Nondestructive continuous imaging of atoms



Y. Patil et al., PRA 90 033422 (2014)

no single-site resolution



Y. Ashida and MU, PRL 115,095301 (2015)

continuous monitoring of atoms with single-site resolution

# Our scheme dual to ENS experiments



Y. Ashida and MU, PRL 115,095301 (2015)

S. Gleyzes *et al.*, *Nature* **446** 297 (2007) C. Guerlin *et al.*, *Nature* **448** 889 (2007)

# **Quantum Gas Microscopy**

# A new approach to quantum many-body systems via *in-situ* imaging of ultracold atoms

weak resolved imaging

single-site resolved imaging (quantum gas microscopy)

Destructive single-shot measurement





# How does measurement backaction alter quantum many-body dynamics under continuous observation?

Nondestructive continuous Imaging of atoms



Y. Patil et al., PRA 90 033422 (2014)

no single-site resolution



Y. Ashida and MU, PRL 115,095301 (2015)

continuous monitoring of atoms with single-site resolution

# Multi-Particle Quantum Dynamics under Continuous Observation

#### **Our Model**

To continuously monitor atoms in an optical lattice by spatially resolved measurement.

• Measurement operator:  $\hat{M}(X) = \sqrt{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{m} f(X - md) \hat{n}_{m}$ point spread function atom number operator light  $d|\psi\rangle = -\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}|\psi\rangle dt$  unitary evolution under Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian • Dynamics:  $-\frac{1}{2}\int dX \Big(\hat{M}^{\dagger}(X)\hat{M}(X) - \langle \hat{M}^{\dagger}(X)\hat{M}(X)\rangle\Big)|\psi\rangle dt$ non-unitary evolution without photodetection  $+\int dX \left(\frac{\hat{M}(X)|\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \hat{M}^{\dagger}(X)\hat{M}(X)\rangle}} - |\psi\rangle\right) dN(X)$ with photodetection Poisson stochastic process

The last term describes the jump process associated with photodetection, and can be modeled by the Poisson stochastic process: dN(X).

## **Continuous-Measurement Limit**



1. From the central limit theorem, the Poisson process replaced by the Wiener process.

$$dN(X) = \langle \hat{M}^{\dagger}(X)\hat{M}(X)\rangle dt + \sqrt{\langle \hat{M}^{\dagger}(X)\hat{M}(X)\rangle} dW(X)$$

2. Take the low resolution limit ( $\sigma \rightarrow large$ ) to keep the disturbance of measurement small.

# **Distinguishable Particles**



The continuous monitoring model of distinguishable particles can be obtained by a simple generalization of the single particle model due to Diosi (1989).

$$d\hat{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{H}, \hat{\rho} \right] dt \quad -\frac{\gamma_{\text{tot}} d^2}{4\sigma^2} \left[ \hat{X}_{CM}, \left[ \hat{X}_{CM}, \hat{\rho} \right] \right] dt + \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{\text{tot}} d^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left\{ \hat{X}_{CM} - \langle \hat{X}_{CM} \rangle, \hat{\rho} \right\} dW$$
  
**center-of-mass decoherence**  

$$-\frac{d^2}{4\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_i \left[ \hat{r}_i, \left[ \hat{r}_i, \hat{\rho} \right] \right] dt + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_i d^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left\{ \hat{r}_i - \langle \hat{r}_i \rangle, \hat{\rho} \right\} dW_i$$

relative positional decoherence

The measurement back-action leads to the decoherence of the centerof-mass coordinate and that of the relative coordinates.

# Indistinguishable Particles



Indistinguishable particles should not recognize "relative positions." Mathematically, the relative coordinate part vanishes due to two-particle interference!

$$d\hat{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{H}, \hat{\rho} \right] dt - \frac{\gamma_{\text{tot}} d^2}{4\sigma^2} \left[ \hat{X}_{CM}, \left[ \hat{X}_{CM}, \hat{\rho} \right] \right] dt + \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{\text{tot}} d^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left\{ \hat{X}_{CM} - \langle \hat{X}_{CM} \rangle, \hat{\rho} \right\} dW$$
  
**center-of-mass decoherence**  

$$-\frac{d^2}{4\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_i \left[ \hat{r}_i, \left[ \hat{r}_i, \hat{\rho} \right] \right] dt + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_i d^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left\{ \hat{r}_i - \langle \hat{r}_i \rangle, \hat{\rho} \right\} dW_i$$

Quantum interference suppresses the relative positional decoherence, and only the center-of-mass decoherence term remains.

# **Three Distinct Regimes for the Dynamics**

$$d\hat{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[ \hat{H}, \hat{\rho} \right] dt \quad -\frac{\gamma_{\text{tot}} d^2}{4\sigma^2} \left[ \hat{X}_{CM}, \left[ \hat{X}_{CM}, \hat{\rho} \right] \right] dt + \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{\text{tot}} d^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left\{ \hat{X}_{CM} - \langle \hat{X}_{CM} \rangle, \hat{\rho} \right\} dW$$

center-of-mass decoherence

#### (i) Center-of-mass collapse regime

A superposition between different center-of-mass states is decohered, and therefore the center-of-mass coordinate localizes.

#### (ii) Inertial regime

Indistinguishable particles move balistically.

#### (iii) Diffusive regime

The center-of-mass motion exhibits diffusive behavior due to the random, probabilistic nature of the measurement backaction.

#### Numerical Simulations: Quantum Walks of Two Particles

#### Initial condition: two particles at adjacent sites

# 

#### Numerical Simulations: Quantum Walks of Two Particles



#### Numerical Simulations: Quantum Walks of Two Particles



#### Distinguishable vs. Indistinguishable Quantum Transport



#### **Distinguishable Particles**



### Indistinguishable Particles

#### Distinguishable

Measurement backaction → CM+relative positional decoherence

- uncorrelated
- diffusive random walk

#### Indistinguishable

CM+relative position decoherence (no relative positional decoherence)

- localized
- CM strongly correlated
  - ballistic transport (inertial regime)





#### **Dynamics for Strong Measurement: Diffusive Transport**



# Quantum Critical Phenomena under Continuous Observation

# The Model

#### continuously monitored quantum many-body system —



\*Ensemble average over all outcomes  $\rightarrow$  Dynamics described by the Lindblad eq.  $\rightarrow$  Quantum correlations and criticality are smeared out.

J. Schachenmayer et al., PRA 89, 011601 (2014).

Y. Yanay and E. J. Mueller, PRA 90, 023611 (2014).

# Properties and Interpretation of non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

• effective Hamiltonian: 
$$\hat{H}_{ ext{eff}} = \hat{H} - rac{\imath\gamma}{2}\sum_i \hat{M}_i^\dagger \hat{M}_i \quad \hat{H}$$
 exhibits quantum criticality.

- complex eigenvalues:  $E_{\lambda} \frac{i\Gamma_{\lambda}}{2}$   $E_{\lambda}$  real part: e the system
- $E_{\lambda}$  real part: effective energy of the system
  - $\Gamma_{\lambda} \quad \mbox{imaginary part: decay rate into} \\ \mbox{outside of the Hilbert space}$
- effective ground state:  $|\Psi_{\rm GS}
  angle$  eigenstate of  $\hat{H}_{\rm eff}$  having the lowest eigenenergy  $E_\lambda$

In our models,  $|\Psi_{\rm GS}
angle$  also has the minimal  $~\Gamma_{\lambda}$  (the longest lifetime).

#### Superfluid-Mott Insulator Transition in Bose-Hubbard Model

jump process: two-body loss



$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \hat{H}_{\text{BH}} - \frac{i\gamma}{2} \sum_{i} \hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i} \hat{b}_{i} \qquad \hat{M}_{i} = \hat{b}_{i}^{2}$$

The transition point is identified as the point at which an energy gap of the ground state vanishes.



The measurement backaction shifts the transition point, so that the Mott lobes expand.

#### Superfluid-Mott Insulator Transition in Bose-Hubbard Model

jump process: two-body loss



$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \hat{H}_{\text{BH}} - \frac{i\gamma}{2} \sum_{i} \hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{i} \hat{b}_{i} \qquad \hat{M}_{i} = \hat{b}_{i}^{2}$$

The transition point is identified as the point at which an

# Expansion of Mott lobes can be interpreted as suppression of the hopping rate due to continuous quantum Zeno effect



The measurement backaction shifts the transition point, so that the Mott lobes expand.

#### **Quantum Critical Phase of a 1D Bose Gas**



Low-energy Hamiltonian = non-Hermitian Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[ v_J (\partial_x \hat{\phi})^2 + \tilde{v}_N \hat{e^{-i\delta_\gamma}} (\partial_x \hat{\theta})^2 \right]$$
  
non-Hermiticity:  $\delta_\gamma \to 0 \ (\gamma \to 0)$ 

# Bifurcation of critical exponent: a unique universality class beyond TLL class

Measurement backaction bifurcates the critical exponent into two.



# Bifurcation of critical exponent: a unique universality class beyond TLL class

Measurement backaction bifurcates the critical exponent into two.

one-particle correlation:

density-density correlation:

$$\langle \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(r)\hat{\Psi}(0)\rangle \propto \left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2K_{\phi}}} \\ \langle \hat{\rho}(r)\hat{\rho}(0)\rangle - \rho_0^2 = -\frac{K_{\theta}}{2\pi^2 r^2} + \text{const.} \times \frac{\cos(2\pi\rho_0 r)}{r^{2K_{\theta}}}$$

# Emergence of two different exponents indicates the unique critical behavior beyond TLL universality class.



# Summary

#### Multi-particle dynamics under continuous observation

- We have derived a continuous position measurement model of indistinguishable particles.
- In contrast to distinguishable particles, indistinguishability protects the system from relative positional decoherence.
  - $\rightarrow$  decoherence-free subspace

#### Quantum critical behavior influenced by measurement backaction

 By analyzing non-Hermitian many-body Hamiltonians, we show that the measurement backaction shifts the quantum critical point and leads to a unique 1D quantum critical phase beyond the standard universality class of the Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid.

Y. Ashida and M. Ueda, arXiv: 1510.04001