Quantifying the impact of plasmon and paramagnon effects in "conventional" superconductors from first principles

Ryosuke AKASHI

Dept. of Phys., Univ. of Tokyo[,] Japan

Jun. 22, 2017

Thanks

In collaboration with

Univ. of Tokyo Shinji Tsuneyuki Kentaro Tsutsumi Mitsuaki Kawamura







<u>RIKEN</u>

Ryotaro Arita (My PhD supervisor)



Thanks

On the shoulder of:

Hardy Gross







Cooper pair



How can we describe the competition accurately?

It's possible.

Marques et al., PRB(2005); Floris et al., PRL (2005); Sanna et al., PRB (2007).



<u>It's possible.</u>

Marques et al., PRB(2005); Floris et al., PRL (2005); Sanna et al., PRB (2007).



...by DFT for superconductors.





Role of e-e Coulomb interaction:

-Roughly, static repulsion

-More accurately, as a medium of *fluctuations*

-dynamical charge fluctuation -spin fluctuation

Ν

Ab initio Hamiltonian for normal state electrons

$$H = T_e + U_{ee} + V_e$$

$$T_e : \text{Electrons, kinetic term} \quad V_e : \text{one-body potential term}$$

$$U_{ee} : \text{e-e, interaction term}$$

$$n(r) = \sum_{\sigma} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(r) \rangle$$

ormal-state Kohn-Sham Eq.

$$\left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + v_0^e(r) - \mu \right] \varphi(r) = \epsilon_i \varphi(r)$$

Kohn-Sham potential (functional of electron density)

L. N. Oliveira, E. K. U. Gross, and W. Kohn, PRL 60, 2430 (1988);

T. Kreibich and E. K. U. Gross, PRL 86, 2984 (2001);

M. Lueders, et al., PRB 72, 024545 (2005); M. A. L. Marques et al., PRB 72, 024546 (2005)

Ab initio Hamiltonian for superconductivity

$$\begin{split} H &= T_e + U_{ee} + T_n + U_{nn} + U_{en}(+\Delta) \\ T_e &: \text{Electrons, kinetic term} \quad T_n &: \text{nuclei, kinetic} \\ U_{ee} &: \text{e-e, interaction} & U_{nn} &: \text{n-n, interaction term} \\ U_{en} &: \text{e-n, interaction} & \Delta &: \text{gauge-symmetry breaking term} \end{split}$$

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\sigma} \langle \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \qquad \text{:electron normal density}$$

$$\Gamma(\underline{\mathbf{R}}) = \langle \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}(\underline{\mathbf{R}}) \hat{\Phi}(\underline{\mathbf{R}}) \rangle \qquad \text{:nuclei density}$$

$$\chi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \langle \hat{\Psi}_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}') \rangle \qquad \text{:electron anomalous density}$$

$$H = T_{e} + U_{ee} + T_{n} + U_{nn} + U_{en}(+\Delta)$$

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum \langle \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$$
$$\Gamma(\underline{\mathbf{R}}) = \langle \hat{\Phi}^{\dagger}(\underline{\mathbf{R}}) \hat{\Phi}(\underline{\mathbf{R}}) \rangle$$
$$\chi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \langle \hat{\Psi}_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}') \rangle$$

Kohn-Sham Bogoliubov-deGennes Eq. + Born-Oppenheimer Eq.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}}{2} + v_{0}^{e}(\boldsymbol{r}) - \mu \end{bmatrix} u_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}) - \int \Delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}') v_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}') = E_{n}u_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\ - \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}}{2} + v_{0}^{e}(\boldsymbol{r}) - \mu \end{bmatrix} v_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}) - \int \Delta_{0}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{r}') u_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}') = E_{n}v_{n}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\ \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{\alpha} -\frac{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}}^{2}}{2} + v_{0}^{n}(\boldsymbol{R}) \end{bmatrix} \Phi(\boldsymbol{R}) = \mathcal{E}_{n}\Phi(\boldsymbol{R}) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $v_0^e(r) \Delta_0(r,r')$ $v_0^n(\underline{R})$ {n, χ , Γ } dependent Kohn-Sham potentials

Self-consistent KS-BdG Eq. + BO Eq.

M. Lueders, et al., PRB 72, 024545 (2005)

Decoupling of dependencies

$$\begin{split} v_0^e([n,\chi,\Gamma];\mathbf{r}) &\approx v_0^e([n^{\mathrm{GS}},\Gamma_{\underline{\mathbf{R}}_0}];\mathbf{r}) \\ v_0^n([n,\chi,\Gamma];\underline{\mathbf{R}}) &\approx v_0^n([n^{\mathrm{GS}},\Gamma];\underline{\mathbf{R}}). \end{split}$$



Self-consistent KS-BdG Eq. + BO Eq.



Successive calculations

1, Normal-state Kohn-Sham Eq.	$\left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2} + v_0^e(\boldsymbol{r}) - \mu\right]\varphi_i(\boldsymbol{r}) = \epsilon_i\varphi_i(\boldsymbol{r})$
2, Normal-state BO Eq. (Harmonic level in practice)	$\left[\sum_{\alpha} -\frac{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}_{\alpha}}^{2}}{2} + v_{0}^{n}(\boldsymbol{\underline{R}})\right] \Phi(\boldsymbol{\underline{R}}) = \mathcal{E}_{n} \Phi(\boldsymbol{\underline{R}})$
3, Equation for anomalou	s density

Determination of anomalous density

Sham-Schlueter connection PRL 51, 1888 (1983)

The <u>interacting-system</u> densities must be identical to those of KS-BdG equations

 $H = H^{\mathrm{KS-BdG}} + H^{\mathrm{ph}} + H^{\mathrm{e-ph}} + H^{\mathrm{e-e}} - H_{\mathrm{Hxc}}$

Determination of anomalous density

Sham-Schlueter connection PRL 51, 1888 (1983)

The <u>interacting-system</u> densities must be identical to those of KS-BdG equations

$$H = H^{\mathrm{KS-BdG}} + H^{\mathrm{ph}} + H^{\mathrm{e-ph}} + H^{\mathrm{e-e}} - H_{\mathrm{Hxc}}$$

Dyson equation (Nambu 2x2 notation)

$$\mathbf{G}^{-1} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{KS-BdG}}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

$$\chi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n} e^{i\omega_n 0^+} F(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_n) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n} e^{i\omega_n 0^+} F_{\text{KS-BdG}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega_n)$$
$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n} e^{i\omega_n 0^+} G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},\omega_n) = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n} e^{i\omega_n 0^+} G_{\text{KS-BdG}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r},\omega_n)$$

Taylor expansion gives us linear equation for Δ_{xc} .

The "gap" equation

Lüders, Marques, Gross et al., PRB 72, 024545; 024546 (2005).

$$\Delta_{n\mathbf{k}} = -\mathcal{Z}_{n\mathbf{k}}\Delta_{n\mathbf{k}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n'\mathbf{k}'} \mathcal{K}_{n\mathbf{k}n'\mathbf{k}'} \frac{\tanh[(\beta/2)E_{n'\mathbf{k}'}]}{E_{n'\mathbf{k}'}} \Delta_{n'\mathbf{k}'}$$

The "gap" equation

Lüders, Marques, Gross et al., PRB 72, 024545; 024546 (2005).

$$\Delta_{n\mathbf{k}} = -\mathcal{Z}_{n\mathbf{k}}\Delta_{n\mathbf{k}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n'\mathbf{k}'} \mathcal{K}_{n\mathbf{k}n'\mathbf{k}'} \frac{\tanh[(\beta/2)E_{n'\mathbf{k}'}]}{E_{n'\mathbf{k}'}} \Delta_{n'\mathbf{k}'}$$

$$\Sigma \simeq \Sigma^{\mathrm{ph}} + \Sigma^{\mathrm{el}} - \Sigma^{\mathrm{Hxc}}(v_{\mathrm{Hxc}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{xc}})$$

The "gap" equation

Lüders, Marques, Gross et al., PRB 72, 024545; 024546 (2005).





 $Z=Z^{\text{ph}}(\mathbf{G}, D, g)+Z^{\text{el}}(\mathbf{G}, \Lambda)-Z^{\text{Hxc}}$ $K=K^{\text{ph}}(\mathbf{G}, D, g)+K^{\text{el}}(\mathbf{G}, \Lambda)$

Minimum: static metallic screening

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{q},\boldsymbol{\omega}) = W(\mathbf{q},\boldsymbol{\omega}) \simeq W(\mathbf{q},\boldsymbol{\omega}=0) \simeq \begin{cases} W^{\mathrm{T-F}}(\mathbf{q}) \\ W^{\mathrm{RPA}}(\mathbf{q}) \end{cases}$$

Marques et al., PRB(2005); Floris et al., PRL (2005); Sanna et al., PRB (2007).



What is missing in static *W*

RPA-screened interaction







What is missing in static *W*

RPA-screened interaction



Dynamical case



What is missing in static W

RPA-screened interaction



Dynamical case



Effect of high-energy repulsion on T_c



Effect of high-energy repulsion on T_c



Effect of high-energy repulsion on $T_{\rm c}$



Effect of high-energy repulsion on T_c







Effect of high-energy repulsion on $T_{\rm c}$





Plasmon superconducting mechanism

Takada, 1978; Rietschel and Sham, 1983

Superconductivity in dilute electron gas Y. Takada, JPSJ **45**, 786 (1978).



Plasmon superconducting mechanism

Takada, 1978; Rietschel and Sham, 1983

Superconductivity in dilute electron gas Y. Takada, JPSJ **45**, 786 (1978).



Plasmon superconducting mechanism

Takada, 1978; Rietschel and Sham, 1983

Superconductivity in dilute electron gas Y. Takada, JPSJ **45**, 786 (1978).



Plasmon-phonon cooperation

$$\Delta_{n\mathbf{k}} = -\mathcal{Z}_{n\mathbf{k}}\Delta_{n\mathbf{k}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n'\mathbf{k}'} \mathcal{K}_{n\mathbf{k}n'\mathbf{k}'} \frac{\tanh[(\beta/2)E_{n'\mathbf{k}'}]}{E_{n'\mathbf{k}'}} \Delta_{n'\mathbf{k}'}.$$



Plasmon-phonon cooperation

$$\Delta_{n\mathbf{k}} = -\mathcal{Z}_{n\mathbf{k}}\Delta_{n\mathbf{k}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n'\mathbf{k}'} \mathcal{K}_{n\mathbf{k}n'\mathbf{k}'} \frac{\tanh[(\beta/2)E_{n'\mathbf{k}'}]}{E_{n'\mathbf{k}'}} \Delta_{n'\mathbf{k}'}.$$



RA and R. Arita, PRL 111, 057006 (2013); J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 83, 061016 (2014)

<u>Unconventional</u>: Possible origin of pairing

D. J. Scalapino, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1383 (2012);F. Essenberger, et al., PRB 94, 014503 (2016)

<u>Conventional</u>:

- Suppression of pairing due to exchange effect
- Ex. effect is significant in transition metals having d-electr



The effect of SF in V, Nb^{[1][2]} and some other materials^[1] has been explored using empirical parameters

[1] M. Wierzbowska, The Eur. Phys. J. B 48, 207 (2005).
[2] H. Rietschel and H. Winter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 1256 (1979).

Incorporating spin fluctuation

F. Essenberger, et al. PRB 90, 214504 (2014); 94, 014503 (2016)



The RPA-screened interaction is spin-independent.

Coulomb

Incorporating spin fluctuation

F. Essenberger, et al. PRB 90, 214504 (2014); 94, 014503 (2016)



The RPA-screened interaction is spin-independent.



Incorporating spin fluctuation

F. Essenberger, et al. PRB 90, 214504 (2014); 94, 014503 (2016)



The RPA-screened interaction is spin-independent.



G. Vignale and K. S. Singwi, PRB **32**, 2156 (1985)

Sum up the "dangerous" terms in small (q, w) limit
1) long-range character of bare Coulomb interaction
2) gapless particle-hole excitation

"Dangerous" interaction terms (including RPA)



C. A. Kukkonen and A. W. Overhauser, PRB 20, 550 (1979).G. Vignale and K. S. Singwi, PRB 32, 2156 (1985)



C. A. Kukkonen and A. W. Overhauser, PRB 20, 550 (1979).G. Vignale and K. S. Singwi, PRB 32, 2156 (1985)



C. A. Kukkonen and A. W. Overhauser, PRB 20, 550 (1979).G. Vignale and K. S. Singwi, PRB 32, 2156 (1985)



interaction

C. A. Kukkonen and A. W. Overhauser, PRB 20, 550 (1979).G. Vignale and K. S. Singwi, PRB 32, 2156 (1985)



Kernels originating from SF

SCDFT gap equation with the effect of SF

$$\Delta_{k}^{\mathrm{xc}} = -Z_{k} \Delta_{k}^{\mathrm{xc}} - \sum_{k'} K_{kk'} \frac{\tanh\left[\left(\beta/2\right)E_{k'}\right]}{2E_{k'}} \Delta_{k'}^{\mathrm{xc}}$$

$$Z_{k} = Z_{k}^{\mathrm{ph}} + Z_{k}^{\mathrm{SF}}$$

$$K_{kk'} = K_{kk'}^{\mathrm{ph}} + K_{kk'}^{\mathrm{cl}} + K_{kk'}^{\mathrm{SF}}$$

$$K_{kk'}^{\mathrm{SF}} = K_{kk'}^{\mathrm{SF}}(\mathbf{G}, \Lambda^{\mathrm{SF}}) \qquad Z_{k}^{\mathrm{SF}} = Z_{k}^{\mathrm{SF}}(\mathbf{G}, \Lambda^{\mathrm{SF}})$$

[1] F. Essenberger *et al.*, PRB **90**, 214504 (2014). 42
[2] M. Marques, Ph.D. thesis, 1998.

Procedure of calculation



Comparison of SCDFT & expt. $T_{\rm C}$

K. Tsutsumi, M. Kawamura, <u>RA</u>, and S. Tsuneyuki in preparation



Summary

- <u>R. AKASHI</u> and R. Arita, PRL **111**, 057003 (2013); K. Tsutsumi, M. Kawamura, <u>R. AKASHI</u>, and S. Tsuneyuki in preparation
- First-principles calculation of T_C including the effects of *dynamical charge* and *spin* fluctuations
- Plasmon effect generally co-operates with phonon, whereas spin fluctuation competes.
- Strong electronic localization leads to significant $T_{\rm C}$ reduction due to SF
- Agreement with expt. $T_{\rm C}$ becomes better in wider range of materials
- Application to other materials is desired.