2018 DREB Symposium

### The structure of <sup>19</sup>Ne with a radioactive <sup>15</sup>O beam

Ewha Womans University Dahee Kim

# Motivation

• <sup>18</sup>F nucleosynthesis in the classical nova



# **Previous study**

#### K. Y. Chae et al. Astrophysical S- factor of the <sup>18</sup>F(p,a)<sup>15</sup>O reaction

#### Interference effect

- Several resonances near the proton threshold (E<sub>x</sub> = 6.411 MeV) mainly affect the <sup>18</sup>F(p,α)<sup>15</sup>O reaction rate in T<sub>9</sub> = 0.04 ~ 0.4. These states were well investigated by many studies.
- However, the 3/2+subthreshold states and above the proton threshold states were interference each others, and it affect the reaction rate between T<sub>9</sub> = 0.04 ~ 0.4.

$E_r$ (keV)	$J^{\pi}$	$\Gamma_p$ (keV)	$\Gamma_{\alpha}$ (keV)	Ref.
8	3/2+	$2.2 \times 10^{-37}$	0.5	[10]
26	1/2-	$1.1 \times 10^{-20}$	220.0	[10]
38	3/2+	$4.0 \times 10^{-15}$	4.0	[10]
287	5/2+	$1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	1.2	[10]
330	3/2-	$2.22 \times 10^{-3}$	2.7	[11]
450	7/2-	$1.6 \times 10^{-5}$	3.1	[12]
664.7	3/2+	15.2	24.0	[8]
827	3/2+	0.35	6.0	[12]
842	$1/2^+$	0.2	23.0	[12]
1009	7/2+	27.0	71.0	[12]
1089	5/2+	1.25	0.24	[12]
1122	5/2-	10.0	21.0	[12]



# **Previous study**

#### Missing state

C.D. Nesaraja et al. <sup>19</sup>Ne and <sup>19</sup>F mirror states

- Due to the insufficient of experimental results in <sup>19</sup>Ne, important resonance parameters in <sup>19</sup>Ne were extracted from the mirror nuclei <sup>19</sup>F.
- The E<sub>x</sub> = 7.054 MeV state is assumed that it may affect the <sup>18</sup>F(p,α)<sup>15</sup>O reaction rate. However, it has not been measured yet.



# **Previous study**

#### • Alpha cluster states



Otani et al. (2016) Theorical calculation on excitation energy of <sup>19</sup>Ne D. Torresi et al. (2017) <sup>15</sup>O+alpha Excitation function fitting resul t ( $\theta_{c.m.} = 180^\circ$ ) Fitting result used R-matri x code (SAMMY)

# Purpose

**Missing state** 

To study the <sup>18</sup>F(p,α)<sup>15</sup>O reaction rate
 Affects the abundance calculation model of <sup>18</sup>F in the classical nova

#### $^{15}O+\alpha \rightarrow ^{19}Ne^*$

 Find accurate resonance parameters of <sup>19</sup>Ne near the proton threshold

### 6.419 MeV, 6.449 MeV <sup>Spin,</sup> (7.054 MeV), 7.0757 MeV, 7.420 MeV

Existence ??

To investigate the structure of <sup>19</sup>Ne & <sup>19</sup>F in a wide energy range

# **Experimental set-up**



#### Thick target method







# **Reaction reconstruction**

#### Reaction reconstruction



$$\cos\theta = \overrightarrow{V}_{beam} \cdot \overrightarrow{V}_{\alpha}$$

 $\rightarrow$  The reaction point depends on the detected  $\alpha$  particle energy,  $\alpha$  position, the beam direction, and energy loss in the target.

# **Reaction reproduction**

#### Differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{YM(^4He)}{N_{beam}N_A T_{eff}\Delta\Omega}$$

Y:yield (#/s)

M(<sup>4</sup>He) : 4.003 g/mol

N<sub>beam</sub> : number of <sup>15</sup>O beam particles

N<sub>A</sub>: Avogardro number(6.02\*10<sup>23</sup>#)

T<sub>eff</sub> : Effective thickness (g/cm<sup>2</sup>)

 $\Delta\Omega$  : solid angle (sr)

# Data analysis

#### Background reduction



#### Cross section



#### Cross section



#### • The obtained <sup>19</sup>F resonance parameters

Table 3.2. Summary of <sup>19</sup>F resonance parameters compared with previous studies.

Previous study						This work	
$E_x (MeV \pm keV)$	$\Gamma_{\alpha} \ (\text{keV})$	$\Gamma ~({\rm keV})$	$\mathrm{J}^{\pi}$	Ref.	$\mid \mathrm{E}_x \; (\mathrm{MeV})$	$\Gamma_{\alpha} \; (\mathrm{keV})$	$\mathrm{J}^{\pi}$
$6.536 \pm 5^{a}$	$245\pm6$	-	$\frac{1}{2}^{-}$	[24,  25,  46]			
$6.838\pm0.9^b$	1.2	-	$\frac{\overline{5}}{2}^+$	[24,25]	6.74	$0.9\pm0.4$	$\frac{5}{2}$ +
$6.989\pm3^b$	$96\pm6$	-	$\frac{1}{2}^{-}$	[24,25]	6.94	$99 \pm 26$	$\frac{1}{2}^{-}$
$7.114 \pm 6^{b}$	$25 \pm 4$	-	$\frac{5}{2}^{+}$	[15, 24, 25, 43, 45, 46]	7.05	$30\pm4$	$(\frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{7}{2}^+)$
$7.353^{a}$	65	-	$\frac{7}{2}^{+}$	[24,25]	7.26	$68\pm6$	$(rac{5}{2}^+, rac{7}{2}^+)$
$7.56 \pm 10^{b}$	-	< 90	$\frac{7}{2}^{+}$	[24]	7.49	$80\pm6$	$\frac{7}{2}^+$
7.587	$\Gamma_{lab} < 50$	-	$(\frac{5}{2}^{-})$	[42]	7.52	$41\pm9$	$\frac{5}{2}$ –
$7.702\pm5$	-	< 30	$\frac{1}{2}^{-}$	[42]	7.64	$57 \pm 15$	$\left(\frac{3}{2}^{-}\right)$
$7.88^{c}$	-	< 260	-	[24]			

<sup>a</sup>from Ref. [25]

<sup>b</sup>from Ref. [42]

<sup>c</sup>from Ref. [24]

## Discussion (19F)

- We successfully reproduce the previous result of <sup>19</sup>F.
- The Ex = 7.114 MeV state J<sup> $\pi$ </sup> could be assigned with 7/2+ and 5/2+. We obtained the best fit with 5/2+, and the J<sup> $\pi$ </sup> =3/2+ was ruled out.
- The Ex = 7.353 MeV state spin assignment was changed from 7/2+ to 5/2+.
- The Ex = 7.56 and 7.58 MeV states were newly determined the alpha width.
- The Ex = 7.64 MeV state can be a newly found state in  $^{19}$ F because we could not find the corresponded J<sup> $\pi$ </sup> and  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$  in the previous results.



#### • The obtained <sup>19</sup>Ne resonance parameters

		Previou	us study			nis work	
$E_x^a (MeV \pm keV)$	${ m E}_{\gamma}{}^a~({ m keV})$	$\Gamma_{\alpha} (\text{keV})$	$\mathrm{J}^{\pi}$	Ref.	$\mid \mathbf{E}_x \ (\mathrm{MeV})$	$\Gamma_{\alpha} \ (\text{keV})$	$J^{\pi}$
6.437	$26 \pm 9$	$216 \pm 19$	$\frac{1}{2}^{-}$	[26, 49]			
6.939	$528\pm309$	$99 \pm 69$	$\frac{\overline{1}}{2}$	[51]	6.94	138	$\frac{1}{2}^{-}$
(7.054)	$643 \pm 30$	$29 \pm 25$	$(\frac{5}{2}^{+},\frac{7}{2}^{+})$	[15, 51]	7.03	20	$\frac{5}{2}$ +
7.076	$664.7 \pm 16$	$23.8 \pm 1.2$	$\frac{3}{2}$	[4, 10, 14, 15, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23]	7.11	38	$\frac{3}{2}^{+}$
7.326	$915 \pm 11$	$46 \pm 40$	$\frac{\overline{1}}{2}^+$	[14, 42, 49]	7.24	- 38	$(\frac{5}{2}^+)$
7.420	$1009\pm14$	$71 \pm 11$	$(\frac{7}{2}^+)$	[15, 23]	7.35	72	$\frac{7}{2}^{+}$
7.531	$1120\pm11$	$21 \pm 11$	$\frac{5}{2}$	[4, 23, 49]	7.35	25	$\frac{5}{2}^{-}$
7.608	$1197 \pm 11$	$43 \pm 15$	$\frac{\bar{3}}{2}^+$	[4, 51]	7.40	46	(3-)
7.644	$1233 \pm 12$	$16 \pm 6$	$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$	[4, 22, 51]	• 1.49	40	$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$
7.758	$1347\pm5$	$5\pm 2$	$\frac{3}{2}$	[22]	7.78	308	$\left(\frac{5}{2}^{-}\right)$

Table 3.3. Summary of <sup>19</sup>Ne resonance parameters compared with previous studies.

<sup>a</sup>from Ref. [51]

# Discussion (<sup>19</sup>Ne)

- The 7.076 MeV state was identified and assigned the J<sup>n</sup> with 3/2+.
- The strong peak was found at  $E_x \sim 7.3$  MeV which may consists with four resonances , Ex = 7.326, 7.420, 7.531, and 7.644 MeV.
- The 7.420 MeV state was ruled out for the <sup>18</sup>F(p,α)<sup>19</sup>Ne reaction rate calculation due to the weak evidence. However, we found with a large alpha width so we suggest the 7.420 MeV state should be considered to the calculation.



#### • Mirror states



This diagram shows the presumed mirror states using our analysis results.

- The mirror state of 7.076 MeV state in <sup>19</sup>Ne is still missing.
- The missing state at Ex = 7.054 MeV was measured in the present experiment. This state can be connected to Ex = 7.114 MeV state in <sup>19</sup>F.
- The mirror state of  $E_x = 7.56$  MeV in <sup>19</sup>F was found at  $E_x = 7.420$  MeV in <sup>19</sup>Ne.
- For the  $E_x = 7.608$  MeV state, which may be a new state in <sup>19</sup>Ne, we found the candidate of a mirror state at  $E_x = 7.64$  MeV.

# Result : ${}^{18}F(p,\alpha){}^{15}O$ reaction rate

- The <sup>18</sup>F(p,α)<sup>15</sup>O reaction rate was calculated using our results.
- The  $E_x = 7.076$  MeV state is still dominant in the reaction rate.
- We found newly determined  $E_x$ = 7.420 and 7.326 MeV states are also affect the <sup>18</sup>F(p, $\alpha$ )<sup>15</sup>O reaction rate



# Conclusions

- Experimental data for <sup>19</sup>F, which is the mirror nuclei of <sup>19</sup>Ne, were also taken for the analysis of <sup>19</sup>Ne data
- More than 8 peaks in <sup>19</sup>Ne were shown in silicon telescopes with good energy resolution (E<sub>c.m.</sub> = 40 keV).
- The <sup>18</sup>F(p,α)<sup>15</sup>O reaction rate was calculated using our data, and we found newly observed states affect to the reaction rate.
- Alpha cluster structures were shown in <sup>19</sup>Ne and <sup>19</sup>F.
   Further study is going on.

# Thank you for your attention

# Motivation

• <sup>18</sup>F nucleosynthesis in classical novae





& ONe CO ONe	${ m ^{18}F} m ^{7}Be}{{ m ^{22}Na}}$	109.77 min 77 days 2.6018 vr	511 keV line & continuun 478 keV line 1275 keV line
ONe	<sup>26</sup> Al	$10^6 { m yr}$	1809 keV line

# Contents

#### I. Introduction

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Purpose
- 3. Theoretical overview

#### II. The <sup>15</sup>O+ $\alpha$ experiment

- 1. Experimental setup
- 2. Production of RI beam
- 3. The target system

#### III. Data analysis

- 1. Particle Identification
- 2. Reconstruction of the reaction
- 3. Background reduction
- 4. Differential cross-section
- 5. Error propagation

#### **IV. Results**

- 1.  $^{15}N+\alpha$  scattering experiment
- 2.  $^{15}$ O+ $\alpha$  scattering experiment

#### V. Conclusions

# Experiment

• Thick target method in inverse kinematics (TTIK)



# Uncertainty

#### • Error propagation

$$\delta(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}) = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \times \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta Y}{Y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta \Delta \Omega}{\Delta \Omega}\right)^2}$$

Table 2.4. Uncertainties for the  ${}^{15}O+\alpha$  elastic scattering run.

	Factor	Uncertainty	Reference
$E_{c.m.}$ uncertainty	Beam broadening Detector resolution SRIM calculation Total	$\sim 55 \ { m keV}$ 30 - 40 keV 3 - 40 keV $\sim 135 \ { m keV}$	Section II.E.3 Table 2.3 Section II.E.2
Cross-section uncertainty	Yield estimation Solid angle calculation	$\begin{array}{l} \sim < 1\% \\ \sim 10\% \end{array}$	Section II.E.3 Section II.E.5

Reaction rate & Gamow peak

For the narrow resonance, the stellar reaction rate per particle pair

$$<\sigma v> = \left(\frac{8}{\pi\mu}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{(kT)^{3/2}} S(E_0) \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{E}{kT} - \frac{b}{E^{1/2}}\right) dE.$$



Gamow peak (E<sub>0</sub>)  $E_0 = \left(\frac{bkT}{2}\right)^{2/3} = 0.1220(Z_1^2 Z_2^2 \mu T_9^2)^{1/3} \text{MeV}$ Effective range of Gamow peak (E<sub>0</sub>)  $\Delta E_0 = \frac{4}{3^{1/2}} (E_0 kT)^{1/2} = 0.2368(Z_1^2 Z_2^2 \mu T_9^5)^{1/6} \text{MeV}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  The energy range of the reaction rate depends on the stellar temperature.

Reaction rate & Gamow peak

For the <sup>18</sup>F(p, $\alpha$ )<sup>15</sup>O reaction, the Gamow window is



#### Narrow resonance

For the total width ( $\Gamma$ ) is smaller than the resonance energy ( $E_R$ ), the cross section can be changed for an isolated and single narrow resonance (Breit-Wigner formula).

The reaction rate can be expressed as

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = \left(\frac{8}{\pi\mu}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{(kT)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty E\sigma_{BW}(E) \exp\left(-\frac{E}{kT}\right) dE.$$

For  $E \sim E_{R.}$ 

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\mu kT}\right)^{3/2} \hbar^2 (\omega \gamma)_R exp\left(-\frac{E}{kT}\right),$$

where,

$$(\omega\gamma)_R = \frac{2J+1}{(2J_a+1)(2J_b+1)} \frac{\Gamma_a \Gamma_b}{\Gamma}.$$

→ For this reason, the resonance parameters  $J^{\pi}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ , and  $\Gamma_{p}$  are important to estimate the reaction rate

# Experiment

#### • Error propagation



Beam broadening ~300 keV

 Table 2.3. Energy resolution of Telescopes

Iterescope $#1$ (kev)	Telescope $#2$ (keV)
35	42
34	33
34	35
90	84
74	79
116	95
	35 34 34 90 74 116

Silicon telescopes resolution

#### $\alpha$ Calibration using run #148



#### $\alpha$ Calibration with run #147



#### Solid angle concept







#### • Stellar reaction rate

Average of stellar reaction rate per particle pair

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = \int_0^\infty \phi(v) \sigma(v) v dv$$
$$= \left(\frac{8}{\pi\mu}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{(kT)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty E\sigma(E) \exp\left(-\frac{E}{kT}\right) dE$$

 $\phi(v)$  = gas velocity which follows Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution

Solving a shorodinger equation for Coulomb potential :

$$\sigma(E) = \frac{1}{E} \exp(-2\pi\eta) S(E)$$

S(E) : Astrophysical factor ,  $\eta$  : Sommerfeld parameter

Final stellar reaction rate at given temperature T :

$$<\sigma v> = \left(\frac{8}{\pi\mu}
ight)^{1/2} \frac{1}{(kT)^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty S(E) \exp\left(-\frac{E}{kT} - \frac{b}{E^{1/2}}
ight) dE$$

# HR diagram



# Supernova observed just after explosion

13th February 2017 by Maarten Rikken

The observation was early enough to determine for the first time what happens in the early stages of a supernova.





36

z			18Na 1.3E-21 S P: 100.00%	19Na <40 NS P	20Na 447.9 MS 8: 100.00% 80: 20.10%	21 Na 22.49 S 8: 100.00%	22Na 2.6018 Y 8: 100.00%	23Na STABLE 100%	24Na 14.997 H β-: 100.00%
10		16Ne 9E-21 S 2P: 100.00%	171Ne 109.2 MS 8p: 100.00% 8: 100.00%	18 <b>Ne</b> 1.6670 S 8: 100.00 <b>%</b>	19Ne 17.22 S 8: 100.00%	20Nc STABLE 90.48%	21 Ne STABLE 0.27%	22Ne STABLE 9.25%	23 <b>Νε</b> 37.24 S β-: 100.00%
9	14F 910 KeV P	15P 660 KeV P: 100.00%	16P 40 KeV P: 100.00%	17P 64.49 S 8: 100.00%	18P 109.77 M 8: 100.00%	19F STABLE 100%	20F 11.07 S β-: 100.00%	21F 4.158 S β-: 100.00%	22F 4230 MS β-: 100.00% β-π < 11.0%
8	130 8.58 MS 8: 100.00% 8p: 11.30%	140 70.620 S 8: 100.00%	150 122.24 S 8: 100.00%	160 STABLE 99.757%	170 STABLE 0.038%	180 STABLE 0.205%	19Ο 26.88 S β-: 100.00%	20Ο 13.51 S β-: 100.00%	21Ο 3.42 S β-: 100.00%
7	12N 11.000 MS 8: 100.00%	13N 9.965 M 8: 100.00%	14N STABLE 99.63	15N STABLE 0.364%	16N 7.13 S β-: 100.00% β-α: 1.2E-3%	17N 4171 MS β-: 100.00% β-π: 95.10%	18N 619 MS β-: 100.00% β-α: 12.20%	19Ν 336 MS β-: 100.00% β-π: 41.80%	20N 1 36 MS β-: 100.00% β-π: 42.90%
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	N



# Analysis – psd1 mapping





# Analysis



#### Alpha particle sorting

TOF = T(PPACb)-T(PSD1b)

- 1. Separate <sup>15</sup>O beam from produced particles
- 2. Identify alpha particle from dE-E graph
- 3. Sort alpha particle from E-TDC graph

#### $\alpha$ Calibration using run #148 & #149





# Experiment



 $^{15}O + \alpha \rightarrow ^{15}O + \alpha$ 

# Analysis $V_{(Y_1, X_1, Z_1)}$ $V_{(Y_2, X_2, Z_2)}$ $V_{(Y_2, X_2, Z_2)}$

300mm



$$\begin{aligned} \cos\theta_{lab} &= Ubx \times Uax_{+} U_{by} \times Uay + Ubz + Uaz \\ E_{\alpha} &= \frac{E_{beam} \times m_{target} \times m_{beam} \times 4cos^{2}\theta_{lab}}{(m_{target} + mbeam)^{2}} \\ E_{\alpha f} &- Eexp < 10 keV \end{aligned}$$

# **R-Matrix(scattering theory)**

Cross section :

$$W = P^{1/2} (I - RL)^{-1} (I - RL^*) P^{-1/2}$$

L = (S - B) + iP

S : shift factor

B : arbitrary boundary constant

 P&S : function of energy (depend orbital angular momentum I and channel radius a<sub>c</sub>)

$$R_{cc'} = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\gamma_{\lambda c} \gamma_{\lambda c'}}{E_{\lambda} - E} \delta_{JJ'}$$

General R-matrix term

Modified with Reich Moore approximation in SAMMY

$$R_{cc'} = \left[\sum_{\lambda} \frac{\gamma_{\lambda c} \gamma_{\lambda c'}}{E_{\lambda} - E - i\overline{\Gamma}_{\lambda \gamma}/2} + R_{c}^{ext} \delta_{cc'}\right] \delta_{JJ'}$$

# R-Matrix(SAMMY code)

#pr @le	epare pa vels=(	rfil	e	
#	Ex E_w	idth	spingroup	#Ex=Ecm+thresholdE
	"7.250	113	2", #select	
	"7.449	15	7", #select	
	"7.505	64	6", #select	
	"7.585	13	14", #select	t
	"8.244	4	12", #select	t

Orbital momentum	Incidence channel spin	Total angular momentum
0	-1/2	1/2 <sup>-</sup>
1	-1/2	1/2+
	-1/2	3/2+

print INP
"Oxygen15-alpha resonance scattering
150 15.0031 5976500. 30000000.
KEY-WORD PARTICLE-PAir definitions
PRINT ALL INPUT PARAMETERS
chi squared is wanted
differential data are in ascii file
do not suppress any intermediate results
generate odf file automatically
do not solve bayes equations
print debug information
print theoretical values
broadening is not wanted
twenty

Name=150+a0	Pa=alpha	
Pb=150	Zb= 8 Mb= 15.00306	Sb= -0.5
Name=18F+p0	Pa=proton	
Pb=18F	Zb= 9 Mb= 18.00090	Sb= 1.0

5.67	'50	0.	01000	30
DIFFERE	NTIAL	EL/	STIC	SCATTERING
1		1	180.0	
			1.0	
1	1	0	-0.5	1.0
1	150+a0	)	0	-0.5
2	1	0	0.5	1.0
1	150+a0	)	1	-0.5
3	1	0	1.5	1.0
1	150+a0	)	1	-0.5
4	1	0	-1.5	1.0
1	150+a0		2	-0.5