Origin of the magnetospheric X-ray radiation from the rotation powered pulsars

> Shinpei Shibata, Yamagata University

パルサーからのX線の起源について



Because it is rotation powered, Ω , μ (B_d), a must predict uniquely Lx, spectrum and everything.

This spirit is ill.

Things are much more complicated: e.g. Toroidal magnetic field (multipole field) Coupling with the NS evolution Metastable states of the magnetosphere

+ magnetar, CCO,XINS,...
→Comprehensive study

Introduction

Challenges

GeV gamma-ray pulses outer gap vs current sheet ?

\bullet variation

- Radio ON/OFF, null, mode change, RRAT
- High-B PSR; radio OFF on magnetar bursts
- Magnetar; radio ON on busts
 - neither Ω , μ , a, torque/current change
 - →not local but global
 - mechanism?

meta stable states,

toroidal fields (multipole fields)

Lx-Lrot plot shows a large scatter origin?

High efficiency Lx/Lrot: soft γ-ray pulsar hot pulsar Low efficiency; ?????? Linked with PWN

Lx/Lrot ~ 10⁻³ = const., but large scatter Lx bright → soft gamma-ray pulsars, hot pulsars Lx dim → ????



A hint; Lx(PWN) has also large scatter





■Magnetospheric emission; non thermal Polar cap heating: thermal small area Cooling radiation; thermal large area Magnetic heating; thermal

Presumption X-ray spectrum shall be decomposed eg. Thermal / non-thermal and hopefully phase resolved. →XMM, Chandra, NuSTAR, NICER etc.

Decomposition of spectrum is a very strong tool!

Lx-age plot





Origin of the magnetospheric X-ray radiation

What determines Lx(magnetosphere)?

The mechanism must be linked with Lx(PWN)

Aim

Origin of the magnetospheric X-ray radiation Outer Gap X Polar Caps ()

We revisit the full polar cap pair cascade model

"Full Polar Cap Cascade Scenario: Gamma-Ray and X-Ray Luminosities from Spin-powered Pulsars" Zhang, B., & Harding, A.K. 2000, ApJ, 532, 1150

 Syn. R "Quantized synchrotron radiation in strong magnetic fields" Harding, A.~K., & Preece, R. 1987, ApJ, 319, 939
 "Magentic compton-induced pair cascade model for gamma-ray pulsars" Sturner, Steven J., Dermer, Charles D., Michel, F. Curtis 1995, ApJ, 445, 736

"On the polar cap cascade pair multiplicity of young pulsars" Timokhin, A.N., & Harding, A.K. 2015, ApJ, 810, 144 Aim

Origin of the magnetospheric X-ray radiation Outer Gap X Polar Caps () We revisit the full polar cap pair cascade model

Obtain Lx, its spectrum as function of μ (or B_d), Ω , a, B (multipole/toroidal field), R_c etc.

Polar Cap Model



Energy Flow in the cascade



Polar Cap Model



Standard Polar Cap model

Magnetic Pair Creation

$$\gamma_{p} = \frac{h\nu_{1}}{2mc^{2}} = \chi \frac{B_{q}}{B_{\perp}} = \chi \frac{B_{q}}{B} \frac{R_{c}}{\ell_{p}}$$

$$\gamma_{p}$$

$$h\nu_{1} = B(\ell_{p}/R_{c})$$
Primary photon = curvature rad.
$$\frac{h\nu_{1}}{2mc^{2}} = \frac{3}{4} \frac{\hbar/mc}{R_{c}} \gamma_{1}^{3}$$

$$\gamma_{1}^{3} = \frac{4\chi}{3} \frac{B_{q}}{B} \frac{R_{c}^{2}}{\ell_{p}(\hbar/mc)}$$

large B \rightarrow short pair mean free path if γ_1 const.

Accelerator model: Space Charge Limited Flow

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E}_{\parallel} = 4\pi (\rho_e - \rho_{gj}) \qquad \rho_{gj} = \Omega B / 2\pi c$$

$$E_{\parallel} = \frac{2\Delta j\Omega B}{c} \ell_1$$

$$condition \, \text{II}$$

$$V_1 \approx \frac{1}{2} E_{\parallel} \ell_1 = \Delta j \frac{\Omega B}{c} \ell_1^2$$

$$with \quad \ell_p \approx 0.14\ell_1$$
pair production front

pair production front





length of the accelerator



Standard Polar Cap or Not?

Primary particle energy γ_1 saturated by curvature radiation drag force

$$\tau c = \frac{3}{2} \frac{R_c^2}{r_e} \frac{1}{\gamma_1^3} \qquad \stackrel{>}{<} \qquad \ell_1 = \frac{\ell_p}{\chi_p} = \frac{4\chi}{3\chi_p} \frac{B_q}{B} \frac{R_c^2}{\hbar/mc} \frac{1}{\gamma_1^3}$$

normal polar cap
$$\frac{9}{8} \frac{\chi_p}{\alpha \chi} \frac{B}{B_q} = 573 \frac{B}{B_q} > 1$$

otherwise saturated primary energy →partial screened gap?

Standard Polar Cap or Not?

All the accelerator power goes to the pair luminosity or not?

$$\tau c = \frac{3}{2} \frac{R_c^2}{r_e} \frac{1}{\gamma_1^3} \quad \stackrel{\rm$$

$$\frac{\tau c}{R} = \frac{3^{13/7} \chi_p^{6/7} r_c^{2/7}}{2^{19/7} \alpha \chi^{6/7}} \left(\frac{B}{B_q}\right)^{3/7} \left(\frac{R_L}{\hbar/mc}\right)^{4/7} \left(\frac{R}{\hbar/mc}\right)^{-6/7}$$
$$= 2.98 \times 10^{-1} \left(\frac{B}{0.1B_q}\right)^{3/7} P^{4/7} > 1$$

V1 < emf : limit of the unipolar induction

$$\frac{\ell_1}{R_{pc}} = 0.167 \left(\frac{B}{B_q}\right)^{-4/7} P^{15/14} \sim 1$$



Pair Luminosity at the 1st generation



Energy Flow in the cascade How much fraction is radiated? ccelerator











Resonant IC vs Synchrotron Radiation





Cascade and its termination



RICを放射するすべてのブランチを数え上げる

$$(SR + RIC)^{\zeta-1} \qquad a \zeta + b \zeta' = c \eta_{IC}(\zeta') = \eta_{\perp}^{\zeta-2-\zeta'} \eta_{\parallel}^{\zeta'+1} \qquad a = \ln \kappa_{SR} \\ b = \ln(\kappa_{IC}/\kappa_{SR}) \\ \kappa_{SR}^{\zeta} \left(\frac{\kappa_{IC}}{\kappa_{SR}}\right)^{\zeta'} = \frac{h\nu_{esc}}{h\nu_{1}} \frac{\kappa_{SR}^{2}}{\kappa_{IC}} \qquad c = \ln\left(\frac{h\nu_{esc}}{h\nu_{1}} \frac{\kappa_{SR}^{2}}{\kappa_{IC}}\right)$$

Fractional Luminosity of RIC

$$\begin{split} \eta_{IC} &= \sum_{\zeta'=0}^{(c-2a)/(a+b)} \frac{a+b}{a} \frac{\Gamma(\zeta-1)}{\Gamma(\zeta'+1)\Gamma(\zeta-1-\zeta')} \eta_{\perp}^{\zeta-2-\zeta'} \eta_{\parallel}^{\zeta'+1} \\ &\approx \int_{0}^{(c-2a)/(a+b)} \frac{a+b}{a} \frac{\Gamma(\zeta-1)}{\Gamma(\zeta'+1)\Gamma(\zeta-1-\zeta')} \eta_{\perp}^{\zeta-2-\zeta'} \eta_{\parallel}^{\zeta'+1} d\zeta' \\ &= (1+\frac{b}{a}) \eta_{\perp}^{c/a} \eta_{\parallel} \int_{0}^{(c-2a)/(a+b)} \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{b}{a}\zeta'+\frac{c}{a}-1)}{\Gamma(\zeta'+1)\Gamma(-(\frac{b}{a}+1)\zeta'+\frac{c}{a})} \eta_{\perp}^{-(b/a+1)\zeta'} \eta_{\parallel}^{\zeta'} d\zeta' \end{split}$$

Resonant IC vs Synchrotron Radiation





In the Future

We obtain an analytic form of the RIC luminosity for the standard polar cap model. In the next step,

- get spectrum (so Lx) and multiplicity as function of P, B and Rc to understand large variation in Lx/Lrot and correlation between Lx(PSR) and Lx(PWN)
- 2. Confirm by numerical simulations.
- 3. How about non standard polar cap model, i.e., high-B pulsars and magnetars, MSPSRs
- 4. Advance precise observations so that clearer spectrum (thermal / non-thermal) as functions of phase