

Very High Power Density Targets

Frederique Pellemoine NSCL-FRIB





Outline

- Rare Isotope Beam Production
 - Where?
 - 3 examples : Super FRS, BigRIPS and FRIB
- Challenges for very high power density targets
- How to manage the high power density challenge
- How to manage the radiation damage challenge in the target and in sensitive components around the target
- Conclusion



Facility for Rare Isotope Beams in the world









BigRIPS – 350 MeV/A – 100 kW

F. Pellemoine, EMIS 2012, Slide 3

Requirements for the production target

	Super-FRS - GSI	BigRIPS - RIKEN	FRIB - NSCL
	Continuous beam / pulsed beam (т=25-100 ns / 1Hz)	Continuous beam	Continuous beam
Primary beam Energy	1.5 to 2.7 GeV/A	350 MeV/A	200 MeV/A
Primary Beam Power	36 kW	100 kW	400 kW
Power in the target for U Beam	≈ 7 kW	22 kW	90 kW
Beam size	$\sigma_x = 1 \text{ mm}$ $\sigma_y = 2 \text{ mm}$	σ = 0.42 mm	σ_x = 0.23 mm σ_y = 0.29 mm
Target material	Graphite SGL R 6400P	Be, C, W,	Graphite MERSEN 2360
Target thickness depends on the primary beams	1 – 8 g/cm² (≈22 mm for U)	1 – 6 g/cm ² (5.4 mm for U)	0.3 – 8 g/cm ² (1.8 mm for U)
Lifetime	1 year	2-4 weeks	2 weeks
Power deposition for U Beam in static target	≈ 0.6 MW/cm ³	5.7 MW / cm ³	60 MW/cm ³



Common challenges How to mitigate the risks?

- Two major technical risks
 - High power density in matter
 - ≫High temperature
 - Evaporation
 - Thermo-mechanical constraints
 - Fatigue
 - Stress wave
 - »Solution?
 - Increase the beam spot size ? Not possible due to optical requirement of high resolution separator
 - Which concept of target?
 - Radiation damage
 - Most of the studies were done with neutron and proton irradiation but not a lot of data for heavy ion beams
 - »Change in physical properties
 - Impact on the target lifetime
 - »Can we reduce radiation damage?



High Power Target Technology



Super FRS single-slice Target Concept





Cooled by thermal radiation

H. Weick

FAIR



Facility for Rare Isotope Beams U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science Michigan State University

F. Pellemoine, EMIS 2012, Slide 7

BigRIBS single-slice Target Concept





← Be : 20,15,10 mm thick

K. Yoshida San's talk



Facility for Rare Isotope Beams U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science Michigan State University

	BigRIPS - RIKEN			
ower deposition for U Beam	5.1 MW / cm ³			
arget material	Be, C, W			
arget thickness	1 – 6 g/cm ² (5.4 mm for U)			
arget diameter	30 cm			
otation speed	100-300 RPM			
unit - 1 Beam unit-2				

Cooled by water loop

FRIB Multi-slice Target Concept





Michigan State University

Thermo-mechanical challenge for Pulsed beams / rotating targets / rotating beams





Facility for Rare Isotope Beams U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science Michigan State University

F. Pellemoine, EMIS 2012, Slide 10

Thermo-mechanical simulations to guide the design





Pulsed beam is a challenge Experimental investigations of shock waves in graphite



R. Wilfinger, A. Kleic, D. Varentsov et al.

Tensile strength of graphite under shock-loading conditions ~ 65 MPa \Rightarrow As long as Δ T is below 650 K induced stress stays below the critical one.



Facility for Rare Isotope Beams U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science Michigan State University

F. Pellemoine, EMIS 2012, Slide 12

Rotating target is a challenge Stress wave calculation in graphite





M. Avilov

To mimic rotating target, we used pulsed beam

➡ Overall stress due to dynamical stress < 10 % of the total stress</p>



Target shapes optimization





M. Avilov, F. Pellemoine, W. Mittig and Sandia Team



Prototype Target to be used at FRS with SIS18 beams







Torsion proof Shaft Coupling



Induction heating



K.-H. Behr M. Gleim

- Prototype target ready
- Off-line tests started
- Induction heating (≈ 10 kW transferred) to target
- Challenge with bearing (now running during $\approx \frac{1}{2}$ year)

FRIB

Prototype Target Single-slice 20 kW Target Prototype



- Destructive tests with 20 keV electron beam at Sandia Laboratories (NM, USA)
 - Extreme conditions at 1 Hz (target 10 cm, 1 mm)
 - P = 1.65 kW , $\Delta T = 640$ °C
 - P = 3.3 kW, ΔT = 1800 °C \Rightarrow plasma effect







W. Mittig, F. Pellemoine and Sandia Team



Prototype Target Multi-slice 50 kW Target Prototype

Prototype for FRIB production target

- 5 slices 5000 rpm 30 cm diameter
- Cooling system designed for 70 kW dissipated power capability











M. Avilov, S. Fernandes, W. Mittig, F. Pellemoine and BINP team



Prototype Target Extensive tests



- Mechanical tests
 - Test complete at 5000 RPM
 - Drive tests during one week





- Electron beam tests (1MeV)
 - P max = 40kW



» (P max/slice ≈ 10 kW) T max \approx 1600 °C







Other challenge for high power targets Irradiation damage in graphite

- Simulation and tests off line or with electrons help to mitigate thermomechanical constraints
- But irradiations by charged heavy ion induce changes of physical properties ⇒ decrease target performance
 - Thermo-mechanical properties
 > thermal conductivity, tensile and flexural strength
 - Electronic properties
 Resistivity
 - Structural properties
 >microstructure and dimensional changes
 - Swelling
 - Irradiation creep
 - . . .
- Most of the studies were done with neutron and proton irradiation but not a lot of data for heavy ion beams





T. Burchell, L. Snead, JNM 371 (2007) 18-27



J. Liu et al./ NIM B 245 (2006) 126.

Annealing of irradiation damage at high temperature

Annealing observed at high temperature with neutron irradiations
How much will annealing help with heavy ions?





Irradiation Test at UNILAC at GSI/Darmstadt

Au-beam 8.6 MeV/u

- Up to 5.6 10¹⁰ cm⁻².s⁻¹
- Fluence up to 10¹⁵ cm⁻²

I = 35 A

 $T_{max} = 1480 (\pm 30 \, ^{\circ}\text{C})$

• Samples heated to different Temperature







M. Avilov, S. Fernandes, W. Mittig, and GSI team



Annealing of Damage at High Temperature (> 1300°C)



Scientific Report 2011 🖬 📰 🏛 + collaborators

Annealing effect also confirmed with:

- Young's Modulus
- Thermal diffusivity



Radiation damage to sensitive components: Ferro fluidic feedthrough







- γ irradiation converted from 50 MeV e-beam at LNS, Tohoku Univ., Japan
 - No significant change on the viscosity was observed up to the total dose of 0.7-1.8 MGy







S. Fernandes, W. Mittig, and BNL team

- 0.2, 2, 20 MGy mixed p, n and γ irradiation converted from proton beam at BNL (112 MeV)
 - No significant change in FF performance was observed up to the total dose of 2 MGy



Beam-spot monitoring and radiation shielding



IR camera

Primary beam diagnostics

- Monitor primary beam position on the target
- · Required for rare isotope beam tuning and for machine protection





Beam-spot monitoring and radiation shielding





Infrared camera surrounded by lead shields.





(calc.) Beam: 84Kr 350A.MeV 1p μ A Beam Loss :F0=30%,D1=60%,F1=1.6%

32.5 30.0 27.5

25.0 22.5 20.0 17.5

15.0

K. Yoshida San's talk



Fiber scope Temperature monitor

Infrared Fiber Scope

Continuous monitor, T>200°C





Summary

- The three main projects, using In-flight production targets, have similar challenges
 - High power density
 - Heavy ion irradiation damages
- Extensive simulations and tests during R&D phase are necessary to optimize high power target design and help to mitigate thermo-mechanical stress
- Electron beam tests are very useful in order to study thermo-mechanical answer of a system without activation and radiation damage due to ion beams
- Heavy-ion irradiation tests show annealing of radiation damage at high temperature
- Most of the time, the 2 main risks were tested separately
- No facility exists now to provide such heavy ion beams to study combined effects of the 2 main risks
 - R&D will continue ...



Thank you for your attention



