Exact solution of the spin-1/2 XXX chain with off-diagonal boundary fields

Andreas Klümper University of Wuppertal Outline

- Spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain with non-diagonal boundary fields boundary field case ↔ periodic boundary case
- no conservation of magnetization, but infinitely many conserved charges integrability, various eigenvalue equations
 - fusion, T-system, Y-system
 - -TQ relations
- derivation of finite set of non-linear integral equations
 - 3 versus 2 equations
- numerics: ground-state with "kinks"

Work in collaboration with H. Frahm, D. Wagner within DFG-Forschergruppe 2316 "Correlations in Integrable Quantum Many-Body Systems" and with X. Zhang (AvH fellow)

Spin-1/2 *XXX* **chain: integrable boundary conditions I**

Periodic boundary

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \vec{\sigma}_j \vec{\sigma}_{j+1}, \qquad (\sigma_{N+1}^{x,y,z} = \sigma_1^{x,y,z})$$

- Yang-Baxter: infinite number of conserved charges $Q_n = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \log T(x)$, $H = Q_1$
- magnetization $\sum_{j} \sigma_{j}^{z}$ commutes with *H* and Q_{n} .

Off-diagonal boundary System with arbitrary boundary fields h_1 , h_N can be written as

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \vec{\sigma}_j \vec{\sigma}_{j+1} + h_1^z \cdot \sigma_1^z + h_N^z \cdot \sigma_N^z + h_N^x \cdot \sigma_N^x$$

parameters of later use: $p := 1/h_1^z$, $q := 1/h_N^z$ and $\xi := \frac{h_N^x}{h_N^z}$.

Curious situation: we have Yang-Baxter, reflection matrix/equation

- infinite number of conserved charges for any p,q,ξ : $Q_n = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \log T(x), H = Q_1$
- for $\xi \neq 0$ the magnetization $\sum_{j} \sigma_{j}^{z}$ does not commute with *H* and Q_{n} .

Spin-1/2 *XXX* **chain: integrable boundary conditions II**

Integrability is proven by the Yang-Baxter equation and Sklyanin's reflection algebra Several methods of solution have been applied

- *TQ* relations in case of roots of unity, special boundary terms (Nepomechie 2002/04)
- Separation of variables (Frahm, Seel, Wirth 2008; Nicolli 2012; Faldella, Kitanine, Niccoli 2013)
- Fusion (Frahm, Grelik, Seel, Wirth 2008)
- Off-diagonal Bethe ansatz: Commuting transfer matrices + inversion identities (J. Cao, W.-L. Yang, K. Shi, Y. Wang 2013, R.I. Nepomechie 2013)
- Modified Bethe ansatz (Belliard 2015; Belliard, Pimenta 2015; Crampé N; Avan, Belliard, Grosjean, Pimenta 2015)

For arbitrary integrable boundary (historically first for periodic boundary)

Fused transfer matrices T_j with spin j/2 in auxiliary space, mutually commuting and with H

$$[T_j(u), T_l(v)] = 0, \qquad \frac{d}{du} \ln T_1(u)\Big|_{u=i} = H$$

(bilinear) functional relations for j = 1, 2, 3...

$$T_{j}(v-i)T_{j}(v+i) = \varphi(v-(j+1)i)\varphi(v+(j+1)i) + T_{j-1}(v)T_{j+1}(v) \qquad \left(\mathsf{pbc:}\ \varphi(v) = v^{N}\right)$$

so-called T-system according to A. Kuniba, T. Nakanishi, J. Suzuki (1994)

Define

$$Y_j(v) := \frac{T_{j-1}(v)T_{j+1}(v)}{\varphi(v - (j+1)i)\varphi(v + (j+1)i)}, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

immediately we obtain for all j = 1, 2, 3, ...

$$Y_j(v-i)Y_j(v+i) = [1+Y_{j-1}(v)][1+Y_{j+1}(v)],$$

so-called *Y*-system according to A. Kuniba, T. Nakanishi, J. Suzuki (1994)

Periodic boundary: For $Y_1(v)$ the functional equation reads

$$Y_1(v-i)Y_1(v+i) = 1 + Y_2(v),$$

but due to zero of order L at 0 and poles of order L at $\pm 2i$

$$\ln Y_1(v) = L\log \tanh \frac{\pi}{4}v + \mathbf{s} * \ln(1 + \mathbf{Y}_2)$$

where * denotes convolution and s is the function $s(v) := \frac{1}{4\cosh \pi v/2}$.

Rest of functional equations turn into (simpler) integral equations

$$\ln Y_j(v) = \mathbf{s} * [\ln(1+Y_{j-1}) + \ln(1+Y_{j+1})], \ j \ge 2,$$

Eigenvalue of $T_1(v)$ from

$$T_1(v-i)T_1(v+i) = \varphi(v-2i)\varphi(v+2i)[1+Y_1(v)]$$

as

$$\ln T_1(v) = L\phi(v) + \mathbf{s} * \ln(1+Y_1) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad E_L = Le_0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{s}'(v+i)\ln(1+Y_1(v))dv$$

 $(L \equiv N)$

Fusion: TBA-like non-linear integral equations - Comparison

Periodic boundary

$$\ln Y_1(v) = L\log \tanh \frac{\pi}{4}v + \mathbf{s} * \ln(1 + Y_2)$$

$$\ln Y_2(v) = 0 + \mathbf{s} * [\ln(1 + Y_1) + \ln(1 + Y_3)],$$

$$\ln Y_3(v) = 0 + \mathbf{s} * [\ln(1 + Y_2) + \ln(1 + Y_4)],$$

Off-diagonal boundary

$$\ln Y_1(v) = d_1(v) + \mathbf{s} * \ln(1 + Y_2)$$

$$\ln Y_2(v) = d_2(v) + \mathbf{s} * [\ln(1 + Y_1) + \ln(1 + Y_3)],$$

$$\ln Y_3(v) = d_3(v) + \mathbf{s} * [\ln(1 + Y_2) + \ln(1 + Y_4)],$$

with non-trivial driving terms in each line: not so useful.

. . .

. . .

Large deal of the work by Frahm et al. 2008 spent on coping with this situation:

- infinitely many non-linear integral equations
- truncation, numerics for relatively short chains

(for non-hermitian field, i.e. imaginary ξ)

Periodic boundaries

Be the ansatz or similar yields TQ relation

$$T_1(v)q(v) = \phi(v-i)q(v+2i) + \phi(v+i)q(v-2i) \qquad (\phi(v) = v^N, N \equiv L)$$

with polynomial q(v) with zeros satisfying the so-called Bethe ansatz equations.

Functional equations may be rewitten as NLIE for two auxiliary functions \mathfrak{a} , $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}$

$$\log \mathfrak{a}(v) = L\log \tanh \frac{\pi}{4}(v+i) + \kappa * [\log(1+\mathfrak{a}) - \log(1+\overline{\mathfrak{a}})],$$
$$\log \overline{\mathfrak{a}}(v) = L\log \tanh \frac{\pi}{4}(v-i) + \kappa * [\log(1+\overline{\mathfrak{a}}) - \log(1+\mathfrak{a})]$$

with kernel $\kappa(v) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-|k|}}{e^k + e^{-k}} e^{ikv} dk.$

Energy
$$E_L = Le_0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{s}'(v+i) \log[(1+\mathfrak{a}(v-i))(1+\overline{\mathfrak{a}}(v+i))]dv$$

AK, Batchelor 90; AK, Batchelor, Pearce 91; AK 92; Destri, de Vega 92, 95; J. Suzuki 98 Nota bene: $a(v) = \phi(v+i)q(v-2i)/\phi(v-i)q(v+2i)$, $\overline{a}(v) = 1/a(v)$

Off-diagonal boundary

Course of events for calculating eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian

- (i) set up the generating family of conserved charges: transfer matrix T(x)
- (ii) derive functional equation for T(x) with suitable auxiliary function Q(x): TQ-relation

(iii) use the TQ-relation

If analyticity properties of Q(x) are controlled (see periodic bc), the TQ-relation allows to derive Bethe ansatz equations etc.

Off-diagonal case: the function Q(x) has unpleasant properties. No non-linear integral equations for two functions!

Alternatively, a modified TQ-relation can be derived with polynomial Q(x), but with ill-posed Bethe ansatz equations.

Even the ground-state is described by intrinsically complex-valued rapidities, iterative procedure for roots is unstable. However, ...

J. Cao, W.-L. Yang, K. Shi, Y. Wang derived the following ansatz for a polynomial T(u) that satisfies a couple of discrete functional equations:

$$\begin{split} T(u) = & \frac{2(u+1)^{2N+1}}{2u+1} (u+p) [(1+\xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}u+q] \frac{Q_1(u-1)}{Q_2(u)} \\ & + \frac{2u^{2N+1}}{2u+1} (u-p+1) [(1+\xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (u+1)-q] \frac{Q_2(u+1)}{Q_1(u)} \\ & + 2 [(-1)^N - (1+\xi^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}] \frac{[u(u+1)]^{2N+1}}{Q_1(u)Q_2(u)} \end{split}$$

where Q_1 and Q_2 are polynomials

$$Q_1(u) = \prod_{l=1}^N (u - \mu_l) \qquad \qquad Q_2(u) = (-1)^N \prod_{l=1}^N (u + \mu_l + 1)$$

with zeros μ_j to be determined by analyticity conditions. There are *N* of them, they are complex valued...

(Alternative) Inhomogeneous TQ-relation II

Characteristic properties of ansatz: eigenvalue T(u) is analytic and satisfies at u = 0 the inversion identities

$$T(u-1)T(u) = \frac{(u^2-1)^{2N+1}}{u^2-1/4}(u^2-p^2)\left[(1+\xi^2)u^2-q^2\right] + O\left(u^{2N+1}\right),$$

This property can be established on the lattice (standard initial condition, crossing). Also:

- eigenvalue T(u) is polynomial of degree 2N + 2 with highest coefficient 2
- T(-1) = T(0) = 2pq
- symmetry T(-u-1) = T(u)

To my mind this derivation is as exact/rigorous as Takahashi's thermodynamics in 2000/2001.

Functional equations: Definition of auxiliary functions

We shift the arguments of the functions

$$q_{1}(x) := Q_{1}\left(\frac{i}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}\right) \qquad q_{2}(x) := Q_{2}\left(\frac{i}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
$$t(x) = T\left(\frac{i}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}\right) = \underbrace{\Phi_{1}(x)\frac{q_{1}(x+2i)}{q_{2}(x)}}_{\lambda_{1}(x)} + \underbrace{\Phi_{2}(x)\frac{1}{q_{1}(x)q_{2}(x)}}_{\lambda_{2}(x)} + \underbrace{\Phi_{3}(x)\frac{q_{2}(x-2i)}{q_{1}(x)}}_{\lambda_{3}(x)}$$

and find that the following auxiliary functions have useful properties:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{a} &:= \frac{\lambda_2(x) + \lambda_3(x)}{\lambda_1(x)}, & 1 + \mathfrak{a} = \frac{\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x) + \lambda_3(x)}{\lambda_1(x)}, \\ \overline{\mathfrak{a}} &:= \frac{\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x)}{\lambda_3(x)}, & 1 + \overline{\mathfrak{a}} = \frac{\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x) + \lambda_3(x)}{\lambda_3(x)}, \\ \mathfrak{c} &:= \frac{\lambda_2(x) \left[\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x) + \lambda_3(x)\right]}{\lambda_1(x)\lambda_3(x)}, & 1 + \mathfrak{c} = \frac{\left[\lambda_1(x) + \lambda_2(x)\right] \left[\lambda_2(x) + \lambda_3(x)\right]}{\lambda_1(x)\lambda_3(x)}, \end{split}$$

tJ model like ansatz of suitable auxiliary functions (Jüttner, AK 97) Factorization into "elementary factors" yields integral equations for logs.

Non-linear integral equations I

3 non-linear integral equations take the compact form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \log \mathfrak{a} \\ \log \overline{\mathfrak{a}} \\ \log \mathfrak{c} \end{pmatrix} = d + K * \begin{pmatrix} \log(1+\mathfrak{a}) \\ \log(1+\overline{\mathfrak{a}}) \\ \log(1+\mathfrak{c}) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad K = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa & -\kappa & k \\ -\kappa & \kappa & k^* \\ k^* & k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad k(x) := -\frac{i}{x - i0 + i} k^* + \frac{i}{x - i} k^* + \frac{i}{x$$

where $\kappa(x)$ was introduced before and

$$d := \begin{pmatrix} (2N+1)\log \th(x+i) + \dots \\ (2N+1)\log \th(x-i) + \dots \\ \log[x^2(x^2 - x_0^2)] + \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

and dots denote terms containing O(1) expressions of type

$$\log \frac{\Gamma(\text{cst.} - \text{i}x/4)}{\Gamma(\text{cst.} + \text{i}x/4)}$$

with "cst." like p, q etc.

Warning: not all convolution integrals well-defined, logarithmic divergencies! "kinks" in $\log a(x)$

Hamiltonian - p.13/20

Numerical solution to NLIE: ground-state I

Solution for $p = -0.6, q = -0.3, \xi = 0.1$ and N = 10



Functions are rather boring, but show tendency to numerical instability in iterative treatment.

Non-linear integral equations II

Proper formulation containing counter terms

$$\begin{pmatrix} \log \mathfrak{a} \\ \log \overline{\mathfrak{a}} \\ \log \mathfrak{c} \end{pmatrix} = d + K * \begin{pmatrix} \log(1+\mathfrak{a}) - \log\left(\frac{x - x_{r+}}{x - x_{r-}} \cdot \frac{x - x_{l+}}{x - x_{l-}}\right) \\ \log(1+\overline{\mathfrak{a}}) - \log\left(\frac{x - x_{r-}}{x - x_{r+}} \cdot \frac{x - x_{l-}}{x - x_{l+}}\right) \\ \log(1+\mathfrak{c}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where x_{r+} and x_{r-} are some complex numbers at "the right axis" with positive and negative imaginary parts; x_{l+} and x_{l-} on the "left".

$$d := \begin{pmatrix} (2N+1)\log\operatorname{th}(x+i) + \log\left(\frac{x-x_{r+}-2i}{x-x_{r-}} \cdot \frac{x-x_{l+}-2i}{x-x_{l-}}\right) + \dots \\ (2N+1)\log\operatorname{th}(x-i) + \log\left(\frac{x-x_{r-}+2i}{x-x_{r+}} \cdot \frac{x-x_{l-}+2i}{x-x_{l+}}\right) + \dots \\ \log\frac{x^2(x^2-x_0^2)}{(x-x_{r-}+i)(x-x_{r+}-i)(x-x_{l-}+i)(x-x_{l+}-i)} + \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

Now all convolution integrals well-defined. Curious: the $x_{r\pm}$, $x_{l\pm}$ drop out, they may be arbitrary.

Spin offs: non-trivial solutions to NLIE of periodic chain with L = 0

Take L = 0 in

$$\log \mathfrak{a}(v) = L\log \tanh \frac{\pi}{4}(v+i) + \kappa * [\log(1+\mathfrak{a}) - \log(1+\overline{\mathfrak{a}})],$$
$$\log \overline{\mathfrak{a}}(v) = L\log \tanh \frac{\pi}{4}(v-i) + \kappa * [\log(1+\overline{\mathfrak{a}}) - \log(1+\mathfrak{a})]$$

No driving term! Ansatz for solution: constants, integral equation turns into algebraic equation. Result: $\mathfrak{a}(v) = \overline{\mathfrak{a}}(v) \equiv 1$. Simple!

Question: Is the solution unique?

No! There are solutions of the above counter-term type!

$$\mathfrak{a}(x) = \frac{x - x_c - 2i}{x - x_c + 2i}, \qquad \overline{\mathfrak{a}}(x) = \frac{x - x_c + 2i}{x - x_c - 2i}$$

with arbitrary constant x_c .

Observations:

- For small ξ the "kinks" in $\log a(x)$ are far from the origin.
- They disappear for $\xi \to 0$ (parallel boundary fields) which also enforces $\mathfrak{c} \to 0$. Then only two NLIE for two functions are left.
- The position of the kinks is difficult to understand "intuitively". For large arguments all driving terms take "flat values". And somewhere the functions a and \overline{a} encircle -1.

Question: Is this responsible for numerical instabilities?

Goal of numerical calculations:

understanding the $L \rightarrow \infty$ behaviour of the kinks, of the parameter x_0 for setting up the correct scaling limit for analytical CFT calculations.

Numerical solution to NLIE: ground-state II

Solution for $p = -0.6, q = -0.3, \xi = 0.1$ and $N = 4, 10, 10^2, 10^3, ..., 10^9$. Shown are real and imaginary parts of $\log(1 + a), \log(1 + \overline{a}), \log(1 + c)$



Functions are still boring. However, transitions move out to larger arguments for increasing *L*. Also, log(1 + c) gets more pronounced.

Periodic boundaries

$$\log \mathfrak{a}(v) = L\log \tanh \frac{\pi}{4}(v+i) + \kappa * [\log(1+\mathfrak{a}) - \log(1+\overline{\mathfrak{a}})],$$
$$\log \overline{\mathfrak{a}}(v) = L\log \tanh \frac{\pi}{4}(v-i) + \kappa * [\log(1+\overline{\mathfrak{a}}) - \log(1+\mathfrak{a})]$$

Consider functions in the scaling limit

$$a(x) := \lim_{L \to \infty} \mathfrak{a}\left(x - \mathbf{i} + \frac{2}{\pi} \log L\right), \qquad \bar{a}(x) := \lim_{L \to \infty} \overline{\mathfrak{a}}\left(x + \mathbf{i} + \frac{2}{\pi} \log L\right)$$

They satisfy:

$$\log a(x) = -2e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}x} + \kappa * \log(1+a) - \kappa_{-} * \log(1+\bar{a}),$$
$$\log \bar{a}(x) = -2e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}x} - \kappa_{+} * \log(1+a) + \kappa * \log(1+\bar{a}),$$

The purely exponential form of the driving term and the symmetry of the kernel allow for an analytical calculation of the integral in

$$E_L = Le_0 - \frac{\pi}{4L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}x} \log[(1 + a(x))(1 + \bar{a}(x))] dv$$

How about the ODBA case? There the driving terms have exponential and algebraic behaviour!

Results:

- presentation of three (!) non-linear integral equations for the Heisenberg chain with broken conservation of magnetization
- potentially much more powerful than usual numerics (direct Bethe ansatz, Lanczos)
- direct iterative treatment of NLIE suffers from instabilities

To do:

- numerics: modified update rules
- alternative integral equations by fusion + closure
- symmetry of integration kernel for $N \rightarrow \infty$ may allow for "dilog-trick"