- Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang, Miller, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Rogers, Sato, Phys. Rev.D 102 (2020) 5, 054002
- Gamberg, Kang, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Sato, Seidl, Phys.Lett.B 816 (2021) 136255

# Global analysis of SSAs and the EIC impact on

## he tensor charge

Alexei Prokudin

### **EVOLUTION OF OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE SPIN STRUCTURE**



1980' - the spin of the nucleon is due to the valence quarks

Modern concept: valence quarks, sea quarks, and gluons together with orbital angular momentum are contributing

### **POLARIZED TMD FUNCTIONS**

#### **Sivers function**



- Describes unpolarized quarks inside of transversely polarized nucleon
- Encodes the correlation of orbital motion with the spin
  x f<sub>1</sub>(x, k<sub>T</sub>, S<sub>T</sub>)



 Sign change of Sivers function is fundamental consequence of QCD

Brodsky, Hwang, Schmidt (2002), Collins (2002)



#### **Transversity**



The only source of information on tensor is 'the nucleon

Lebanon Valley College

Couples to Collins fragmentation function or dispadrom [nter] ce]fragmentationd functions<sup>0</sup> in SIDIS

$$\delta q \equiv g_T^q = \int_0^1 dx \; \left[ h_1^q(x, Q^2) - h_1^{\bar{q}}(x, Q^2) \right]$$





Transverse Single Spin Asymmetries (SSAs) have been observed in a variety of processes

A Siv 2(sin(o,+o,) (Collins) < 4 GeV<sup>2</sup> K •π\* 47 π\* Light-Core Quar 0.05 Fit Exp -0.1 2)sin(\$,-\$,") (Sivers) 0.2 <sup>4</sup>N/<sub>WA</sub> 0.1 0.5 1 P<sub>h1</sub> [GeV] -0.4 -0.05 0.4 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.6 Ph\_[GeV] z z 10-2 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.1 10-1 x HERMES (09) COMPASS (15) JLAB (11)

#### Sivers asymmetry in SIDIS

$$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} = \mathcal{C} \left[ -\frac{\hat{h} \cdot \vec{k}_T}{M} \boldsymbol{f_{1T}^{\perp}} D_1 \right]$$

Transverse Single Spin Asymmetries (SSAs) have been observed in a variety of processes



Collins asymmetry in SIDIS and e+e-



BELLE (08), also BaBar (14), BESIII (16)

COMPASS (15), also HERMES (05,10, 20), JLab (11,14)

$$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)} = \mathcal{C}\left[-\frac{\hat{h} \cdot \vec{p_\perp}}{M_h} h_1 H_1^{\perp}\right] \qquad F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi_0)} = \mathcal{C}\left[\frac{2\hat{h} \cdot \vec{p_{a\perp}} \cdot \vec{p_{b\perp}} - \vec{p_{a\perp}} \cdot \vec{p_{b\perp}}}{M_a M_b} H_1^{\perp} \bar{H}_1^{\perp}\right]$$

Transverse Single Spin Asymmetries (SSAs) have been observed in a variety of processes



#### Sivers effect in Drell-Yan



COMPASS (17)

STAR (15)

$$F_{TU}^{1} = \mathcal{C}\left[-\frac{\vec{h}\cdot\vec{k}_{aT}}{M_{a}}\boldsymbol{f_{1T}}\,\bar{f_{1}}\right]$$

S



Figure 4-71h Ermanse Spingle soin assume the reasonable of the second state of the second of the sec



TMD and CT3 factorization agree in their overlapping region of applicability

Ji, et al (06); Koike, et a. (08); Zhou, et al (08, 10); Yuan and Zhou (09)



Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang, Miller, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Rogers, Sato (2020)

## JAM20 ANALYSIS

Drell-Yan and W,Z  $e^+e^$ electron pion proton electron To demonstrate the pion common origin of SSAs positron in various processes, we combined all available positron Collins asymmetries proton data and extracted a BELLE, BaBar, BESIII data universal set of non Sivers asymmetries COMPASS, STAR data perturbative functions **SIDIS** that describes all of lepton lepton PP them proton pion pion proton protor Sivers, Collins asymmetries  $A_N$  asymmetry COMPASS, HERMES, JLab data STAR, PHENIX, BRAHMS data

Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang, Miller, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Rogers, Sato (2020)

#### Jefferson Lab Angular Momentum Collaboration

https://www.jlab.org/theory/jam

Observable	Reactions	Non-Perturbative Function(s)	$\chi^2/N_{ m pts.}$
$A_{ m SIDIS}^{ m Siv}$	$e + (p,d)^{\uparrow} \to e + (\pi^+,\pi^-,\pi^0) + X$	$f_{1T}^{\perp}(x,k_T^2)$	150.0/126 = 1.19
$A_{ m SIDIS}^{ m Col}$	$e + (p, d)^{\uparrow} \to e + (\pi^+, \pi^-, \pi^0) + X$	$h_1(x,k_T^2), H_1^{\perp}(z,z^2p_{\perp}^2)$	111.3/126 = 0.88
$A_{\rm SIA}^{\rm Col}$	$e^+ + e^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- (UC, UL) + X$	$H_1^{\perp}(z, z^2 p_{\perp}^2)$	154.5/176 = 0.88
$A_{\rm DY}^{\rm Siv}$	$\pi^- + p^\uparrow \to \mu^+ \mu^- + X$	$f_{1T}^{\perp}(x,k_T^2)$	5.96/12 = 0.50
$A_{\mathrm{DY}}^{\mathrm{Siv}}$	$p^{\uparrow} + p \to (W^+, W^-, Z) + X$	$\int_{1T}^{\perp}(x,k_T^2)$	31.8/17 = 1.87
$A_N^h$	$p^{\uparrow} + p \to (\pi^+, \pi^-, \pi^0) + X$	$h_1(x), F_{FT}(x,x) = \frac{1}{\pi} f_{1T}^{\perp(1)}(x), H_1^{\perp(1)}(z)$	66.5/60 = 1.11

Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang, Miller, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Rogers, Sato (2020)

18 observables and 6 non-perturbative functions (Sivers up/down; transversity up/down; Collins favored/unfavored)

$$h_1(x), F_{FT}(x,x), H_1^{\perp(1)}(z), \hat{H}(z)$$

Broad kinematical coverage to test universality
 The analysis is performed at parton level leading order, gaussian model is used for TMDs, and DGLAP-type evolution is implemented

Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang, Miller, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Rogers, Sato (2020)



Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang, Miller, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Rogers, Sato (2020)



#### Collins asymmetry

$$\frac{\chi^2}{npoints} = \frac{107.1}{126} = 0.85$$

Sivers asymmetry

$$\frac{\chi^2}{npoints} = \frac{85.4}{88} = 0.97$$

Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang, Miller, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Rogers, Sato (2020) e+e-



15

Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang, Miller, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Rogers, Sato (2020)

Drell-Yan



Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang, Miller, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Rogers, Sato (2020)

#### proton-proton A<sub>N</sub>



$$\frac{\chi^2}{npoints} = \frac{72.0}{60} = 1.2$$

17

Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang, Miller, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Rogers, Sato (2020)



 Tensor charge from up and down quarks is constrained and compatible with lattice results

• Isovector tensor charge  $g_T = \delta u \cdot \delta d$  $g_T = 0.89 \pm 0.12$  compatible with lattice results

 $\delta u$  and  $\delta d Q^2 = 4 GeV^2$ 

 $\delta u$ = 0.65  $\pm$  0.22

Gamberg, Kang, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Sato, Seidl (21)

## **EIC IMPACT STUDY**

### **TENSOR CHARGE AND FUTURE FACILITIES**

Gamberg, Kang, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Sato, Seidl (21)

EIC Pseudo-data				
Observable	Reactions	CM Energy $(\sqrt{S})$	Npts.	
	$e + p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e + \pi^{\perp} + X$	141 GeV	756 (π <sup>+</sup> ) 744 (π <sup>-</sup> )	
		63 GeV	634 (π <sup>+</sup> ) 619 (π <sup>-</sup> )	
		45 GeV	537 $(n^{+})$ 556 $(\pi^{-})$	
Collins (SIDIS)		29 GeV	$\begin{array}{c} 464 \ (\pi^{+}) \\ 453 \ (\pi^{-}) \end{array}$	
	$e + {}^3\!H e^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e + \pi^{\pm} + X$	85 GeV	647 ( $\pi^+$ ) 650 ( $\pi^-$ )	
		63 GeV	$622 (\pi^*)$ $621 (\pi^-)$	
		29 GeV	$\begin{array}{c} 461 \ (\pi^{+}) \\ 459 \ (\pi^{-}) \end{array}$	
5		Total EIC Note	8223	

Assumed accumulated luminosities of 10 fb<sup>-1</sup>, 70% polarization, conservatively accounted for detector smearing and acceptance effects



SoLID at JLab covers a complimentary region at higher x and lower Q<sup>2</sup> with much greater luminosity – important to explore the effect of multiple measurements in different kinematic regions

### **TENSOR CHARGE AND FUTURE FACILITIES**



Gamberg, Kang, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Sato, Seidl (21)

Accuracy vs. precision – a precise measurement cannot always guarantee a very accurate extraction of the distributions, and multiple experiments, such as EIC and SoLID, should be performed in a wide kinematical region in order to minimize bias and expose any potential tensions between data sets (also one reason to have IR2@EIC)

The combined fit that includes both EIC and SoLID pseudo-data provides the best constraint on transversity and the tensor charges, with the results more precise than current lattice calculations

## **TOWARDS JAM21**

- Collins and Sivers effects (3D binned) SIDIS data from HERMES (2020) data
- >  $A_{UT}^{\sin \phi_S}$  (x and z projections only) from HERMES (2020)  $ilde{H}$
- >  $A_N$  (x<sub>F</sub> and p<sub>T</sub> dependent) data from STAR (2021)
- Lattice data on δu, δd, g<sub>T</sub> at the physical pion mass from Alexandrou, et al.
   (2019)
- Imposing the Soffer bound on transversity

$$|h_1^q(x)| \le \frac{1}{2}(f_1^q(x) + g_1^q(x))$$





The first insight to the twist-3 fragmentation function



#### $ilde{H}$



The first insight to the twist-3 fragmentation function  $\,H\,$ 



#### Description of the new $A_N$ STAR data





Improved extraction of transversity and the tensor charge.



#### CONCLUSIONS

- The transverse spin asymmetries in a variety of processes SIDIS, Drell-Yan, e+e-, and proton proton scattering have the same origin: (multi) parton correlation functions
- These effects have predominantly non perturbative origin and are universal
- Phenomenological results are consistent with lattice QCD in extraction of the isovector tensor charge g<sub>T</sub> and individual contributions from up and down quarks
- The future EIC and JLab data will allow to have tensor charge extraction at the precision level of lattice QCD calculations

## **BACKUP SLIDES**

### **SIVERS FUNCTION IN THE MOMENTUM SPACE**

Bury, Prokudin, Vladimirov (2021)



Comparison to Jam20 (LO) analysis

Jam20: Cammarota, Gamberg, Kang, Miller, Pitonyak, Prokudin, Rogers, Sato (2020)