First Inclusive Pt Unfolding Results

Slide 1

Benard Mulilo (KU/RIKEN) Spin RadLab Meeting 9 pm Jun 20, 2019 JST

Analysis Process – Neutron Identification Cuts

Slide 2

Neutron Selection Cuts: Energy, Acceptance and the SMD Multiplicity

Following cuts have been used to select neutron events to reconstruct the energy and transverse momentum spectra from a combination of UPC + DPMJET MC samples: [same cuts as data have been applied]

- ZDC total energy: 40 < E < 120 and 2nd ZDC energy/ZDC total energy > 0.03 (i.e. non-zero 2nd ZDC energy)
- (2) Acceptance cut: 0.5 < r < 4.0 cm
- ③ Nxy >= 2 fired SMD strips. That is Nx > 1 and Ny > 1 fired strips above Minimum Ionized Particle (MIP) SMD energy threshold cut.

Analysis Process – Energy Cut > 40 GeV

Slide 3



Reconstructed ZDC energy distribution (left panel) and pt distribution (right panel)

Analysis Process – 40 < E < 120 GeV



Analysis Process – Acceptance cut: 0.5<r<4 cm

Slide 5



With r reconstructed as the square root of sum of the squares of the reconstructed x and y position variables

Analysis Process – 40<E120 GeV, r>0.5 cm

Slide 6

Energy Cut: 40 < E < 120 GeV and Acceptance Cut: r > 0.5 cm



Reconstructed ZDC energy distribution (left panel) and pt distribution (right panel)

Analysis Process – 40<E<120 GeV, 0.5<r<4 cm

Slide 7

Energy cut: 40 < E < 120 GeV and Acceptance cut: 0.5 < r < 4 cm



Analysis Process – ZDC2 E/ZDC E_T > 0.03

[Ref.: Minjung Analysis Note P14 – Run15 pA Neutron A_N]

Slide 8

<u>40 < E < 120 GeV with ZDC2 E/ZDC E_T > 0.03 and 0.5 < r < 4 cm Cuts</u>

UPC + DPMJET Reco Energy : 40<E<120, 0.5<r<4.0 cm, E2/E>0.03 Cuts

UPC + DPMJET Reco Pt : 40<E<120, 0.5<r<4.0 cm, E2/E>0.03 Cuts



Analysis Process – SMD Particle Shower Position Distributions for Nx/Ny Fired Strips Above MIP Threshold = 0.003 GeV Slide 9

 First checked the x and y shower position distributions for UPC and DPMJET separately, and before and after applying the cut condition of Nx/Ny >= 2 fired strips above 0.003 GeV MIP threshold value:

[Threshold value reference : Sasha's Analysis note: page 14 - Background and smearing correction for forward neutron A_N]

The summed x and y shower position distributions plots for UPC + DPMJET were then obtained.

Analysis Process – SMD X and Y Position Shower Distributions (UPC)

The top panels are UPC x and y particle shower distributions before the SMD multiplicity condition is applied. Nx = 7 and Ny = 8 SMD strips are clearly visible before application of the multiplicity cut condition.



The bottom panels depict x and y shower position distributions after applying the multiplicity cut condition.

Analysis Process – SMD X and Y Position Shower Distributions (DPMJET)

DPMJET SMD X Position Shower Before Cut DPMJET SMD Y Position Shower Before Cut hx evdpmjetb4cut hy evdpmjetb4cut 247450 Entries Entries 247450 18000 Mean 0.4652 9000 E Mean -0.1405 Std Dev 2.904 Std Dev 3.115 16000 8000 14000 7000 12000 6000 10000 5000 8000 4000 6000 3000 4000 2000 2000 1000 º10 -4 -2 0 2 -2 X Position Shower [cm] Y Position Shower [cm] DPMJET Y Position Shower With > 1 Fired SMD Strips DPMJET X Position Shower With > 1 Fired SMD Strips hx_evdpmjet hy_evdpmjet Entries 165633 1600 Entries 165633 Mean 0.4519 -0.04797 Mean 1800 Std Dev 2.595 Std Dev 2.871 1400 1600 1200 1400 1200 1000 1000 800 800 600 600 400 400 F 200 200 210 -2 -2 X Position Shower [cm] Y Position Shower [cm]

Nx = 7 and Ny = 8 SMD strips in the top left and right panels, respectively are clearly visible before $Nx/Ny \ge 2$ fired strips cut is applied. The bottom panels depict x and y shower position distributions after applying this cut condition.

Analysis Process – SMD X and Y Position Shower Distribution (UPC + DPMJET)



Analysis Process – Nx/Ny Before (Top) and After (Bottom) MIP Threshold Cut



Analysis Process – SMD Energy Before (Top) and After (Bottom) MIP Threshold Cut



Analysis Process – Final Un-normalized Pt and Energy Spectra With All Cuts Applied

Slide 15

UPC + DPMJET Reco Pt: 40<E<120, 0.5<r<4.0, E2/E>0.03 for nx & ny >= 2 SMD Fired

<u>40<E<120 GeV with ZDC2 E/ZDC E_T > 0.03; 0.5 < r < 4 cm and NxNy >= 2 Fired</u>

UPC + DPMJET Reco E: 40<E<120, 0.5<r<4.0, E2/E > 0.03 for nx & ny >=2 Fired



Reconstructed ZDC energy distribution (left panel) and pt distribution (right panel) with all cuts

Analysis Process – Final Normalized Reco Energy Side by Side With Data

pAu Data Energy UPC + DPMJET Reco E: 40<E<120, 0.5<r<4.0, E2/E > 0.03 for nx & ny >=2 Fired hUDRecEne \$um_h_final_zdc Yield Entries 2899499 Entries 2212487 Mean 73.72 Mean 75.43 12000 Std Dev 19.82 Std Dev 19.44 12000 10000 10000 8000 8000 6000 6000 4000 4000 2000 2000 50 100 Π 150 200 250 300 50 100 150 200 250 300 pAu Energy [GeV/c] **Reco Energy [GeV]**

Final reconstructed ZDC energy distribution (left panel) and ZDC pAu data energy distribution (right panel)

Analysis Process – Final Normalized Reco Pt Compared with Data Pt Spectrum



TSVD Unfolding Inputs – Analysis Process

Slide 18

True pt, reconstructed pt, data pt and the detector smearing matrix



TSVD Unfolding Regularization – Analysis Process

- Since smearing matrix is not very diagonal, we have to apply regularization to get a corrected diagonal covariance matrix of the reconstructed pt vs. true pt spectrum from the detector smearing matrix.
- This is successfully achieved by performing unfolding with regularization parameter called kReg to the TUnfold object.
- To obtain an optimum value of the kReg parameter, we have to make use of the dVector.
- The optimum value is normally the absolute value of the minimum of the log of d_i >> 1, i.e.: log | d_i | or | d_i | or first minimum.

TSVD Unfolding Results – The dVector

Slide 20

Normally the larger the kReg, the finer grained is unfolding but more fluctuations occur.



✤ And the smaller the kReg parameter, the stronger is the regularization and the bias.

TSVD Unfolding Results – Covariance Matrix

The optimum value I obtained from the dVector is kReg = 3 and the resulting corrected covariance matrix obtained from the detector smearing matrix is displayed below:





Slide 23

Checking unfolding when kReg is very small, regularization is stronger and more biased



Slide 24

Checking unfolding when kReg is very small, regularization is stronger and more biased





TSVD Unfolding Results – Different kReg

Slide 26

Checking unfolding when regularization value (kReg) is large, more fluctuations occur.



TSVD Unfolding Results – Different kReg

Slide 27

Checking unfolding when regularization value (kReg) is large, fluctuations do occur. **



Unfolded Pt Spectrum With kReg = 17

TSVD Unfolding Results – Different kReg

Slide 28

Checking unfolding when regularization value (kReg) is large, fluctuations do occur.



Next Task

- Compare the unfolded results properly with each other to see how they correlate for different kReg parameters.
- Check thoroughly until this stage that everything has been done correctly and make adjustments whenever necessary.

BACKUP











BACKUP – John and Milap Spin PWG Meeting

Slide 6

John and Milap Patel : Today's Spin PWG Meeting

Unfolding to Cross Section

- Unfold counts using SVD unfolding, scale to $d\sigma/dp_T$ (pb/GeV)
- Compare to theory for |eta|<0.35 scaled to |eta|<0.15
 - · New theory calculations with scale error band on the way
- Errors calculated from statistical error in data and response matrix statistics
 - Using sqrt of diagonal elements in covariance matrix



D-vector kreg value controls the regularization

Larger value implies larger range of bin sharing and less sensitivity to input shape.

Smaller value limits bin sharing but increases sensitivity to input shape.

Usually take the first minimum in the d-vector distribution (k=3)

9