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# One-dimensional $P_{T}$ Unfolding Analysis 
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## Unfolding

$\square$ Measurements in high energy physics (HEP) are usually affected by various detector effects such as the resolution, efficiency, etc.
$\square$ Unfolding technique removes these effects and recovers the true spectrum.
Inputs to singular value decomposition (SVD) object
$\square$ Data
$\square$ Covariance matrix

- True spectrum
- Reconstructed spectrum (Reco)
$\square$ Detector response (smearing) matrix


## Unfolding Input - Measured $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$ Spectrum
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(1) Data to unfold: Run 15 inclusive pAu transverse momentum data at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$.


## Unfolding Input - Reco $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$ Spectrum

(2) Reco spectrum: pAu reconstructed $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ spectrum from a combination of UPC (EM) + DPMJET (HAD) MC training samples. This is a hypothesis.

## Neutron Selection Cuts

Following cuts were utilized for neutron identification and rejection of photon events. Same cuts were applied to experimental data:
© ZDC energy: $40<\mathrm{E}<120$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ ZDC energy/ZDC total energy $>0.03$ ( i.e. non-zero $2^{\text {nd }}$ ZDC energy )
© Acceptance cut: $0.5<\mathrm{r}<4.0 \mathrm{~cm}$
© SMD multiplicity: $\mathrm{Nx} / \mathrm{Ny} \gg=2$ fired SMD strips.
© That is Nx and $\mathrm{Ny}>1$ fired strips above SMD threshold $\mathrm{E}=0.003 \mathrm{GeV}$.

## Unfolding Input - Reco $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$ Spectrum

## ZDC Total Energy Cut Check



H ZDC total energy: $40<\mathrm{E}<120$ and $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{ZDC}$ energy/ZDC total energy $>0.03$ cuts

## Unfolding Input - Reco $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$ Spectrum
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UPC + DPMJET Reco Pt: $40<E<120,0.5<r<4.0, E 2 / E>0.03$ for $n x \& n y>=2$ SMD Fired


## Unfolding Input - True $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$ Distribution

(3) True spectrum:

True $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ spectrum from addition of UPC (EM) + DPMJET (HAD) MC samples.


## Unfolding Input - Response Matrix

Slide 11
(4) Detector response matrix: 2D plot extracted from the Reco and True $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ spectra of MC.


## Unfolding Input - Covariance Matrix
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(5) Covariance matrix: 2D histogram extracted from the measured $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ Spectrum.


Finally created a TSVDUnfold object to perform unfolding of the data distribution.

```
TSVDUnfold *tsvdunf = new TSVDUnfold( hdata, statcov, huDreco, huDTrue, UAdet )
```



## Unfolding Output - Regularization Parameter

© Performed unfolding with the regularization parameter (kreg $=6$ as optimum). TSVDUnfold Id

© This distribution helps us cross-check the quality of our unfolding regularization.
© Regularization is chosen as the point where |d_i| stops being statistically significant.
© This is the point where the regularization (kreg) is considered most optimal.

## Unfolding Output - Unfolded $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$ Distribution

Unfolded, Data, Reco and True Superposed Pt Spectra (Kreg = 6)


## Unfolding Output - Unfolded $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$ Distribution

Comparison of unfolded spectra with $\mathrm{kreg}=4,6$ and 8
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Unfolding the transverse momentum distribution for very forward neutron production in $p \mathrm{Au}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$
B. Mulilo, ${ }^{* 1,+2}$ for the PHENIX collaboration

The PHENIX collaboration measured that when a ransversely polarized proton with spin up collide
with unpolarized proton at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$, with unpolarized proton at $\sqrt{s_{N N}}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$, the
generate neutrons predominantly to the right 1 . enerate neutrons predominantly to the eright
2011, theorists explained this result in terms of the terference of pion and $a_{1}$ reggeon exchanges ${ }^{2}$ ). But 2015 using run 15 pAu data, we observed that when a polarized proton collides with a gold nucleus at $\sqrt{s_{N} / 2}$ contrary to theoretical predictions ${ }^{2}$. This nuclear de pendence of the asymmetry $\left(A_{N}\right)$ has, therefore, racted a massive interest in nuclear physics.
To explowe the we are now studying $A_{N}$ as as a function of the true transverse momentum ( $P t$ ). We begin with an undetanding that our measurements are limited by know effects such as the detector resolution and detection e
ficiency among others. Our technique is, therefore, employ a method known as unfolding to remove the known effects and recover the true distribution.


Fig. 1. Smearing response matrix mapping the binned true Pt spectrum to the measured spectrum.

We proceed by parametrizing measurement effect using the response matrix in Fig. 1 from Monte Carlo ${ }^{4}$ What this matrix does is to map the binned true spec trum in the Magenta line onto the measured spectrum in the Green line of Fig.2. For the measured and tr natrix element $S_{i j}$ gives the fraction of entries fro in $T_{j}$ that end up being reconstructed in bin $R_{i}$. value decomposition method ${ }^{5}$ ) contained in CERN ROOT toolkit. Since our smearing matrix is not perectly diagonal, we unfolded with a parameter, alias Kreg ${ }^{55}$, which determines the regularization of the un| 71 |
| :---: |
| $t 2$ |
| RIKEN Nishina Center |
| Department of Physics, Korea University |



Fig. 2. Superposition of the experimental data, unfolded, true and measured $P t$ distributions.

## 

Fig. 3. Unfolded spectra with various parameters.
folding. The unfolded spectrum was the distribution corresponding to an optimum Kreg $=6$ as depicted in
Fig.2. In the vicinity of optimum Kreg, we expect the unfolded distributions to behave normally, so we compared neighboring Kreg 3 and 4 to the optimum Kreg $=6$ and the result was as expected as shown in Fig.3.
We are now extending the ideas of the one dimensional unfolding to two dimensional unfolding of $P t$ in azimuth $\Phi$. The unfolded spectrum will then be used to calculate $A_{N}$ as a function of the unfolded $P t$ and we
will finally be able to draw further conclusions about the the nature of the proton spin.
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## 2D ( $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}, \Phi$ ) Unfolding for Asymmetry Calculations

Preparation of the true and reconstructed transverse momenta distributions in azimuth, $\Phi$.
True and reconstructed transverse momentum distributions ( $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ ):

- $P_{T}$ bins $=4$ bins
- Minimum $P_{T}=0.0$
- Maximum $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}=0.3$
- $P_{T}$ bin width $=0.075$
- $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ slices $=0.0<\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}<0.075($ bin 1$), 0.075<\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}<0.150($ bin 2$), 0.150<\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}<0.225($ bin 3$)$, $0.225<\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}<0.300$ (bin 4)

True and reconstructed azimuth, $\Phi$ (radians):

- $\Phi$ bins $=6$ bins
- Minimum $\Phi=-3.14$ (-Pi)
- Maximum $\Phi=+3.14$ (+pi)
- $\Phi$ bin width $=1.05$
- $\Phi$ slices $=-(3.14<\Phi<2.10)(b i n 1)$, $-(2.10<\Phi<1.05)(b i n 2)$ ), $-(1.05<\Phi<0.0)$ (bin 3), $0.0<\Phi<1.05($ bin 4$), 1.05<\Phi<2.10($ bin 5$)$ and $2.10<\Phi<3.15($ bin 6$)$


## Current Tasks
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* Converting two-dimensional to one-dimensional $P_{T}$ in $\Phi$ distribution and construct the smearing response matrix input to the TSVD unfolding (current priority)
* Apply the unfolding using ROOT's singular value decomposition (SVD) method incorporated in CERN's ROOT analysis toolkit.


## Analysis Prospect

$\square$ Reconstruct $P_{T}$ - dependence of $A_{N}$ distribution

PRD84,114012(2011)
$p^{\uparrow}+p$
Reference


Compute systematic uncertainties associated with the $A_{N}$ vs. $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$

Below is the analysis schedule for tasks remaining after the 1D unfolding results shown in this presentation. The schedule is too compact to finish all remaining tasks this year, 2020.

| TIMELINE | ANALYSIS TASKS | STATUS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov. 2019 | Monte Carlo tuning to match data | Checked |
| Nov. 2019 | Disable single SMD hit event and get rid of spikes | Checked |
| Nov. 2019 | Azimuthal distribution health check of UPC_ $A_{N}+0.2$ | Checked |
| Dec. 2019 | Convert 2D $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}, \Phi\right)$ into 1D preparation for 1D unfolding | Here now |
| Dec-Jan 2020 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}, \Phi 1 \mathrm{D}$ unfolding | current |
| Jan-Feb 2020 | Stability check of unfolding matrix using MC | current |
| Feb-Mar 2020 | Unfolding experimental data | current |
| Mar. 2020 | Calculate $A_{N}$ as a function of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}$ | Pending |
| Mar. 2020 | Backgrounds and systematic uncertainty | Pending |
| Apr. 2020 | Preliminary | Pending |
| May-Jul 2020 | Paper draft | Pending |
| Aug. 2020 | Paper submission | Pending |
| Aug-Oct 2020 | Thesis writing | Pending |
| Dec. 2020 | Defense | Pending |

## Analysis Schedule (Tentative)

If there will be positive feedback from the University of Zambia? Tentative but more realistic

| TIMELINE | ANALYSIS TASKS | STATUS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jan. 2020 | Convert 2D $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}, \Phi\right)$ into 1D hist prepartion for unfolding | Now here |
| Mar. 2020 | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}-\Phi}$ 1D unfolding and stability check of unfolding matrix | Pending |
| Aug. 2020 | Unfolding the experimental data and calculation of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ | Pending |
| Dec. 2020 | Study the background and systematic uncertainty and get <br> preliminary | Pending |
| Jun. 2021 | Prepartion and submission of the paper draft | Pending |
| Dec. 2021 | Defending thesis and completion of the Ph.D requirements. | Pending |



## Unfolding Output - Unfolded $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$ Distribution

Unfolded, Data, Reco and True Superposed Pt Spectra (Kreg = 4)


## Unfolding Output - Unfolded $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$ Distributions

Comparison of unfolded spectra with $\mathrm{kreg}=2,4,6,8$ and 10


## Unfolding Output - Unfolded $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{T}}$ Distribution

Unfolded, Data, Reco and True Superposed Pt Spectra (Kreg = 21)


## Acceptance Cut Check
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H Inner and outer radii $>0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $<4.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ cuts, respectively are applied as shown in the bottom right side panel.

## SMD Energy Check
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Vertical (x) smd energy before threshold cut


Vertical ( $x$ ) smd energy after threshold cut


Horizontal (y) smd energy before threshold cut


Horizontal (y) smd energy after threshold cut


## SMD XY Position Check
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© Top panels depict \# of fired vertical, Nx , (left) and horizontal, Ny , (right) smd before applying $\mathrm{Nx}(\mathrm{Ny})>1$ hit cut.




© Bottom panels are x (left) and y (right) particle position distributions before smd cut, $N x \& \& N y>1$ fired strips above SMD threshold, $E=0.003 \mathrm{GeV}$ is applied. The spikes $x=7$ and $y=8$ are smd strips.

## SMD XY Position Check
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© Top panels display the number of vertical, Nx , (left) and horizontal, Ny , (right) smd after applying $\mathrm{Nx}(\mathrm{Ny})>1$ hit cut.

x-shower position distribution after smd $>1$ cut

\# of horizontal smd after smd > 1 cut

$y$-shower position distribution after smd $>1$ cut

© Bottom panels are $x$ (left) and y (right) particle position distributions after smd cut $\mathrm{Nx} / \mathrm{Ny}>1$ fired strips above the SMD threshold, $\mathrm{E}=0.003 \mathrm{GeV}$ is applied. The SMD spikes in the x and y distributions have now been eliminated.

