

#### Characterisation of LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) Performance for PARIS

O. J. Roberts

RIKEN SHOGUN Workshop Tokyo 4-5 February 2011



# <u>Outline</u>

- Motivation
- SiPM Array
  - Light Response
  - Timing Measurements
  - Temperature Response
- Phoswich Detector
  - Neutron Response
  - Coincidence Timing Measurements
  - Pile Up Measurements
  - <sup>27</sup>Al(p,γ)<sup>28</sup>Si Beam Test
- Summary & Conclusions



Images courtesy of J.Strachan (STFC)



# **Motivation: PARIS**



- Energy range between
   0.1 and 50 MeV
- Two layers of scintillators in a 4π arrangement: LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) and BaF<sub>2</sub>, or CsI(Na)
- An outstanding question within the collaboration is what set-up to adopt.







# Large Area APD's

- Novel SiPMs from SensL provide high gain and low dead space
- Built-in preamp takes in 5 V and creates a bias voltage of ~28 V.
- Sensitive from 400-850 nm, peaking at ~ 520 nm.
- 16 pixels, 3.2 x 3.2 mm.
- Collectively, large amount of noise (50-100 mV), small S/N.





#### SensL Spectra & Pulse



# "New" SiPM Array

- A new SensL arrays with FPC cables were purchased.
- 4 boards were made at the University of York to read off the signal.
- Switches allowed greater flexibility



# Energy Spectra with New Arrays

- 1"x1"x6" CsI(TI) scintillator coupled to the detector produced spectra
- FWHM resolution with a <sup>137</sup>Cs source, found to be ~19%
- Similar resolution when measuring the FWHM of the 511 keV photopeak (~25%)



#### Timing with the SiPM



 Start-stop timing coincidence measurement with 1" BaF<sub>2</sub> detector revealed a timing FWHM of ~ 120 ns

### **Temperature Response of LAAPD**





Labyrinth in the copper plate is pumped with cooled alcohol

Temperature tests between 2°C and 30°C shows linear degradation in the FWHM of green LED (565 nm) Signal.

#### **Temperature Response of LAAPD**



Temperature and energy resolution is linear.
Resolution with scintillator still not optimised.

# SiPM Conclusions

- SiPM Array
  - Light Response Very good with a green LED. Newer models give a spectrum of poor energy resolution when used with a 6" CsI(TI) scintillator.
  - Timing Measurements Timing resolution with respect to a 1" BaF<sub>2</sub> in a start-stop set-up was poor when used with a CsI(TI) crystal (~120 ns)
  - Temperature Response Energy resolution responded linearly with temperature. Lower energy resolutions recorded at lower temperatures.
  - Tests reveal several shortcomings that might be improved with an alternative method.

 $\mathbf{x}$ 

#### **Phoswich Tests**



The signal is read out on one device (i.e. PMT).

## **Neutron Response**

- Neutron response of the phoswich detector was observed with a 10.5 GBq <sup>241</sup>Am/<sup>9</sup>Be source.
- Large thermal n-capture cross-section from <sup>139</sup>La, <sup>79</sup>Br, <sup>81</sup>Br.
- Is PSD between gammas and neutrons possible?





\_\_\_\_\_\_

### **Neutron Activated Spectrum**





In-beam spectrum showing the lower energy regions up to 1MeV in the inset.



## Pulse Shape Analysis for LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce)

PERFERENCE PERFERENC



# **Timing Coincidence Measurements**

- Set-up involved irradiating a 1 inch Bicron BaF<sub>2</sub> scintillator formed the "start" channel.
- Front-end timing resolution of 696 ± 13 ps, and Csl(Na) has a timing resolution of 24 ± 1 ns.



# **Electronics Timing Resolutions**

 Timing Resolutions of the electronics are shown opposite.

~125 ps and 1.44 ns for the LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) and CsI(Na) segments respectively.



# Improving the Timing Measurements

PHOTON ARRAY FOR STUDIES WITH RADIOACTIVE ON AND STABLE BEAMS

- Optimisation of the timing loop resulted in a marginal improvement to  $665 \pm 2$  ps. Therefore, the timing is constrained by the fast component of BaF<sub>2</sub> (~600 ps).
- Need to test with another detector with a comparable time match eg. LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce).

Segment	Int. Timing Res.	Timing(ps)/ch	Intrinsic Timing Res.	Delay (ns)	TAC Range (ns)
LaBr <sub>3</sub> :Ce	$696 \pm 13$	$45.0\pm0.1$	$685 \pm 13$	100	400
Front Elec.	$125 \pm 1$	$45.0\pm0.1$	$125 \pm 1$	100	400
CsI:Na	$23851 \pm 434$	$167\pm4$	$23810 \pm 426$	400	2000
Back Elec.	$1436 \pm 110$	$167 \pm 4$	$1436 \pm 110$	400	2000



### Pile-Up Measurements

- HV plateau had to be determined by altering the CFD LLD and TFA settings.
- Two <sup>57</sup>Co sources of different strengths were used; one hot source (4.2 mCi) and one weaker source (3.9 µCi).
- Results were generated based on ratios between "Measured" and "True" counts.

#### Pile-Up Measurements



Rate found to be ~ 800 kHz, primarily from  $LaBr_3$ (Ce)

**University of Brighton** 

×





- Proton beam incident on 100µgcm<sup>-2</sup> <sup>27</sup>Al target.
- Purpose to look at resonant capture and observe performance of phoswich under laboratory conditions.

Several QDC modules used with the phoswich

\*

### **Calibration Tests**



Calibrations with lab sources were used to determine the appropriate gates used with the QDCs to maintain linearity.



#### **Yields and Resonance Strengths**

$E_{\rm R}$	$Y_{\infty}$	$\omega\gamma$
$(\mathrm{keV})$	$(Counts/\mu C)$	(eV)
202.8	0.094(13)	$1.10(15) \times 10^{-5}$
222.7	0.40(3)	$5.0(4) \times 10^{-5}$
292.6	1.9(1)	$2.80(15) \times 10^{-4}$
326.6	13.3(7)	$2.10(11)  imes 10^{-3}$
405.3	58(3)	$1.04(5) \times 10^{-2}$
446.7	9.4(7)	$1.80(15) \times 10^{-3}$
504.9	151(19)	$3.1(4) \times 10^{-2}$
506.4	204(24)	$4.1(5) \times 10^{-2}$
611.5	26(3)	$5.8(7)  imes 10^{-3}$
632.2	1296(130)	0.29(3)
654.7	538(53)	0.12(1)
679.3	249(26)	$5.8(6)  imes 10^{-2}$
731.4	591(34)	0.142(8)
736.5	726(52)	0.175(15)
743.0	94(10)	$2.30(25) \times 10^{-2}$
760.4	556(39)	0.14(1)
767.2	802(57)	0.200(15)
773.6	1696(170)	0.42(4)
887.8	44(5)	$1.20(15)  imes 10^{-2}$
923.0	551(55)	0.145(15)
937.3	721(72)	0.19(2)
991.9	7308(517)	2.00(15)
1025.3	1318(132)	0.36(4)
1089.7	303(22)	$8.4(6) \times 10^{-2}$
1097.3	150(16)	$4.2(4) \times 10^{-2}$
1118.6	2978(298)	0.85(9)

<u>ecccepeccepecc</u>

Eur. Phys. J. A 9, 479-489 (2000)

 Resonance Strengths and yields at resonance energies.



Energy Loss: 20.35 keV A 9, 479-489 (2000)

Yield Curves: 10µgcm<sup>-2</sup> (♥) 50µgcm<sup>-2</sup>(●)







**University of Brighton** 



# <u>Summary</u>

- SiPMs were very noisy, but eventually generated a spectrum. Although, a poor timing resolution of ~120 ns for a 6" CsI(TI) scintillator was recorded, they displayed a very linear trend in energy resolution with respect to temperature.
- Neutron activation observed due to isotopes with large thermal neutron cross-sections in Lanthanum and Bromine.
- (n,γ) pulse shape discrimination not possible.
- Phoswich timing resolutions of ~0.7 and 24 ns for LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) and CsI(Na) with respect to the BaF<sub>2</sub> scintillator, were limited due to poorly time matched BaF<sub>2</sub> in start channel.
- Pile-up rate found to be ~ 800 kHz, primarily from  $LaBr_3(Ce)$ .
- LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce)/CsI(Na) Phoswich produced poor spectra in-beam, where gain matching the CsI(Na) scintillator proved to be extremely difficult.



# **Acknowledgement of Collaborators**

P. Joshi<sup>1</sup>, D. Jenkins<sup>1</sup>, O. Dorvaux<sup>2</sup>, M. Rousseau<sup>2</sup>, Christian Finck<sup>2,</sup>, M.Ciemala<sup>3</sup>, D. Lehertz<sup>5</sup>, A. Maj<sup>3</sup>, I. Matea<sup>4</sup>, J. Pouthas<sup>4</sup>, J. Strachan<sup>6</sup>, A. Smith<sup>7</sup>, R.Wadsworth<sup>1</sup>, and the rest of the PARIS collaborators

<sup>1</sup>University of York, United Kingdom <sup>2</sup>IPHC Strasbourg, France <sup>3</sup>IFJ PAN Krakow <sup>4</sup>IPN Orsay, France <sup>5</sup>GANIL, Caen, Frace <sup>6</sup>STFC Daresbury, United Kingdom <sup>7</sup>University of Manchester, United Kingdom











## Background Spectrum



- Lower channels cut due to K-Shell X-Rays Intensity.
- FWHM @ 1436keV is 169.10keV, Resolution 4.77%

### **Alpha Contamination**



 <sup>227</sup>Ac (t<sub>1/2</sub>=21.2yrs), in the same group (IIIB) as Lanthanum

# **Alpha Scintillation Properties**

Comparison of Alpha Energies in LaBr3:Ce

- Ratio between the two is 0.35.
- Alphas emit 65% less light.
- In agreement with LaCl<sub>3</sub> measurements by Hartwell and Gehrke\*



### **Source Position Sensitivity**



• What happens when we place it in the centre of the two detectors? See 4 Peaks for <sup>60</sup>Co!

University of Brighton

×

# **Timing Coincidence Measurements**



19999999999999999

- Set-up involved irradiating a 1" Bicron BaF<sub>2</sub> scintillator formed the "start" channel.
- Both channels were triggered by the coincidence unit externally.
- Timing measurements were taken from the CsI(Na) and LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) segments.

<sup>27</sup>Al(p,γ)<sup>28</sup>Si Reaction

- Population of 742, 760.4,767, and 773.6 keV resonances observed due to  $\Delta E << \Gamma$ .
- Resonance strength ωγ, is high for 760.4-773.6, with 773.6 the strongest.
- FWHM resolution of 2<sup>+</sup>-0<sup>+</sup> with 2"x2"x4" LaBr<sub>3</sub>(Ce) crystal = 2.47%
- 10.54 MeV transition with the same detector produced a FWHM of 1.43%



## SPIRAL2



*Courtesy of http://irfu.cea.fr* 

\*



Physics Case	Recoil	v/c	E <sub>y</sub> range	$\Delta E_{\gamma} / E_{\gamma}$	$\Delta \Sigma_{\gamma} sum / \Sigma_{\gamma} sum$	۵My	Ω	∆T <sub>of</sub>	Ancillaries	Miscellamesous
		(cmns <sup>-1</sup> )	(Ma)()	(%)	(%)	(units)	(sr)	(ns)		
			(Mev)				(finally)		(prototype scenario)	
Jacobi transition	A~	up to	[0 1-30]	6	45	۲ « ۲	2π - 4π	< 1	v-calorimeter*	High efficiency
	40-150	10%	[0.1 30]	,	,	, ,	2.4 1.4	••	AGATA ** HI spectro	Beam rejection ?
Shape Phase Diagram	A~	up to	[0.1-30]	6	~ 3	5	2π - 4π	. 1	a calorimeter	High officiancy.
å	180	11%	[0.1-30]	0		<b>、</b>		<b>`</b> 1	γ-calorimeter HI spectro	Beam rejection ?
rich nuclei					< 5		>10% /4π			
Isospin mixing	A~	up to	[5 20]	6			un to Arr	. 1		High officiancy.
	60-100	7%	[5-30]	0	-	-	up 10 4%	×1	γ-calorimeter HI spectro	Beam rejection ?
Reaction dynamics	A~	up to	[0.1-25]	6-8	< 8	~ 4	up to 2π	< 1	y-calorimeter	Complex coupling
	160-220	7%							n detector	1 1 2
									FF detector	
Collectivity vs. multifragmenta	A~	up to	[5-25]	5	-	-	un to 2π	< 1	v calorimeter	Complex coupling
tion	120-200	8%	[0-20]	5	_		α <b>ρ</b> το 2.λ		LCP detector	complex coupling

Courtesy of Ch. Schmitt (GANIL), May 2008



Physics Case	Recoil	v/c	E <sub>γ</sub> range (MeV)	∆E <sub>γ</sub> / E <sub>γ</sub> (%)	$\Delta \Sigma_{\gamma}^{sum} / \Sigma_{\gamma}^{sum}$ (%)	∆M <sub>y</sub> (units)	Ω coverage (sr) (finally)	∆T <sub>of</sub> (n=)	Ancillaries (prototype scenario)	Miscellamesous
Radiative Capture	A~ 20-30	up to 3%	[1-30]	< ~4	< 5	rough	~ 4π	< 1	HI spectro	High efficiency High current ?
Multiple (normal) Coulex	A~ 40	up to 7%	[2-6]	~ 5	-	-	up to 2π	< 1	AGATA CD detectors	Complex coupling
Astrophysics	A~ 16-90	< 0.2%	[0.1-6]	-	< 5	rough	~ 4π	< 1	γ-calorimeter	High efficiency Background
Shell structure at ntermediate energies	A~ 16-40	up to 40%	[0.5-4]	~ 3	-	-	up to 4π	« 1	γ-calorimeter HI analyzer	High efficiency - very low I <sub>beam</sub> - γ-γcoincidence
Relativistic Coulex	A~ 40	up to 50-60%	[1-4]	~4	-	ideally, M <sub>y</sub> = 1	forward with ~π/3 aperture	« 1	AGATA HI analyzer	Angular distribution Lorentz boost

Courtesy of Ch. Schmitt (GANIL), May 2008

