

Nodoka Yamanaka (Kennesaw State University/Riken)

Based on NY, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 26, 1730002 (2017); NY, B. K. Sahoo, N. Yoshinaga, T. Sato, K. Asahi, B. P. Das, Eur. Phys. J. A 53, 54 (2017); NY, PoS SPIN 2018, 094 (2019) [arXiv:1902.00527 [hep-ph]].

2021/02/24 日本のスピン物理学の展望

<u>Why did antimatter disappear (baryon number excess)?</u>

Asymmetric decays generates excess of matters in the early Universe





Matter/photon ratio is a direct signature of baryon number asymmetry

To generate the baryon number asymmetry of our Universe, three conditions must be satisfied:

Baryon number violating interaction

Decay of heavy particles carrying baryon number (GUT, leptoquark), sphaleron process (topological violation of B, OK with SM)

Departure from equilibrium

Decays or pair annihilations of heavy particles carrying B, bubble nucleation at phase transition (due to the decrease of temperature of the expanding Universe)

Violation of charge conjugation (C) and charge conjugation-parity (CP)

(See next slide)

<u>C, CP violations and baryon number asymmetry</u>

P, C and CP transformation of initial & final states:



Baryon number asymmetry:

 $\epsilon \propto \Gamma(X \to f_L f'_L) + \Gamma(X \to f_R f'_R) - \Gamma(\bar{X} \to \bar{f}_L \bar{f}'_L) - \Gamma(\bar{X} \to \bar{f}_R \bar{f}'_R)$

Similar relations hold for decays of other particles, other interactions

C & CP violations are both needed for baryon number asymmetric decays CP violation of Standard model is not sufficient to explain matter/antimatter asymmetry ...

ratio photon : matter

Prediction of Standard model: 10^{20} : 1Real observed data: 10^{10} : 1

CP violation of standard model is in great deficit!

We need new source(s) of large CP violation beyond the standard model !

Electric dipole moment:

Permanent polarization of internal charge of a particle.

 $\vec{d}_{\psi} = \sum_{i} \langle \psi | Q_{i} e \vec{r}_{i} | \psi \rangle$ $\Rightarrow \text{This is what will be evaluated!}$



Direction: $\vec{d} \propto \vec{\sigma}$ (Spin is the only vector quantity in spin ½ particle)

Interaction:
$$H_{\text{EDM}} = -d \langle \vec{\sigma} \rangle \cdot \vec{E}$$

Transformation properties:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \underbrace{Inder parity tr.:} \\
 \begin{bmatrix}
 \vec{E} & \frac{P}{\rightarrow} & -\vec{E} \\
 \vec{\sigma} & \frac{P}{\rightarrow} & \vec{\sigma}
\end{array} \rightarrow H_{EDM} \text{ is P-odd} \\
 \underbrace{Inder time reversal:} \\
 \begin{bmatrix}
 \vec{E} & \frac{T}{\rightarrow} & \vec{E} \\
 \vec{\sigma} & \frac{T}{\rightarrow} & -\vec{\sigma}
\end{array} \rightarrow H_{EDM} \text{ is CP-odd !}$$

EDM from physics beyond Standard model

EDM operator in relativistic field theory: dimension five-5 operator



EDM is generated by CP violating interactions.

Can be calculated using Feynman diagrams:



EDM receives very small contribution from SM, whereas BSM new physics may contribute with low loop level :

EDM is a very good probe of BSM new physics!

EDM of composite systems

The EDM is often measured in composite systems (neutron, atoms, molecules, nuclei)

The EDM of composite systems is not only generated by the EDM of the components, but also by CP violating many-body interactions.



EDM of constituents



CP-odd many-body interaction

Example of QCD level many-body interactions inducing neutron EDM:



quark chromo-EDM





Effect of CPV many-body interaction may be enhanced/suppressed!

Elementary level CP violation and its origin

All these processes scale as $1/M_{NP^2}$

Quark EDM, chromo-EDM:





<u>CP-odd 4-fermion interaction:</u>

Tree level:

- * Left-right sym.
- * Scalar exchange (e.g. Higgs)
- * Leptoquarks





Weinberg operator:

- 2-loop diagram:
- * 2-Higgs doublet model
- * Vectorlike quark model



Probe BSM sectors without LO interaction with light quarks

EDM from elementary level CP violation



⇒ Elementary level CPV is unknown and small : can be factorized



 \Rightarrow Linear coefficients depends only on the structure of the system, <u>not in NP</u>

 \Rightarrow We want to evaluate **coefficients** and find interesting systems!

 \Rightarrow We want to find systems with large enhancement factors

(or understand and avoid suppression)

In atoms, EDM of nonrelativistic constituents suffers Schiff's screening



Typically, looses sensitivity by $\alpha_{QED^2} \sim 10^{-4}$

EDM of bare constituent

Atomic EDM : screening via rearrangement

3(+1) leading P, CP-odd processes in atoms :

- Relativistic effect of constituents (electrons in heavy atoms)
- CP-odd electron-nucleon interaction
- Schiff moment (residual nuclear moment due to nuclear finite size)

L. I. Schiff, Phys. Rev. 132, 2194 (1963).

Oscillating EDM of constituents (interaction with axion dark matter?)

V. Flambaum et al., Phys. Rev. D 100, 111301 (2019).

Electron EDM in atoms/molecules : relativistic enhancement

Electron EDM is enhanced in heavy paramagnetic atoms/molecules due to the relativistic effect P. G. H. Sandars, Phys. Lett. 14, 194 (1965); Phys. Lett. 22, 290 (1966).

$$d_A = \sum_n \frac{\langle \Psi_0 | -e \sum_i^Z z_i | \Psi_n \rangle \langle \Psi_n | d_e \sum_j^Z (1 - \beta_j) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_j \cdot \mathbf{E}_j | \Psi_0 \rangle}{E_n - E_0} = K_e d_e$$
Relativistic effect :
Not canceled by Schiff theorem

Mechanism of enhancement:

 $(1+\gamma_0)$ component (nonrelativistic) is removed due to Schiff's screening

(1- γ_0) component is relativistic \Rightarrow Enhanced by (Za)² !

Electron EDM induces internal electric field « Coulomb force

⇒ Additionally enhanced by Zα !

\Rightarrow Enhancement by (Za)³!!

Some examples:

enhancement factor

TI atom

K = -585 Porsev et al., PRL 108, 173001 (2012).

Experimental data

d_e < 1.6 x 10⁻²⁷ e cm Regan et al., PRL 88, 071805 (200

Fr atom

K_e = -800 Shitara et al., JHEP 2102 (2021) 124 δd_e ~ O(10⁻²⁹) e cm Sakemi et al., on-going

\Rightarrow O(100) enhancement of electron EDM!

<u>Enhancement in octupole systems: molecules, nuclei</u>

Octupole deformed systems may enhance the CP violation by clos opposite parity levels (parity doubling)

Each orientation corresponds to localized state in double well potential

 $\left| \bigcirc \right\rangle \pm \left| \bigcirc \right\rangle = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |S\rangle \\ |P\rangle \end{array} \right.$

Physical states are mixing between localized states

⇒ Nearly degenerate symmetric (S) and antisymmetric (P) states!

 \Rightarrow Close energy levels between opposite parity lead to

Ethremeetron EDM world record by ThO molecule : d_e < 1.1 x V. Andreev et al. [ACME Collaboration], Nature 562, 355 (2018)

(parity doubling also occurs in heavy nuclear systems, see later)

Enhancement/suppression mechanism : scalar and spin

Spin (tensor, axial charges) : suppression



In many-fermion system, spin tends to form singlet (pairing) : no enhancement



Quark EDM is a superposition of flipping after gluon emissions/absorptions ⇒ Quark EDM is suppressed

Scalar density : enhancement



Scalar density grows with particle number

Scalar density of particles and antiparticles has the same sign ⇒ Becomes large with long worldline ⇒ Enhancement by relativistic effect

NY, T. M. Doi, S. Imai, H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D 88, 074036 (2013); NY, S. Imai, T. M. Doi, H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D 89, 074017 (2014). Enhancement/suppression mechanism : scalar and spin

Spin (tensor, axial charges) : suppression

Renormalization group evolution of quark EDM, quark/gluon chromo-EDM Nucleon matrix element of quark EDM, quark/gluon chromo-EDM Nuclear spin matrix elements : configuration mixing

Scalar density : enhancement

Renormalization group evolution of quark scalar density, 4-quark operators Light quark effect : pion pole, pion loop Nuclear density grows with A <u>**CP-odd electron-nucleon interaction : the most interesting?</u></u>**



P, CP-odd e-N interaction induces atomic EDM, it is a pure atomic effect

In view of the above enhancement/suppression mechanisms, the CP-odd e-N interaction is the most interesting, because...

Many new physics contribute at the tree level

For scalar-pseudoscalar type $C_{SP}\bar{N}N\,\bar{e}i\gamma_5e$

Similar enhancement as the electron EDM in paramagnetic systems Hadronic part has scalar density enhancement $\langle N|\bar{q}q|N\rangle$ \rightarrow In many cases, more sensitive than electron EDM.

 \Rightarrow In many cases, more sensitive than electron EDM!

 For pseudoscalar-scalar C_{PS}Niγ₅N ēe and tensor C_TNσ_{µν}Nēiσ^{µν}γ₅e types Suppression due to spin, but EDM experiments of diamagnetic atom are very precise ! (c.f. d_{Hg} < 7.4 x 10⁻³⁰ e cm, world record) Graner et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 161601 (2016).

Sensitivity to specific new physics through C_T , such as leptoquark

Nucleon level CP violation from strong interacting sector

Much chiral EFT / lattice QCD works in the past. Current understanding is like



Unfortunately, not all hadron matrix elements are available from lattice QCD

Use chiral EFT to relate unknown ones with known ones

J. de Vries et al., PRC 84, 065501 (2011)

Nuclear EDM / Schiff moment from nucleon level CP violation

Two leading contributions to nuclear EDM/Schiff moment:

1) Nucleon's intrinsic EDM:

Contribution from the nucleon EDM (spin)

Strong pairing force : only unpaired nucleon(s) contribute Nucleons are nonrelativisitic in nuclei

 \Rightarrow Nucleon EDM is not enhanced in nuclei

2) Polarization of the nucleus:

Polarize the whole system by the parity and CP mixing due to CP-odd nuclear force







P, CP-odd nuclear force : pion exchange is dominant



P, CP-odd Hamiltonian (3-types): $\mathcal{H}_{PT} = -\frac{1}{8\pi m_N} \left[\frac{\left(\bar{G}_{\pi}^{(0)} \tau_a \cdot \tau_b + \bar{G}_{\pi}^{(2)} (\tau_a \cdot \tau_b - 3\tau_a^z \tau_b^z)\right) (\sigma_a - \sigma_b) + \bar{G}_{\pi}^{(1)} (\tau_b^a \sigma_a - \tau_b^z \sigma_b) \right] \cdot \frac{\nabla_{ab} e^{m_\pi r_{ab}}}{r_{ab}}$ Isotensor

4 important properties:

- Coherence in nuclear scalar density : enhanced in nucleon number
- One-pion exchange : suppress long distance contribution
- Spin dependent interaction : closed shell has no EDM
- Derivative interaction : contribution from the surface

What is expected:

- Polarization effect grows in A for small nuclei ?
- May have additional enhancements with cluster structure, deformation, ...

EDM of light nuclei and counting rule

EDM of light nuclei can be measured using storage rings

- \Rightarrow No Schiff's screening
 - \Rightarrow Very high sensitivity to new physics expected



soscalar and isotensor appears from single valence nucleon and ³H cluster (vanish for α-N polarization)

EDM of heavy nuclei : simple shell model

EDM of larger nuclei is larger?



 $d_A = (A/4) \times (\alpha$ -N polarization) ??

No!

Problems:

- pion is massive, nucleon cannot interact with the other side of the nucleus
- CP-odd nuclear force is a derivative interaction, interact with the surface
- Large nuclei have configuration mixings (destructive interference of angular momentum of valence nucleons)



 $|\Psi\rangle = |\frac{1}{2} + |\frac{1}{2} + ...$

We should have some upper limit in the sensitivity $d_A \sim 0.07 \ G_{\pi}^{(1)} \ e \ fm$

Schiff moment of octuple deformed nuclei: enhancement

Octupole deformation

⇒ parity doubling due to axially asymmetric shape
 ⇒ close opposite parity levels
 ⇒ enhance nuclear Schiff moment



Octupole deformation occurs in heavy nuclei (225Ra, 223Rn, 223Fr, etc)

Comparison of Schiff moment with ¹⁹⁹Hg:

	a₀(isoscalar)	a1(isovector)	a2(isotensor)
²²⁵ Ra	-1.5 e fm³	6.0 e fm ³	-4.0 e fm ³
¹⁹⁹ Hg	0.08 e fm ³	0.08 e fm ³	0.14 e fm ³

J. Dobaczewski and J. Engel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 232502 (2005)

J. Dobaczewski et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 232501 (2018).

(Comparison ¹⁹⁹Hg result of Yanase and Shimizu, PRC **102**, 065502 (2020)



Octupole deformation enhances by O(100) times!!

<u>Results</u>

EDM	isoscalar (a₀)	isovector (a1)	isotensor (a ₂)	
129Xe atom K. Yanase et al., arXiv:2006.15142 [nucl-th] A. Sakurai et al., PRA 100, 0320502 (2019)	-1.2x10 ⁻⁶ <i>e</i> fm	-1.3x10 ⁻⁶ <i>e</i> fm	-2.6x10 ⁻⁶ <i>e</i> fm	
199 Hg atom K. Yanase et al., arXiv:2006.15142 [nucl-th] B. K. Sahoo et al., PRL 120 , 203001 (2018)	-1.4x10⁻⁵ <i>e</i> fm	-1.3x10 ⁻⁵ <i>e</i> fm	-2.6x10 ⁻⁵ <i>e</i> fm	atoms
225Ra atom Dobaczewski et al., PRL 94, 232502 (2005) Y. Singh et al., PRA 92, 022502 (2015)	0.00093 <i>e</i> fm	-0.0037 <i>e</i> fm	0.0025 <i>e</i> fm	
Neutron Crewther et al. , PLB 88,123 (1979) Mereghetti et al., PLB 696, 97 (2011)	0.01 <i>e</i> fm	_	– 0.01 <i>e</i> fm	
Deuteron Liu et al., PRC 70, 055501 (2004) NY et al., PRC 91, 054005 (2015)	_	0.0145 <i>e</i> fm	_	
³ He nucleus Bsaisou et al., JHEP 1503 (2015) 104 NY et al., PRC 91, 054005 (2015)	0.015 <i>e</i> fm	0.0108 <i>e</i> fm	0.026 <i>e</i> fm	
⁶Li nucleus NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	—	0.022 <i>e</i> fm	-	
⁷Li nucleus NY et al., PRC 100 , 055501 (2019)	– 0.015 <i>e</i> fm	0.016 <i>e</i> fm	– 0.026 <i>e</i> fm	
9 Be nucleus NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	0.01 <i>e</i> fm	0.014 <i>e</i> fm	0.01 <i>e</i> fm	> nuclei
¹¹ B nucleus NY et al., PRC 100 , 055501 (2019)	– 0.01 <i>e</i> fm	0.016 <i>e</i> fm	– 0.02 <i>e</i> fm	
¹³ C nucleus NY et al., PRC 95,065503 (2017)	– 0.003 <i>e</i> fm	-0.0020 <i>e</i> fm	– 0.003 <i>e</i> fm	
129Xe nucleus N. Yoshinaga et al., PRC 89 , 045501 (2014)	7.0x10 ⁻⁵ <i>e</i> fm	7.4x10 ⁻⁵ <i>e</i> fm	3.7x10 ⁻⁴ <i>e</i> fm	
Simple shell model O. P. Sushkov et al., Sov. JETP 60, 873 (1984)	O(0.01) <i>e</i> fm	0.07 <i>e</i> fm	0(0.01) <i>e</i> fm	

<u>Results</u>

EDM	isoscalar (a₀)	isovector (a1)	isotensor (a ₂)	
129Xe atom K. Yanase et al., arXiv:2006.15142 [nucl-th] A. Sakurai et al., PRA 100, 0320502 (2019)	-1.2x10 ⁻⁶ <i>e</i> fm	-1.3x10 ⁻⁶ <i>e</i> fm	-2.6x10 ⁻⁶ <i>e</i> fm	
199Hg atom K. Yanase et al., arXiv:2006.15142 [nucl-th] B. K. Sahoo et al., PRL 120, 203001 (2018)	-1.4x10 ⁻⁵ <i>e</i> fm	-1.3x10 ⁻⁵ <i>e</i> fm	-2.6x10⁻⁵ <i>e</i> fm	atoms
225Ra atom Dobaczewski et al., PRL 94, 232502 (2005) Y. Singh et al., PRA 92, 022502 (2015)	0.00093 <i>e</i> fm	-0.0037 <i>e</i> fm	0.0025 <i>e</i> fm	
Neutron Crewther et al., PLB 88,123 (1979) Mereghetti et al., PLB 696, 97 (2011)	0.01 <i>e</i> fm	_	– 0.01 <i>e</i> fm	
Deuteron Liu et al., PRC 70 , 055501 (2004) NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	—	0.0145 <i>e</i> fm	_	
³ He nucleus Bsaisou et al., JHEP 1503 (2015) 104 NY et al., PRC 91, 054005 (2015)	0.015 <i>e</i> fm	0.0108 <i>e</i> fm	0.026 <i>e</i> fm	
⁶Li nucleus NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	_	0.022 <i>e</i> fm	_	
⁷Li nucleus NY et al., PRC 100 , 055501 (2019)	— 0.015 <i>e</i> fm	0.016 <i>e</i> fm	— 0.026 <i>e</i> fm	
9 Be nucleus NY et al., PRC 91 , 054005 (2015)	0.01 <i>e</i> fm	0.014 <i>e</i> fm	0.01 <i>e</i> fm	huclei
11 B nucleus NY et al., PRC 100, 055501 (2019)	– 0.01 <i>e</i> fm	0.016 <i>e</i> fm	– 0.02 <i>e</i> fm	
¹³ C nucleus NY et al., PRC 95,065503 (2017)	– 0.003 <i>e</i> fm	–0.0020 <i>e</i> fm	— 0.003 <i>e</i> fm	
129 Xe nucleus N. Yoshinaga et al., PRC 89 , 045501 (2014)	7.0x10⁻⁵ <i>e</i> fm	7.4x10⁻⁵ <i>e</i> fm	3.7x10⁻⁴ <i>e</i> fm	
Simple shell model O. P. Sushkov et al., Sov. JETP 60, 873 (1984)	O(0.01) <i>e</i> fm	0.07 <i>e</i> fm	0(0.01) <i>e</i> fm	

In naive supersymmetric models with all possible soft SUSY breaking, the fermion EDM is generated at the one-loop level

Neutron and atomic EDMs are very sensitive to SUSY CP phases



 \Rightarrow Very strong constraints on

the CP phases of light sfermion

($\theta < 10^{-(2-3)}$ for m_{SUSY} ~ TeV)

This lead phenomenologists to think of a more "natural" scenario where CP phases of sfermions are irrelevant, such as split-SUSY (very heavy sfermions) Arkani-Hamed et al., Nucl. Phys. B 709 (2005) 3

In such scenarios, the EDM appears at two-loop level



 \Rightarrow Two-loop level CP violation is smaller

 \Rightarrow No need to tune SUSY CP phases

Barr-Zee type diagram

Ellis et al., JHEP 10 (2008) 049, Nakai and Reece, JHEP 08 (2017) 031.

Higgs doublet models

Standard model Higgs boson does not have CP phase, but its extension may have it.

Higgs boson has very small interaction with light fermions (Yukawa)

The leading contribution involves heavy fermions.

Leading contributions :







Fermion EDM (Barr-Zee type diagram)

CP-odd electron-nucleon force (gluon inside nucleon)

Weinberg operator (gluon chromo-EDM)

Higgs doublet models

Standard model Higgs boson does not have CP phase, but its extension may have it.

Higgs boson has very small interaction with light fermions (Yukawa)

The leading contribution involves heavy fermions.

Leading contributions :



Barr-Zee type diagram and CP-odd e-N force: Suppressed by electron Yukawa, but interesting thanks to high sensitivity of paramagnetic molecular beam experiments (d_e < 1.1 x 10⁻²⁹e cm)

V. Andreev et al. [ACME Collaboration], Nature 562, 355 (2018).

Higgs doublet models

Standard model Higgs boson does not have CP phase, but its extension may have it.

Higgs boson has very small interaction with light fermions (Yukawa)

The leading contribution involves heavy fermions.

Leading contributions :



Weinberg operator is not suppressed in the dimensional analysis:

 \Rightarrow Very interesting, but not well known due to hadron level uncertainty

Possible approaches: Lattice QCD calculations (very difficult) Effective field theory analysis Perturbative QCD analysis (higher twist pdf)

<u>Leptoquark models</u>

Leptoquarks : boson with lepton and baryon numbers.

Natural effective models which may be arise in Grand unification. (note that not all are constrained by proton decay)

Recently attracting attention in the context of B meson decay.

Atomic EDM is very sensitive to leptoquark models \Rightarrow CP-odd electron-nucleon interaction!



⇒ Very strong constraints on the CP phases of leptoquarks ($\theta < 10^{-3}$ for m_{LQ} ~ TeV)

Natural mechanisms to explain small CP phases are required.

Herczeg, Phys. Rev. D 68, 116004 (2003), Fuyuto et al., Phys. Lett. B 788 (2019) 52, Yanase et al., Phys. Rev. D 99, 075021 (2018).

What can we learn from EDM and model studies?

Essentially, nothing was discovered so far in LHC, so all models are even.

Nevertheless, EDM can constrain CP phases which cannot be by LHC, so EDM experiments have a strong diplomatic power in suggesting the directions of future particle physics studies (e.g. split-SUSY).

Now, what the EDM is suggesting us? (include my personal thought)

- SUSY : split-SUSY was nice to avoid SUSY CP problem, but "natural" CP phases will be killed in future EDM experiments.
- Leptoquark : very unlikely to be at TeV within natural CP phases. GUT scale is the most natural, but other tricky mechanisms to only remove CP phases at TeV?
- Extending Higgs sector : the Higgs exists, but many aspects not elucidated, such as Yukawa, CKM mixing/CP angles, etc.
 We also note that the CKM effect is also (indirectly) due to Higgs.
 This means, even not finding other CP phases than the CKM one is meaningful for the study of the Higgs sector.

Probably, the precise study of Higgs sector is the most promising.

<u>Summary</u>

- Baryon number excess was created due to CP violation.
- EDM is a good probe of CP violation beyond standard model.
- A review of enhancement/suppression in EDM.
- Schiff's screening in atoms damps the leading hadronic CPV.
- Notable enhancement : relativistic electron in atoms/ molecules, octuple deformation of nuclei, and maybe scalar density.
- My personal view: study of Higgs CP violation is promising.
- We have to note that experimentally measurable systems are not numerous : limited # of cases to be studied.

Future subjects:

- Hadronic CP violation to be quantified.
- We are waiting for experimental results (in Japan).

<u>Advertisement</u>

For details of nuclear EDM calculation, see

N. Yamanaka, Review of the electric dipole moment of light nuclei, International Journal of Modern Physics E 26, 1730002 (2017) arXiv:1609.04759 [nucl-th].

For values and error bars of hadron level CP violation, see

N. Yamanaka, B. K. Sahoo, N. Yoshinaga, T. Sato, K. Asahi and B. P. Das, Probing exotic phenomena at the interface of nuclear and particle physics with the electric dipole moments of diamagnetic atoms, European Physical Journal A 53, 54 (2017) arXiv:1703.01570 [nucl-th].

> Springer Theses Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Researc

Analysis of the Electric Dipole Moment in the

For details of particle physics level calculations, see N. Yamanaka, Analysis of the Electric Dipole Moment in the R-parity Violating Supersymmetric Standard Model, Springer, 2014.



End