【中性子星の観測と理論 – 研究活性化ワークショップ 2021–】 (August 10 (Tues.) – 12 (Thur.), 2021)

Effects of three-baryon forces on kaon condensation in hyperon-mixed matter

武藤 巧(千葉工大) 丸山 敏毅(原子力機構) 巽 敏隆(大産大)

Takumi Muto (Chiba Institute of Technology)

Toshiki Maruyama (JAEA) Toshitaka Tatsumi (Osaka Sangyo Univ.)

arXiv: 2106.03449 [nucl-th]



1-2 Kaon condensation (Bose-Einstein condensation of antikaon K⁻)

[D.B. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson, Phys. Lett. B175 (1986), 57.]

[T. Tatsumi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 80, 22(1988).]

[T. Muto and T. Tatsumi, Phys. Lett. B283(1992), 165.]

[H. Fujii, T. Maruyama, T. Muto, and T. Tatsumi, Nucl. Phys. A597 (1996), 645.]



•Softening of EOS

Delayed collapse of protoneutron stars $\leftarrow 1$ st order phase transition \Rightarrow Mini-black hole scenario

[G. E. Brown and H. A. Bethe, Astrophy. J. 423(1994), 659.]

Mini-collapse to a kaon-condensed $N_{\star} \Rightarrow$ anomalous γ -ray bursts [H. Fujii, T. Maruyama, T. Muto, and T. Tatsumi, Nucl. Phys. A597 (1996), 645.]

•Rapid cooling of neutron stars

[H. Fujii, T. Muto, T. Tatsumi, R. Tamagaki, Nucl. Phys. A578 (1994), 758; Phys. Rev. C 50 (1994), 3140.]

1-3 Coexistence of kaon condensation and hyperons

Problem: [(Y+K) phase] necessarily leads to very soft EOS

[T. Muto, Phys. Rev. C77, 015810 (2008).] Self-bound stars

Observation of massive neutron stars

M(PSR J1614-2230) = (1.97 ± 0.04) M_{\odot}

[P. Demorest, T.Pennucci, S. Ransom, M. Roberts and J.W.T.Hessels, Nature 467 (2010) 1081.]

 $\frac{M(PSR J0348+0432) = (2.01 \pm 0.04) M_{\odot}}{Science 340, 6131 (2013).}$

M(PSR J2215+5135) = (2.27 + 0.17 - 0.15) M_{\odot}

Millisecond pulsars

[M.Linares, T. Shahbaz, J.Casares, Astrophys. J. 859, 54 (2018).] in compact binaries

M(PSR J0740+6620) = $(2.14 + 0.10 - 0.09) M_{\odot}$

[H.T.Cromartie et al., Nat.Astron.4, 72(2020.)]

M(PSR J1810+1744) = $(2.13 \pm 0.04) M_{\odot}$

[R. W. Romani et al., Astrophys. J. L. 908, L46 (2021).]

Many-Body Repulsive Forces are necessary at high densities in order to stiffen the EOS at high densities.

Possible Solutions to the "Hyperon Puzzle"

•Universal YNN, YYN, YYY repulsions

[S. Nishizaki, Y. Yamamoto and T. Takatsuka, Prog. Theor. Phys. 108 (2002) 703.] [R. Tamagaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 119 (2008), 965.] : String-Junction model

Multi-pomeron exchange potential

[Y. Yamamoto, T. Furumoto, N. Yasutake, and Th.A. Rijken, Phys. Rev. C 90, 045805 (2014).]

•RMF extended to BMM, MMM type diagrams

[K. Tsubakihara and A. Ohnishi, Nucl. Phys. A 914 (2013), 438; arXiv:1211.7208.]

1-4 Purpose of this study

Introducing the Universal Three-Baryon Repulsive Force (UTBR) and Three-Nucleon Attraction (TNA),

•Energy per particle in symmetric nuclear matter (SNM) as a function of baryon density $\varrho_{B} \leftarrow$ reproduce saturation properties of SNM within the Relativistic mean-field theory (RMF)

• Onset density of the (Y+K) phase and properties of neutron stars (M-R)

The Effects of TNA (choice of the slope L) on stiffness of the EOS for the (Y+K) phase in high densities.

Suppression mechanisms of Kaon condensates due to UTBR and TNA (Boson degree of freedom)

• Rapid cooling mechanisms in the (Y+K) phase

2. Formulation

2-1 Our interaction model for the (Y+K) phase

K-Baryon and K-K interactions

specified by chiral symmetry

$$[\text{classical K}^- \text{ field}]$$
$$K^-(r) = \frac{f}{\sqrt{2}}\theta(r)$$

Effective chiral Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_K = f^2 \Big[rac{1}{2} (\mu_K \sin heta)^2 - m_K^2 (1 - \cos heta) + 2 \mu_K X_0 (1 - \cos heta) \Big]$$



2-2 Baryon-Baryon interaction

Baryons: $(p, n, \Lambda, \Sigma^-, \Xi^-)$ Mesons: $\sigma, \omega, \rho, \sigma^*, \phi$ 2-Body Baryon Interaction Lagrangian (Meson-exchange) $\mathcal{L}_{B,M} = \sum_{B} \overline{B}(i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu} - M_{B}^{*})B + \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu}\sigma\partial_{\mu}\sigma - m_{\sigma}^{2}\sigma^{2}\right) \left[-U(\sigma)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu}\sigma^{*}\partial_{\mu}\sigma^{*} - m_{\sigma^{*}}^{2}\sigma^{*2}\right) \\ - \frac{1}{4}\omega^{\mu\nu}\omega_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\omega}^{2}\omega^{\mu}\omega_{\mu} - \frac{1}{4}R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\rho}^{2}R^{\mu}R_{\mu} - \frac{1}{4}\phi^{\mu\nu}\phi_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\phi}^{2}\phi^{\mu}\phi_{\mu}$ $\partial_\mu o {\cal D}^B_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + i g_{\omega B} \omega_\mu + i \widetilde{g}_{
ho B} ec{I}^{(B)} \cdot ec{R}_\mu + i g_{\phi B} \phi_\mu$ $M_B^* = M_B - g_{\sigma B}\sigma - g_{\sigma^* B}\sigma^* - \Sigma_{Kb}(1 - \cos\theta)$

Minimal Relativistic Mean-Field theory (RMF)

without introduction of the nonlinear self-interacting σ potential U(σ): $U(\sigma) = bM_N(g_{\sigma N}\sigma)^3/3 + c(g_{\sigma N}\sigma)^4/4$: omitted

3-Baryon interactions

[R. Tamagaki,
Prog. Theor. Phys. 119 (2008), 965.]

$$W(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, \mathbf{r}_{3}) = W_{0}g(\mathbf{r}_{1} - \mathbf{r}_{3})g(\mathbf{r}_{2} - \mathbf{r}_{3}) \qquad W_{0} \sim 2 \text{ GeV}$$

$$g(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}) = \exp(-\lambda(\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j})^{2}) \qquad \lambda = 1/\eta_{C}^{2} \qquad \eta_{C} = 0.5 \text{ fm for SJM2}$$
(range of repulsive core)
Effective 2-body potential short-range correlation function

$$U_{\text{SJM}}(1, 2; \rho_{\text{B}}) = \rho_{\text{B}} \int d^{3}\mathbf{r}_{3}W(\mathbf{r}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{2}; \mathbf{r}_{3}) \int_{\text{src}}^{2} (\mathbf{r}_{1} - \mathbf{r}_{3}) f_{\text{src}}^{2}(\mathbf{r}_{2} - \mathbf{r}_{3})$$

$$U_{\text{SJM2}}(r; \rho_{\text{B}}) = V_{r}\rho_{\text{B}}(1 + c_{r}\rho_{\text{B}}/\rho_{0}) \exp[-(r/\lambda_{r})^{2})]$$

$$V_{r} = 95 \text{ MeV} \cdot \text{fm}^{3} \quad c_{r} = 0.024 \qquad \lambda r = 0.86 \text{ fm}$$

$$\widetilde{U}_{\text{SJM}}(r; \rho_{\text{B}}) = f_{\text{SRC}}(r)U_{\text{SJM}}(r; \rho_{\text{B}})$$



1001

[B. Friedman and V. R. Pandharipande, Nucl. Phys. A361 (1981) 502;I. E. Lagaris and V. R. Pandharipande, Nucl. Phys. A 359 (1981) 349]

$$\mathcal{E}(\text{TNA})/\rho_{\text{B}} = \gamma_{2}\rho_{\text{B}}^{2} \exp[-\eta_{a}\rho_{\text{B}}] \left[3 - 2\left(\frac{\rho_{n} - \rho_{p}}{\rho_{\text{B}}}\right)^{2}\right]$$

Isospin-dependence $(\tau_{1} \cdot \tau_{2})^{2}$

TNA is related with isospin-dependence of the EOS around ρ_0

$$\begin{split} L &\equiv 3\rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \rho_B}\right)_{\rho_B = \rho_0, x = 1/2} = L^{(\text{kin})} + L^{(\rho)} + L^{(\text{TNA})} \\ L^{(\text{kin})} &= \frac{p_F^2}{6\widetilde{E}(p_F)} \left[2 - \left(\frac{p_F}{\widetilde{E}(p_F)}\right)^2 + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{(g_{\sigma N} M_N^*)^2 (p_F / \widetilde{E}(p_F))^3}{m_\sigma^2 + g_{\sigma N}^2 I(p_F)} \right] \\ L^{(\rho)} &= \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{g_{\rho N}}{m_\rho}\right)^2 \rho_0 \\ L^{(\text{TNA})} &= 6\gamma_2 \rho_0^2 (\eta_a \rho_0 - 2) e^{-\eta_a \rho_0} \quad (<0) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} L &\text{ is given} \\ \Rightarrow \text{ attraction of TNA} \\ \text{ is tuned.} \end{split}$$

2-3 Saturation properties in our model

Parameters in TNA

[Constraints]

•Meson-N Coupling constants are determined so as to satisfy the saturation properties of symmetric nuclear matter (SNM)

 $(\rho_0 = 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3})$ $(E_B = 16.3 \text{ MeV})$ (K = 240 MeV) $(S_0 = 31.5 \text{ MeV})$

					-				
	γ_a	η_a	$ ho_0$	$g_{\sigma N}$	$g_{\omega N}$	$g_{ ho N}$	$\langle \sigma angle_0$	$\langle \omega \rangle_0$	M_N^*/M_N
	$(MeV \cdot fm^6)$	(fm^3)	(fm^{-3})			(MeV)	(MeV)	(MeV)	
SJM2+TNA-L60	-1662.63	17.1755	0.16	5.27	8.16	3.29	39.06	16.37	0.78
SJM2+TNA-L65	-1597.67	18.25	0.16	5.71	9.07	3.35	42.16	18.18	0.74
SJM2+TNA-L70	-1585.48	19.82	0.16	6.07	9.77	3.41	44.62	19.59	0.71

Effective 2-body potential from the UTBF



3. Energy per particle in symmetric nuclear matter (SNM) ---- comparison with Lagaris-Pandharipande (1981) ----



Pressure-density curves in SNM



4. Results 4-1 Onset density of K⁻ condensation



4-3 Effects of *L* on the structure of N_{abla}

Gravitational Mass – radius R relations

with UTBF(SJM2) + TNA



Consistency with N_{\gtrsim} observations $M_{\rm max} = (2.1 - 2.3)M_{\odot}$

Mass *and* Radius of PSR J0030+0451 [from NICER] [T.E.Riley et al.Astrophys. J. 887, L21 (2019); M.C.Miller et al., *ibid* L24] Gravitational waves from neutron-star mergers

[B.P.Abbott et al., Phys. Rev. Lett.121, 161101 (2018).] $R_1, R_2 = (11.9 \pm 1.4)$ km [F.J.Fattoyev, J.Piekarewicz, and C.J.Horowitz, Phys. Rev. Lett.120, 172702 (2018).]

Density-dependence of baryon scalar density ρ_b^s (p, n, Λ, Ξ^-) and K- density ρ_K



Density distributions --- L = 65 MeV ----



5 Unified description of EOS with (Y+K) phase and neutron-star cooling

5-1 Rapid cooling mechanisms via neutrino emission

[T. Tatsumi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 80 (1988), 22.] e⁻ [H. Fujii, T. Muto, T. Tatsumi, R. Tamagaki, Nucl. Phys. A578 (1994), 758; Phys. Rev. C 50 (1994), 3140.] n Kaon-induced Urca process $n (p) + \langle K^- \rangle \rightarrow n (p) + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e$, ve $(p) + e^- \rightarrow n (p) + \langle K^- \rangle + \nu_e$ nn n (p) Weak Hamiltonian $H_W = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} J_h^{\mu\dagger} l_{\mu} + \text{h.c.}$ (p) Hadron current $J_{\rm h}^{\mu} = \cos \theta_c (V_{1+i2}^{\mu} - A_{1+i2}^{\mu}) + \sin \theta_c (V_{4+i5}^{\mu} - A_{4+i5}^{\mu})$ $\widetilde{J}^{\mu}_{\mathrm{h}} = \hat{U}^{-1}_{K} J^{\mu}_{\mathrm{h}} \hat{U}_{K}$ $= e^{-i\mu_{K}t} \bigg[\cos\theta_{c} \bigg\{ (V_{1+i2}^{\mu} - A_{1+i2}^{\mu}) \cos(\theta/2) + i(V_{6-i7}^{\mu} - A_{6-i7}^{\mu}) \sin(\theta/2) \bigg\}$ + $\sin\theta_c \left\{ (V_4^{\mu} - A_4^{\mu}) + i\cos\theta(V_5^{\mu} - A_5^{\mu}) - \frac{i}{2}\sin\theta \left(V_3^{\mu} - A_3^{\mu} + \sqrt{3}(V_8^{\mu} - A_8^{\mu}) \right) \right\} \right]$



Gravitational Mass – central density relations



6. Summary and concluding remarks

By the use of the Minimal RMF [omitting the nonlinear self-interacting scalar potential $U(\sigma)$] for B-B interactions and taking into account the UTBR and TNA for 3-body interactions,

we have considered effects of the TNA, by choosing the allowable values of the slope L = (60, 65, 70) MeV, on the whole EOS for the (Y+K) phase in high densities.

EOS and neutron star structure

•Kaon condensates do appear in the center of the core, for heavy N_{\Leftrightarrow} with $M = (1.7 \sim 2.1) M_{\odot}$, the detailed values depending on L and Σ_{Kn}

•For the canonical mass (~ $1.4M_{\odot}$) stars, the ground state in the core consists of only n, p, e-, and even hyperons ($\Lambda \dots$) do not appear.

•The *L* is correlated with the TNA, which is resposible to the EOS of SNM and quantities at ρ_0 ($g_{\sigma N}, g_{\omega N}, g_{\rho N}, \langle \sigma \rangle_0, \langle \omega \rangle_0$). Hence *L* also affects the EOS at high densities. Effects of the (Y+K) phase on cooling scenario of $N_{abstrack}$

For $M \lesssim 1.4 M_{\odot}$,

Main cooling processes are given by the modified Urca process.

For $M\gtrsim 1.4 M_{\odot}$,

Hyperon (Λ) Urca process starts and becomes a dominant cooling process. For further heavy neutron stars, the kaon-induced Urca becomes a main cooling process.

Future issues

•Systematic study by modifying the repulsive interaction volume, $V_{\rm r} \times (\lambda_{\rm r})^3$, and with allowable *L* from TNA, how it affects the stiffness of the EOS.

•Effects of the (Y+K) EOS on thermal evolution of neutron stars : rapid cooling mechanisms \rightarrow cooling curves and consistency with observation of surface temperatures.