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# Two Types of "Active" Target 

Beam inactive and active type

## CNS Active Target Project

- 2009
* Collaboration begins
* Budget at the beginning of FY2009 in CNS (6M yen) + a part of Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research ( -4 M yen)
* Construction of prototype was done in November
* Test experiment in December, 2009 (CAT) and January and February in 2010 (GEMMSTPC)
- 2010
* Two (a,p) exp. in 2009 (GEM-MSTPC)
* Test exp. w/ $250 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}{ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe} @ \mathrm{HIMAC}$ (CAT)
* 2OII...


## Collaboration

Only Experimentalists
CNS, Univ. of Tokyo
High Energy
(GEM, Electronics)

## SHARAQ

(Physics, Electronics, DAQ)

Astrophysics<br>(Physics, Construction)

## Collaborators

S. Ota, H. Tokieda (CAT), R. Akimoto (Master Thesis), M. Dozono, H. Matsubara, Y. Kikuchi, T. Hashimoto (GEM-MSTPC), S. Michimasa, T. Gunji, H. Yamaguchi,
S. Kawase, T. Tsuji,
H. Hamagaki, T. Uesaka, S. Kubono (CNS),
T. Kawabata (Kyoto), H. Otsu, T. Isobe (Riken),
Y. Maeda (Miyazaki) A. Ozawa, H. Suzuki, D. Nagae, T.

Morimoto, Y. Ito,
Y. Ishibashi, H. Oishi (Tsukuba)


## w/ low-energy, up to soo kHz beam <br> (CRIB)

GEM - MSTPC Multiple Sampling and Tracking Proportional Chamber with Gas Electron Multiplier



Advantages and merits

1. The gas in the chamber serves as an active target.
$->$ The solid angle is $4 \pi$ and detection efficiency is about $100 \%$.
2. The MSTPC can measure 3D trajectories and dE/dx along their trajectrories. -> It serves a sufficient target thickness without losing any information.

The identification of the reaction is clearly performed.


## CAT

w/ high-energy, up to MHz beam (SHARAQ, ZDS, RI-Ring ...)

## Missing Mass Spectroscopy for (medium-) heavy RI

* Structure of unstable nuclei
* Inelastic scattering, Gamow-Teller, Transfer...
* Giant resonances : incompressibility
* Isoscalar/Isovector Monopole
- via Traditional reactions in inverse kinematics * ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ ), (d, $\left.\mathrm{d}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{He}\right),(3 \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{t}),(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}),(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}),(3 \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{a}), \ldots$


## Spin(S)-Isospin(T) Selectivities

* Gamow-Teller - $\Delta \mathrm{L}=\mathbf{0}, \Delta \mathrm{T}=\mathbf{I}, \Delta \mathrm{S}=\mathbf{I}$
* Fermi
* $\Delta \mathrm{L}=\mathbf{=}, \Delta T=\mathbf{I}, \Delta S=\mathbf{o}$
* Isoscalar monopole * $\Delta \mathbf{L}=\mathbf{0}, \Delta T=0, \Delta S=0$


Incident beam energy: 100-300 MeV/u => RIBF

## Spin-Isospin Selectivities

|  | $\Delta \mathrm{S}=0$ | $\Delta \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta \mathrm{T}=0$ | $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}),(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d})$, <br> $\left(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right)$ | $\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right)$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}^{\prime}\right)$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{I}$ | $\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right)$ <br> $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n})$ <br> $\left(3 \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{t}^{2}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}),(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n}),(\mathrm{HHe,t})$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} \mathrm{He}\right)$ |

Gamow-Teller: (d, ${ }^{2} \mathbf{H e}$ ) Isoscalar monopole: ( $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ )

D2 and 4 He gas

## Momentum Transfer

* If the reaction occurs in the vicinity of nuclear surface,

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega} \sim\left|j_{l}(q R)\right|^{2}
$$




## Inverse kinematics

* ${ }_{4} \mathrm{He}\left({ }^{68} \mathrm{Ni},{ }^{68} \mathrm{Ni}\right) 4 \mathrm{He}$ @ $200 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$
* Recoil angle is large enough to measure
* Recoil energy is very small, less than I MeV for forward angle (<2 deg in c.m.) scattering


Recoil energy at forward scattering is very small

## Range in He gas

$\mathrm{\varrho}(4 \mathrm{He})=0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$<br>* O.I MeV : 6.9 mm atm<br>* $0.5 \mathrm{MeV}: 17.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ atm<br>* I.o MeV : 28.3 mm atm



To measure forward angle scattering, an "active" target is needed

## Effect on Electric Field by Intense Heavy Ion Beam

* Required beam intensity for 300 events / day
* Target : $3 \times 1 \mathrm{IO}^{20}$ particle/ $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ( $=100 \mathrm{~mm}$ atm $)$
* Cross section: 0.1 mb (assumed)
* then, $\mathbf{1 0 0 -} \mathbf{k H z}$ beam is needed
* => considerable space charge effect, delta ray, ...


## Concept of CAT


needs external monitor of beam-like particles but, space charge effect is small enough (by simulation).


## Geometrical Design

The region along beam path is masked.


## Electron Amplifier (GEM)

 CNS-typevender: scienergy



## Property of $\mathrm{He}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Gas

> Position = Charge ratio


Designed Resolutions
0.3 mm (RMS) -5 mrad (RMS)
Optimized: res. and \# of ch.


## Readout Pad

Backgammon shape is chosen to optimize the resolutions and the number of readout channels

## Electronics and DAQ software

- $3 \times 6 \times 2$ pads ( 144 ch ) readout (for now)
* preamp
* FADCs
* Trigger
* DAQ (babirl: Baba-san's talk)


Preamp. (RPA-2Io) REPIC

* RPA-210 (REPIC) (CXA3653Q chip)
* 24ch -r.opC - 1.0 opC
* $0.8 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{pC}$
* $\tau=8 \mathrm{ons}$
* GEM-Preamp: 8ocm flat cable


## Typical signal $\left(\mathrm{He}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(5 \%)\right)$



## FADCs

| FADC | resolution sampling rate | cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { zero } \\ \text { suppression } \end{gathered}$ | threshold | architecture | production | dead time | availability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COPPER II | i2bit ${ }_{5} \mathrm{MHz}$ (max) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I.3MJP } \\ & \text { Y/32ch } \end{aligned}$ | software | software | $\begin{aligned} & \text { icpu/ } \\ & 32 \mathrm{ch} \end{aligned}$ | KEK | readout | 144 ch* |
| SIS3301 | $\begin{gathered} \text { I4bit } \\ \text { 15 } \mathrm{MHz} \\ \text { (max) } \end{gathered}$ | IMJPY $18 \mathrm{ch}$ | hardware | each ch | VME | SIS | no | 40 ch |
| Vi740 | $\begin{gathered} \text { I2bit } \\ 65 \mathrm{MHz} \\ (\max ) \end{gathered}$ | IMJPY <br> 164ch | hardware | every 8ch | VME | CAEN | no | 64 ch |
| GET |  | cheap? | hardware? | each <br> ch? |  |  |  |  |

*most part is property of KEK

## Event and Sampling Trigger

* Event trigger
* delayed Beam AND NaI
(for high momentum recoils)
* GEM (itself not pad)

* Sampling trigger (in SIS3301, Vi740)
* self-trigger is generated under or below threshold
* clock synchronized => time-stamp track identification
* Gate for COPPER II is open when the previous event was finished


## Test Experiment in Tsukuba (Dec. 2009)

* Position and angular resolution
* Incident position
* Incident angle
* Gas gain
* Alpha particle at 30 MeV
* $100-\mathrm{IokHz}$
R. Akimoto Master Thesis


$\mathrm{He} 30 \mathrm{MeV},-\mathrm{IO}^{2} \mathrm{~Hz}(-200$
electrons $/ \mathrm{mm})$
Beam
$\mathrm{He}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(5 \%)_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{Iatm} .}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {drift }} 700[\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}]$
Vdrift : $2[\mathrm{~cm} / \mu \mathrm{s}]$
Diffusion : $250[\mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{cm}]$
V GEM: $_{3} 390-450 \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{gain}: 1 \mathrm{IO}^{2}-\mathrm{IO} 3\right)$


## Setup



## Typical Events

## Position resolutions

Perpendicular to drift direction
 less than 7ooum from charge division

Drift direction

less than roo um from time projection

## Effect of diffusion

## dependency on the drift length

Perpendicular to
drift direction


Drift direction

almost constant (within rooum) resolution => diffusion does not largely affect

## Dependence on Gas Gain



gas gain was varied by changing high voltage supply to GEM As expected, larger gain (up to io3), better resolution.

## Energy resolution



energy resolution - 10\% ( $\sigma$ ) for one row $3.3 \%$ ( $\sigma$ ) for total (6) rows

## Test Exp. in HIMAC (Dec. 2010)

* $56 \mathrm{Fe} 250 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$
* $\mathrm{D}_{2}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(5 \%)$ I atm
* double GEM
* test of whole the system include NaI , trigger, electronics
* Evaluate delta-ray effect w/ high- Z and high-intensity ( I MHz ) beam
* light ion tracking w/ D2 gas



## Outlook

* $\mathrm{D}_{2}\left(+\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ property w/ GEM, especially spark probability
* Optimize pad size/shape and upgrade electronics (VI740+optical readout?)
* Reaction measurement ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe},{ }^{56} \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}$ ' or 2 p$) \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{D} 2$ (HIMAC)
* Giant monopole and Gamow-Teller strength

