Improving Schrodinger Equation Implementations with Gray code for Adiabatic Quantum Computers

RIKEN iTHEMS UC Berkeley

Chia Cheng Chang



Problem and related works

Solve the Schrodinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(x,t) = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x,t)\right]\Psi(x,t)$$

Can use {qubits} per lattice site (sol. is diag. in comp. basis, but expensive) Phys. Rev. D 103, 016008 (2021)

Can use qubits to label positions on the lattice (exponentially less qubits) arXiv: 2101.05821 In 2101.05821, the authors present a circuit QC algorithm. In each trotter step, a QFT and inverse-QFT is performed. The Laplacian and potential are diagonal in the respective spaces.

In this context, we present a new algorithm suitable for adiabatic quantum computing.

Adiabatic QC and quantum annealing

- Solve eigenstate of final Hamiltonian by adiabatically evolving from initial H. Hamiltonian are expressed as k-local (A-body) spin operators $H = \sigma^Z$
- Quantum annealing restricts Hamiltonians to 2-local transverse Ising Model

$$H_0 = \sum_i \sigma_i^X \qquad H_1 = \sum_i h_i \sigma_i^Z + \sum_{i>j} J_{ij} \sigma_i^Z \sigma_j^Z$$

- Initial ground state is the maximal superposition state
- Quantum annealing sets are our algorithm design considerations

 $H(t) = A(t)H_0 + B(t)H_1$

$$\otimes \sigma^Z = \sigma_0^Z \sigma_1^Z$$

 $\Psi(0) = (|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle)^{\otimes A}$

Schrodinger equation w/ real potentials $\left[\nabla^2 + V(x,t)\right]\Psi(x,t)$

Let us consider first time-independent real potentials.

Discretize the equation (e.g. with a periodic square well for now)

$\int 0$	-1	0	0	0	0	0	_]
-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0
0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0
0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0
0	0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0
0	0	0	0	-1	0	-1	0
0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	_]
-1	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0



Schrodinger equation w/ real potentials $\left[\nabla^2 + V(x,t)\right]\Psi(x,t)$

- Let us consider first time-independent real potentials.
- Discretize the equation (e.g. with a periodic square well for now)

0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	-1
-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0
0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0
0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0
0	0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0
0	0	0	0	-1	0	-1	0
0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	-1
(-1)	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0

This is a 3-qubit example (2³ lattice sites in 1D)



Schrodinger equation w/ real potentials $\left|\nabla^2 + V(x,t)\right| \Psi(x,t)$

Let us consider first time-independent real potentials.

Discretize the equation (e.g. with a periodic square well for now)

$\langle 0 \rangle$	_1	0	0	0	0	0	_1
-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	
0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0
0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0
0	0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0
0	0	0	0	-1	0	-1	0
0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	-1
$\left(-1\right)$	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0

This is a 3-qubit example (2³ lattice sites in 1D) Periodic boundary conditions



Schrodinger equation w/ real potentials $\left[\nabla^2 + V(x,t)\right]\Psi(x,t)$

- Let us consider first time-independent real potentials.
- Discretize the equation (e.g. with a periodic square well for now)



This is a 3-qubit example (2³ lattice sites in 1D) Periodic boundary conditions

Drop -2 global identity (constant energy shift)

 $\nabla^2 f(x) = f(x+1) + f(x-1) - 2f(x)$



Schrodinger equation w/ real potentials $\left[\nabla^2 + V(x,t)\right] \Psi(x,t)$

Let us consider first time-independent real potentials.

Discretize the equation (e.g. with a periodic square well for now)

$\int 0$	-1	0	0	0	0	0	—1
-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0
0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	0
0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0
0	0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0
0	0	0	0	-1	0	-1	0
0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	—1
$\sqrt{-1}$	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0

This is a 3-qubit example (2³ lattice sites in 1D) Periodic boundary conditions Real potentials can be encoded entirely on the diagonal



Solution in general is a superposition in the computational basis

$$\Psi(1) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.6 |\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle & -0.4 |\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \\ -0.4 |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle & +0.0 |\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\ + 0.0 |\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle & +0.0 |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \\ + 0.0 |\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle & +0.0 |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution in general is a superposition in the computational basis

Qubits in the computational basis map to position in binary



Solution in general is a superposition in the computational basis

Qubits in the computational basis map to position in binary

Amplitude maps to value of the wave function at the given position



Solution in general is a superposition in the computational basis

Qubits in the computational basis map to position in binary

Amplitude maps to value of the wave function at the given position

Eigenvalue is the energy of the state

$\Psi(1) = -0.6 \left| \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \right\rangle - 0.4 \left| \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \right\rangle$ $-0.4 \left|\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\right\rangle - 0.6 \left|\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\right\rangle$ $+0.0|\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle+0.0|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ $+0.0|\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle+0.0|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$

0.4

0.6

8.0

1.0

0.2





0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

0.0

Mapping the Laplacian to spin models

We want an efficient mapping to qubits

Space complexity improvements

- Exponential number of lattice sites
- Polynomial number of terms in the Hamiltonian
- Fixed Pauli weight
- Small Pauli support

Time complexity improvements

Polynomial time to recover ground-state wavefunction

Achieved by efficient mapping to spin models

Achieved by knowing the "general enough" spectral gap of H(s)





I will talk more in detail about mapping of the kinetic energy operator

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\Psi(x) \to \frac{1}{a^2} \left[\Psi(x+a) + \Psi(x+a)\right]$$

 $(-a) - 2\Psi(x)]$

I will talk more in detail about mapping of the kinetic energy operator

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\Psi(x) \to \frac{1}{a^2} \left[\Psi(x+a) + \Psi(x-b)\right] + \Psi(x-b) = 0$$

A natural choice of a 1D lattice (with 8 sites) is labelled as



- $(-a) 2\Psi(x)$



can drop identity w/o loss of generality



- For this 3 qubit example
 - $0 \rightarrow 000$
 - $1 \rightarrow 001$
 - $2 \rightarrow 010$
 - $3 \rightarrow 011$
 - $4 \rightarrow 100$
 - $5 \rightarrow 101$
 - $6 \rightarrow 110$
 - $7 \rightarrow 111$

3 5 2 6 U 4 0 1 \mathbf{O} 0 $\left(\right)$ $\left(\right)$ $\left(\right)$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\left(\right)$ $\left(\right)$ $\left(\right)$ $\left(\right)$ $\left(\right)$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\left(\right)$ \mathbf{O} $\left(\right)$ 0 $\left(\right)$ \mathbf{O} $\mathbf{0}$ \mathbf{O} $\mathbf{0}$ 0 0 1 ()() \mathbf{O} $\left(\right)$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\left(\right)$

The mapping of qubits to lattice site is complicated (but still has a pattern) For this 3 qubit example



- 1 bit difference
- 2 bit difference
- 1 bit difference
- 3 bit difference
- 1 bit difference
- 2 bit difference
- 1 bit difference

Interactions between spins to accomplish this is complicated (but still generalizable to A spins)

Binary encoding of the Laplacian $L^{A} = L^{A-1} - C^{A-1} + \sigma^{x} C^{A-1}$ $=L^{A-1} + (\sigma_{A-1}^x - 1) \prod_{i=0}^{A-1} \sigma_i^x (P_i^0 + P_i^1) \qquad P^0 = \frac{1 + \sigma^z}{2} \quad P^1 = \frac{1 - \sigma^z}{2}$

 $\mathbf{0}$





Space complexity improvements

- Exponential number of lattice sites Yes!
- Polynomial number of terms in the Hamiltonian Yes!
- Fixed Pauli weight Grows as order A
- Small Pauli support Requires all 3 Pauli matrices and their products

We have "exponential space" improvement in a very restricted sense

- Realistically this is not going to be realized any time soon (50 years? Never?)

Binary reflected Gray code definition

Binary

- $0 \rightarrow 000$
- $1 \rightarrow 001$
- $2 \rightarrow 010$
- $3 \rightarrow 011$
- $4 \rightarrow 100$
- $5 \rightarrow 101$
- $6 \rightarrow 110$
- $7 \rightarrow 111$

BRGC

- $0 \rightarrow 000$
- $1 \rightarrow 001$
- $2 \rightarrow 011$
- $3 \rightarrow 010$
- $4 \rightarrow 110$
- $5 \rightarrow 111$
- $6 \rightarrow 101$
- $7 \rightarrow 100$

BRGC is an alternative mapping of a **bit-string** to an integer

The binary bit-string is also the base-2 integer

But any 1:1 mapping is a valid representation

Binary

- $0 \rightarrow 000$
- $1 \rightarrow 001$
- $2 \rightarrow 010$
- $3 \rightarrow 011$
- $4 \rightarrow 100$
- $5 \rightarrow 101$
- $6 \rightarrow 110$
- $7 \rightarrow 111$

- BRGC
- $0 \rightarrow 000$
- $1 \rightarrow 001$
- $2 \rightarrow 011$
- $3 \rightarrow 010$
- $4 \rightarrow 110$
- $5 \rightarrow 111$
- $6 \rightarrow 101$
- $7 \rightarrow 100$

Karnaugh Map for BRGC

Visual way to see a valid Gray code





BRGC

- $0 \rightarrow 000$
- $1 \rightarrow 001$
- $2 \rightarrow 011$
- $3 \rightarrow 010$
- $4 \rightarrow 110$
- $5 \rightarrow 111$
- $6 \rightarrow 101$
- $7 \rightarrow 100$

One way to visualize the simplification

- 1. Label site with bit-string 2. Convert to Int in BRGC
- 3. Reorder in increasing Int values



Maps transverse Hamiltonian to Laplacian in the case of A=2 (previously we needed $\sigma^{x}\sigma^{x}$ as well)

 $L^2 = \sigma_0^x + \sigma_1^x$ $L^{A} = L^{A-1} + (\sigma_{A-1}^{x} - \sigma_{A-2}^{x}) \prod^{A-3} P_{i}^{0}$





Space complexity improvements

- Exponential number of lattice sites Yes!
- Polynomial number of terms in the Hamiltonian Yes!
- Fixed Pauli weight Yes! (XZ^n is polynomially reducible to XZ and ZZ)
- Small Pauli support Yes! (Only need X, XZ, and ZZ)

We have exponential space improvement

This can possible be realized much sooner

How do we further reduce the complexity of the spin model?

Instead of X, XZ and ZZ, we want just X, Z and ZZ

In other words...

Can we map the Schrodinger equation to Transverse Ising model in polynomial number of qubits?



Why does BRGC need an XZ coupling?



Why not use a Gray code where non-adjacent codes are ≥ 2 bit different

Adjacent lattice sites are 1 bit different (Hamming distance = 1)

There are non-adjacent lattice sites that are also 1 bit different

Pauli X will yield derivatives to both XZ eliminates unwanted derivatives

4 qubits H2GC Karnaugh map



Only works with 2N qubits

If bit-strings are nulled

we can snake a path through wheren non-adjacent site have a Hamming distance of 2

Only Pauli X + Z and ZZ are needed

H2GC

6 qubit construction

The basic path is copied to a layer that is separated by a drill-through layer

Has $2^{A/2+1}$ valid sites Exponential in number of qubits

The penalty terms are always at most a 3-body interaction





 a_{5}





Space complexity improvements

- Exponential number of lattice sites Yes!
- Polynomial number of terms in the Hamiltonian Yes!
- Fixed Pauli weight Yes!
- Small Pauli support Yes! (Only need X, Z, and ZZ)

We have exponential space improvement

Can (almost) be implemented today!

D-dimension and A-body extension

D-dimensions is straightforward

Reflected Gray code Reflected Gray code 2D A=1 or 1D A=2 1D A=1

A-particles is the same for Boltzmann statistics (molecule simulations for now)

Nested Gray code

Qubit scaling is DA log(sites/dim)

Mapping real potentials to Ising Model

Next I will focus on mapping arbitrary real potentials to k-local Ising Model



Walsh series

The Walsh functions can be organized with respect to sequency.

Has even/odd modes.

Free of Gibbs phenomena.



Adiabatic evolution

Start from free field and adiabatically turn on potential. (basically textbook adiabatic theorem of quantum mechanics)

The ground state of the Laplacian is identical to transverse field Hamiltonian. (this is the zero-frequency state)

 $\Psi(0) =$

$H(t) = H_L + B(t)H_V$

$$(|\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle)^{\otimes A}$$

Adiabatic evolution

Integrate the time-dependent schrodinger equation to simulate the schrodinger equation... at least until there is a suitable AQC.

We have parallelized GPU code to simulate up to 20+ qubits.

Gap of the spectrum for initial state preparation will typically be simple.

Probability converges to 1 as expected.



Deuteron binding energy



Discretize potential

Obtain binding energy with adiabatic evolution

Some final thoughts

We have mapped the Schrodinger equation to spin models

Given that

1) The potential can (at some point) be coarse grained

2) There isn't any fine-tuning in the potential such that the first excited-state is abnormally gapped from the ground-state

Then the Schrodinger equation is polynomially mapping in both space and time complexity to the XZ and Transverse Ising model

Collaborators

This work is supported by the DOE office of NP Quantum Horizons grant

Kenneth S. McElvain Ermal Rrapaj Yantao Wu University of California Berkeley **RIKEN iTHEMS**



Rademacher functions

The Rademacher functions are the basic building blocks

$$R_n = \operatorname{sign} \sin \left(2^{n+1} \pi t \right)$$

Discrete Rademacher is exactly the diagonal elements of the 1-local Ising Model

$$R_n = \sigma_n^Z$$

There is a class of orthogonal functions which are analogous to sin and cos



Rademacher functions

The Rademacher functions are the basic building blocks

$$R_n = \operatorname{sign} \sin \left(2^{n+1} \pi t \right)$$

Discrete Rademacher is exactly the diagonal elements of the 1-local Ising Model

$$R_n = \sigma_n^Z$$

A qubit system has A Rademachers

- There is a class of orthogonal functions which are analogous to sin and cos



Walsh functions

A qubits has 2^A dimensional Hilbert-space. Need more basis states. The complete orthonormal functions are the 2^A Walsh functions.

 $1 \to 001$ $\therefore W_1 = R_1 = \sigma_0^Z$

$$2 \to 010$$
 : $W_2 = R_2 = \sigma_1^Z$

 $3 \to 011$: $W_3 = R_2 R_1 = \sigma_1^Z \sigma_0^Z$

And is a bijective map to the complete set of k-local Ising-like Hamiltonians

- Walsh functions are related to Rademacher functions by binary representation

Decimation coarse-graining

Fast Walsh Transform to get coeff. with N log(N) complexity

However, for A qubits, $N = 2^{A}$

Coarse-grain potential before FWT.

Decimation coarse-graining as a cheap alternative.

Setup complexity is now negligible given "well-behaved" potentials.



Potential construction summary

If we can coarse grain the potential

Then the (classical) time complexity of setting up the problem can be exponentially reduced

This is of course application dependent



Harmonic Oscillator with H2GC



Time dependence of coefficients

Wavefunction at different points in time

H2GC penalty term challenges

Gap is typically protected by the IR cutoff

The slope of the spectrum requires us to slow down

