ZDC simulation

Checking details of the EM part of the EIC ZDC.

• Added 2.5 mm PET after each crystal tower.

cf. CMS ECAL

- 2 APDs in a capsule per tower.
- Hamamatsu S8148: sensitive area 5mm x 5mm, 6 μ m thick

cf. Hamamatsu S8664-55

window: Epoxy resin package: Ceramic Effective area: 5 mm x 5mm

Should I add more details?

- Checked difference from the ALICE FoCal simulation
 - The current EIC ZDC simulation is based on the stand alone mini-FoCal simulation from Norbert.



7cm crystal 22 layers of W/Si





Comparison of the simulation setup

	stand alone		ALICE FoCal	
Tungsten	W alloy	3.5 mm	Pure W	3.5 mm
Glue 1	PET (C10H8O4)	0.11 mm	G10 (H,C,O,Si)	0.5 mm
Sensor	Si	(pad) 0.32mm (pix) 0.3mm	Si	(pad) 0.5 mm (pix) 0.47 mm + 0.03 mm*
Glue 2	PET (C10H8O4)	(pad) 0.13mm (pix) 0.11mm	G10 (H,C,O,Si)	0.5 mm
Readout	PET (C10H8O4)	0.28mm	Cu	0.1 mm
Air Gap	Air	(pad) 1.2 mm (pix) 1.mm	Air	(pad) 5 mm (pix) 0.5 mm

* 0.47 mm as insensitive, 0.03 mm as sensitive

 \rightarrow Probably the reason of the drops of deposited energy on pixel layers.

FoCal Energy per layer, averaged per event



Measurements of APDs

- APDs are our first candidate for the readout of ZDC crystal calorimeters (and scintillators in Pb/Sci cal.)
- 4 APDs are irradiated in our first RANS irradiation test in March.
 - Feb: Yamazaki-san measured IV curve at Kobe U. before the test.
 - Mar: Irradiation test.
 - May: Residual radiation is still high (130 cpm on 19/May)
 - \rightarrow Yamazaki-san and I went to RANS for the IV measurement.
- ◆ 1 APD is not irradiated but measured.
 - May: We checked the APD response and measured IV curve in Lab.

* It turned out that the preamplifier I used in the lab is broken.



Irradiation test in March

• APDs were on Layer 5 and 6.





Radiation Dose



- <u>2 days of irradiation</u> = run1 x 33.6
 - Layer 5: 9.3 x 10¹² n/cm² Layer 6: 5.9 x 10¹² n/cm²

IV curve

Kobe: measured on 23/Feb/2022 RANS/Lab: measured on 23/May/2022



Conclusion from the test

• From ECCE Far Forward / Far Backward technical note:

work by Vitaly

Estimated radiation from ep collisions



Detector.	Z	F_{p+rg}	F_{e+p}	Ftot	
	cm	neut./cm ² s	neut./cm ² s	neut./cm ² OY	
ZDC Si 1	3880	1.2E+3	8.0E+4	8.1E+11	
ZDC Si 2	3890	5.4E+3	3.0E+5	3.1E+12	-
ZDC Si 3	3910	6.6E+3	4.0E+5	4.1E+12	
ZDC Si 4	3930	4.6E+3	8.0E+5	8.0E+12	
ZDC Si 5	3960	3.6E+3	4.0E+5	4.0E+12	
Si in B0	650	1.2E+3	1.5E+5	1.6E+11	

 \leftarrow APD positions

- → Operation of 1/3 of a year \rightarrow 3.1 x 10¹² n/cm² for ZDC area 2
- From RANS test:
 - APDs are broken after irradiation of ~ $6 \times 10^{12} \text{ n/cm}^2$

APD seems not to be a safe choice for the crystal readout.

- Other possibilities? AC-LGAD as photon detector?
- Should we go without crystal calorimeters as suggested in the FF meeting??