

Finite temperature QCD phase transition with (2+1)- and 3-flavor Möbius domain wall fermions

Yu Zhang (RIKEN R-CCS)

Based on work with JLQCD collaboration: S.Aoki, Y.Aoki, H.Fukaya, J.Goswami, S.Hashimoto, I.Kanamori, T.Kaneko, Y.Nakamura

Challenges and opportunities in Lattice QCD simulations and related fields Feb. 16, 2023, RIKEN R-CCS

The nature of QCD phase transition at $\mu_B = 0$

Columbia plot



The nature of chiral phase transition depends on whether the $U(1)_A$ symmetry is effectively restored or not at T_c

*
$$m_q = 0$$
:

• $U(1)_A$ symmetry broken at $T_c \rightarrow 2$ nd order O(4)

• $U(1)_A$ symmetry effectively restored at T_c

 \rightarrow not O(4), $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R / U(2)_V$?

 \rightarrow a possible 1st order [Pisarski, Wilczek PRD 84]

 $N_{f}=1$ Recent study with non-chiral fermion (staggered fermions) gives evidence of O(4) scaling in the

chiral limit of Nf=2+1 QCD [H.-T.Ding et al., PRL 21] $T_c \sim 132$ MeV [HotQCD, PRL 19]

* m_q physical: crossover [Y.Aoki et al., Nature 06] $T_{pc} \sim 156$ MeV [HotQCD, PLB 19] * $N_f = 2 + 1$ & very light m_l : nature of transition?

The nature of QCD phase transition at $\mu_B = 0$

Columbia plot



- This work:
 - Order of phase transition near physical point using $N_f = 2 + 1$ Mobius domain wall fermion
 - The transition temperature
 - Why DWF
 - Preserve chiral symmetry and $U(1)_A$ symmetry at finite *a* when $L_s \to \infty$
 - Reduced χ_{SB} parameterized by residual mass when L_s is finite

The nature of QCD phase transition at $\mu_B = 0$

Order of phase transition depends on m_l, m_s & N_f



Columbia plot

- expansion: 1st order phase transition in the chiral limit for N_f = 3 [Pisarski,Wilczek PRD 84]
- RG flows of all couplings up to φ⁶ in 3d Ginzburg-Landau potential for N_f = 3 in the chiral limit: a possible 2nd order phase transition
 [G. Fejos, PRD 22]

[G. rejos, PKD Z.

This work:

Explore N_f = 3 chiral region using first-principle lattice QCD

Previous Nf=3 lattice QCD studies

Action	N_t	$m_{\pi}^{Z_2}$ [Me	eV] Ref.
Wilson	4	$\lesssim 670$	Iwasaki et al. (1996)
Staggered	4	290	Karsch et al. (2001)
Staggered	6	150	de Forcrand et al. (2007)
HISQ	6	$\lesssim 50$	Bazavov et al. (2017)
Wilson-Clover	6-10	$\lesssim 170$	Jin et al. (2017)
Wilson-Clover	6-12	$\lesssim 110$	Kuramashi et al. (2020)
HISQ	8	$\lesssim 80$	Dini et al. (2022)

 1^{st} order region shrinks for both fermions as reduce a

 $m_{\pi}^{Z_2}$ has strong cutoff and discretization scheme dependence Evidence for continuum chiral limit to feature 2nd order phase transition with staggered fermion [Cuteri et al.(2021)]

Our aim is to investigate N_f = 3 QCD phase structure with Mobius Domain Wall Fermion

Why MDWF

- Exact chiral symmetry at finite *a* for infinite Ls
- Reduced χ_{SB} parameterized by residual mass when Ls is finite

Lattice Setup for $N_f = 3$

- In Section Section
- Symanzik gauge action at $\beta = 4.0$ (a=0.1361(20) fm)
- Subscription Section V_0 Using Wilson flow t₀ to set the scale and matching with N_f=2+1 physical point $\sqrt{t_0}^{phys} = 0.1465(21)(13)$ fm [S.Borsanyi et al., JHEP 2012]

☆T > 0:

 ✓ N_t=8 (T=181.1(2.6) MeV): N_s=16, 0 ≤ m_l ≤ 0.2 N_s=24, 0 ≤ m_l ≤ 0.14
 ✓ N_t=12 (T=120.8(1.8) MeV): N_s=24, -0.006 ≤ m_l ≤ 0.1 N_s=36, -0.004 ≤ m_l ≤ 0.001

 \mathbf{x} T = 0:

N_s=24, N_t=48, $0.02 \le m_l \le 0.045$

- Measured: residual mass, chiral condensate, disconnected chiral susceptibility & Binder cumulant
- Codes: Grid & Hardons
- Resources: Supercomputer Fugaku & Wisteria/BDEC-01 Oddysey

Residual chiral symmetry breaking

- For finite Ls chiral symmetry is broken, leading to an additive renormalization of the quark mass $m_l \rightarrow m_l + m_{\rm res}$
- $m_{\rm res} \rightarrow 0$ as $L_s \rightarrow \infty$, cost is high when increase Ls, practical simulation: Ls=16
- Measure the ratio of midpoint correlator to the pion correlator evaluated at large distance



Residual chiral symmetry breaking on chiral condensate

• From low energy effective QCD \mathscr{L} , the effect of mixing between chiral walls for long-distance quantities will result in $m_l \rightarrow m_l + m_{\rm res}$. e.g. $m_{\pi}^2 \propto m_l + m_{\rm res}$

• For quantities whose sensitivity to χ_{SB} effects extends up to the cutoff scale, the above argument doesn't go through. e.g. $\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle$

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle |_{DWF} \sim \frac{m_l + xm_{res}}{a^2} + \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle |_{\text{cont.}} + \dots$$

x is not known , expected $x = \mathcal{O}(1)$



[S. Sharpe, arXiv: 0706.0218]

Additive divergence remains if one extrapolates to $m_q = m_l + m_{res} = 0$ $\Rightarrow \lim_{m_q \to 0} \lim_{L \to 0} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle |_{DWF} \sim \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle |_{\text{cont.}} + (x-1) \frac{m_{res}}{a^2} \dots$

Chiral condensate at T= 181.1(2.6) MeV



Disconnected chiral susceptibility at 181.1(2.6) MeV

$$\chi_{\text{disc}} = \frac{1}{N_s^3 N_t} \left(\left\langle \left(\text{Tr} \, M^{-1} \right)^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle \text{Tr} \, M^{-1} \right\rangle^2 \right)$$

Describes fluctuations of the chiral condensate & Peak at transition point

Renormalized to $\overline{\text{MS}}(\mu = 2 \text{ GeV})$ with $(Z_m^{\overline{\text{MS}}})^{-2}$ to remove the multiplicative divergence: $\chi_{\text{disc}}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\mu = 2 \text{ GeV})[\text{GeV}^2] = \left(\frac{1}{Z_m^{\overline{\text{MS}}}}\right)^2 \chi_{\text{disc}}^{\text{bare}} \left(a^{-2}[\text{GeV}^2]\right)$



Pseudo critical mass is around 44 MeV

Binder cumulant of chiral condensate at 181.1(2.6) MeV



Chiral condensate at T~120.8(1.8) MeV



In the chiral limit, the negative result is due to the residual additive divergence Additive & multiplicative divergence
 has been removed

Disconnected chiral susceptibility at 120.8(1.8) MeV



Work in progress, need more statistics

Transition point is around 3.7 MeV

Binder cumulant of chiral condensate at 120.8(1.8) MeV



Suggests a crossover phase transition

Summary and outlook

Summary:

- \checkmark For $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$, the explicit χ_{SB} effect due to finite Ls is more complicated than a simple additive shift of the input quark mass by $m_{\rm res}$
- ✓ It is a crossover at T~181.1(2.6) MeV, pseudo critical quark mass is around 44 MeV
- In Data suggest a crossover at T~120.8(1.8) MeV and pseudo critical quark mass is around 3.7 MeV

Outlook:

- □ Add more statistics for $36^3 \times 12$ and $24^3 \times 12$ lattices
- Perform simulation for 104 MeV which corresponds to Nt=14
- Investigate the Ls dependence to check whether our chiral symmetry is ok

Lattice Setup for $N_f = 2 + 1$

16

- N_f=2+1 Mobius Domain Wall Fermion with L_s=12
- Symanzik gauge action

0.01

0.08 < a < 0.14 fm, $121 \text{ MeV} \le T \le 205 \text{ MeV}$

 $m_s = m_s^{phys}, m_l = 0.1 m_s, 24^3 \times 12, 4.0 < \beta < 4.17 (a1)$

correct m_l by m_{res} for coarse lattice ($N_t = 12$)

- $m_s + m_{res} = m_s^{phys}, m_l + m_{res} = 0.1(m_s + m_{res}), 24^3 \times 12, ...(d1)$
 - $....36^3 \times 12....(d2)$ $\dots, 48^3 \times 12, \dots, (d3)$ $m_s = m_s^{phys}$, $m_l = 0.1m_s$, $32^3 \times 16$, $4.1 < \beta < 4.30$ (c1) \longrightarrow use mass reweighing

 m_x 0.009 $N_f = 2 + 1, L_s = 12$ $m_{\rm res}: a1, m_l = 0.1 m_s \mapsto$ 0.008 $m_{\rm res}: c1, m_l = 0.1 m_s \mapsto$ 0.007 $m_l: 0.1m_s$ $m_{\text{res}} : \text{zero } \mathbf{T} \vdash \mathbf{\nabla} \mathbf{H}$ $= (2.728e + 31)e^{-19.272\beta}, \quad \chi^2/dof = 18.2 \quad \mathbf{\nabla} \mathbf{H}$ $\mathbf{\psi} = (2.547e + 28)e^{-17.559\beta}, \quad \chi^2/dof = 1.0 \quad \mathbf{\nabla} \mathbf{H}$ 0.006 0.0050.004 0.003 0.002 0.001 0 4.054.1 4.154.24.254.34

β

- m_{res} determined at $\beta = 4.1, 4.17$ at finite and zero T are consistent
- m_{res} increases exponentially with decreasing β , $m_{res} > m_l$ at $\beta = 4.0$

The temperature dependence of chiral condensate

 $\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle(T)$ signals chiral symmetry breaking and restoration



 $\langle\bar\psi\psi\rangle$ needs multiplicative & additive renormalization for $m\neq 0$

Multiplicative renormalization: $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(2\text{GeV}) = \frac{a^{-3}(a^3 \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle)}{Z_m^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(2\text{GeV})}$

- Subtract the leading additive divergence ($\sim m_l/a^2$): $[\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_l m_l/m_s \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_s]$
- Neglect the residual contribution to $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{17} = \frac{xm_{\rm res}}{a^2}$, x < 0.03 [See Y. Aoki's poster for details]

The temperature dependence of disconnected susceptibility

Describes fluctuations of the chiral condensate & Peak at transition point

$$\chi_{\rm disc} = N_s^3 N_t \left(\left\langle (\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \right\rangle^2 \right)$$

Renormalized to $\overline{\text{MS}}(\mu = 2 \text{ GeV})$ with $(Z_{\text{m}}^{\overline{\text{MS}}})^{-2} : \chi_{\text{disc}}^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\mu = 2 \text{ GeV})[\text{GeV}^2] = \left(\frac{1}{Z_m^{\overline{\text{MS}}}}\right)^2 \chi_{\text{disc}}^{\text{bare}} \left(a^{-2}[\text{GeV}^2]\right)$

$$\chi_{\text{disc}}^{\text{reweighting}} = N_s^3 N_t \left(\frac{\left\langle (\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 w \right\rangle}{\langle w \rangle} - \frac{\left\langle (\psi\bar{\psi})w \right\rangle^2}{\langle w \rangle^2} \right)$$



- Small finite *a* effect: d1 & c1
- Small finite V effect at $T\gtrsim T_{pc}$ and large finite V effects at $T < T_{pc}$
- It's a crossover phase transition since no increase in the peak height of $\chi_{\rm disc}$ with increasing V is observed
- Peak position shifts to larger T as V is increased
- The transition T is around 160-175 MeV
- Work in progress, need more statistics for d3

Summary and outlook

Summary:

- T_{pc} is around 160-175 MeV for $m_l + m_{res} = 0.1 m_s^{phys}$
- Mass reweighing works for the fine lattice

Outlook:

- Need more statistics for $48^3 \times 12$ lattices with $m_l + m_{res} = 0.1 m_s^{phys}$
- Simulation for the physical quark mass
- \square m_{res} effect in the chiral condensate need to be better understand

Acknowledgements

- Codes
 - HMC
 - Grid (Regensburg branch)
 - Measurements
 - Bridge++
 - Hadrons / Grid
- Computers

• Supercomputer Fugaku provided by the RIKEN Center for Computational Science through HPCI project #hp210032 and Usability Research ra000001.

• Wisteria/BDEC-01 Oddysey at Univ. Tokyo/JCAHPC through HPCI project #hp220108 Ito supercomputer at Kyushu University through HPCI project #hp190124 and hp200050

• Hokusai BigWaterfall at RIKEN

- Grants
 - JSPS Kakenhi (20H01907)

Backup



Disconnected chiral susceptibility at 120.8(1.8) MeV



Transition point is around 3.7 MeV

Light Quark mass reweighing

We are usually not able to identify in advance the input bare quark mass that will correspond to a target renormalized mass, Quark mass reweighting allows us to fine tune the dynamic light quark mass to the nearby sea quark mass after an ensemble has been generated

The expectation value of O on ensembles with light sea quark mass m_2 can be calculated from an ensemble generated with sea quark mass m_1 as:

$$\langle O \rangle_{2} = \frac{1}{Z_{2}} \int DU O e^{-S_{g}} \frac{\det\{D^{\dagger}(m_{2})D(m_{2})\}}{\det\{D^{\dagger}(1.0)D(1.0)\}} \frac{\sqrt{\det\{D^{\dagger}(m_{s})D(m_{s})\}}}{\sqrt{\det\{D^{\dagger}(1.0)D(1.0)\}}}.$$
(1)

$$\langle O \rangle_{2}$$
(2)

$$= \frac{Z_{1}}{Z_{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{Z_{1}} \int DU \left[O \frac{\det\{D^{\dagger}(m_{2})D(m_{2})\}}{\det\{D^{\dagger}(m_{1})D(m_{1})\}} \right] e^{-S_{g}} \frac{\det\{D^{\dagger}(m_{1})D(m_{1})\}}{\det\{D^{\dagger}(1.0)D(1.0)\}} \frac{\sqrt{\det\{D^{\dagger}(m_{s})D(m_{s})\}}}{\sqrt{\det\{D^{\dagger}(1.0)D(1.0)\}}}$$
(3)

$$= \frac{\langle O w(m_{1}, m_{2}) \rangle_{1}}{\langle w(m_{1}, m_{2}) \rangle_{1}}.$$
(3)

$$w(U; m_1, m_2) = \frac{\det\{D^{\dagger}(U, m_2)D(U, m_2)\}}{\det\{D^{\dagger}(U, m_1)D(U, m_1)\}}$$
(4)

- Performe a similar process to write the ratio Z_1/Z_2 as $\langle w(m_1, m_2) \rangle_1$
- Reweighting a conf. from m_1 to m_2 requires the evaluation of $w(U; m_1, m_2)$ for each conf.