# Effect of in-medium crosssection on particle production in low energy nuclear collisions

Chi-Kin Tam, Western Michigan University On behalf of HiRA collaboration

#### Content

#### • Experiment

- <sup>40,48</sup>Ca + <sup>58,64</sup>Ni @ 56, 140 MeV/A
- Transverse Momenta spectra
- $R_{21}(N,Z)$  and Iso-scaling
- Transport model
  - AMD with secondary decay
  - Effect of event selection
- Filtered AMD model : constraints on  $\sigma_{NN}$ 
  - Comparison with data
  - Iso-scaling



Kuan Z., Measuring neutrons in heavy ion collision, Ph.D. thesis, MSU (2020).

#### Experimental spectra



- Selected central events
- PID of p, d, t, <sup>3</sup>He, <sup>4</sup>He
- Efficiencies corrected



#### Isoscaling Ratio $R_{21}$

 $R_{21}(N,Z) = \frac{Y_2(N,Z)}{Y_1(N,Z)}$ 

Reaction 2 : <sup>48</sup>Ca + <sup>64</sup>Ni @ 140 MeV / A Reaction 1 : <sup>40</sup>Ca + <sup>58</sup>Ni @ 140 MeV / A



- $R_{21}(p), R_{21}(^{3}He) < 1$  implies more protons are produced in the proton-rich reaction
- $R_{21}(t) > 1$  implies more tritons produced in n-rich reaction

# Isoscaling Ratio $R_{21}$

$$R_{21}(N,Z) = \frac{Y_2(N,Z)}{Y_1(N,Z)} = C \exp(\alpha N + \beta Z)$$



M.B. Tsang. at al PHYSICAL REVIEW C, VOLUME 64, 054615

- Grand-canonical ensemble
- Chemical potential  $\alpha = \Delta \mu_n / T$ ,  $\beta = \frac{\Delta \mu_p}{T}$
- Chemical Temperature similar in both reactions



limits comes from triton acceptance

## Isoscaling Ratio $R_{21}$

$$R_{21}(N,Z) = \frac{Y_2(N,Z)}{Y_1(N,Z)} = C \exp(\alpha N + \beta Z)$$

M.B. Tsang. at al PHYSICAL REVIEW C, VOLUME 64, 054615

- Grand-canonical ensemble
- Chemical potential  $\alpha = \Delta \mu_n / T$ ,  $\beta = \frac{\Delta \mu_p}{T}$
- Chemical Temperature similar in both reactions



•  $R_{21}(p) \approx R_{21}(^{3}He), R_{21}(d) \approx R_{21}(^{4}He)$  suggests  $|\alpha| \approx |\beta|$ .

- Can be used to construct pseudo-neutron spectrum  $Y(n^*) = Y(p) \cdot Y(t) / Y(3He)$
- Sensitive to the in-medium cross section  $\sigma_{NN}$  in transport model

# AMD : Model Detail

- Reaction systems
  - <sup>40</sup>Ca + <sup>58</sup>Ni @ 140 MeV/A
  - <sup>48</sup>Ca + <sup>64</sup>Ni @ 140 MeV/A
- Default AMD sec. decay
  - Dynamic part ends at 300 fm/c
  - 10 decays / prim. event
- Cluster correlation included
- Sensitivity
  - Effective mass : SkM\*, SLy4
  - Symmetry energy : SLy4 modified SLy4 (L=108MeV)
  - In-medium cross-section  $\sigma_{\!NN}$ : screened and free

# AMD : Model Detail

- Reaction systems
  - <sup>40</sup>Ca + <sup>58</sup>Ni @ 140 MeV/A
  - <sup>48</sup>Ca + <sup>64</sup>Ni @ 140 MeV/A
- Default AMD sec. decay
  - Dynamic part ends at 300 fm/c
  - 10 decays / prim. event
- Cluster correlation included
- Sensitivity
  - Effective mass : SkM\*, SLy4
  - Symmetry energy : SLy4 modified SLy4 (L=108MeV)
  - In-medium cross-section  $\sigma_{NN}$ : screened and free



# AMD : Model Detail

- Reaction systems
  - <sup>40</sup>Ca + <sup>58</sup>Ni @ 140 MeV/A
  - <sup>48</sup>Ca + <sup>64</sup>Ni @ 140 MeV/A
- Default AMD sec. decay
  - Dynamic part ends at 300 fm/c
  - 10 decays / prim. event
- Cluster correlation included
- Sensitivity
  - Effective mass : SkM\*, SLy4
  - Symmetry energy : SLy4 modified SLy4 (L=108MeV)
  - In-medium cross-section  $\sigma_{NN}$  : screened and free



# In-medium NN cross section $\sigma_{NN}$

- Probability of NN interaction
- reduced  $\sigma_{NN}$  in HIC (collective flow, stopping)
- reduction factor not well-constrained
- essential to reducing EoS uncertainties





$$\sigma_{NN}^{screened} = anh(\sigma_{NN}^{free} \, / \, \sigma_0)$$
 ,  $\sigma_0 = y \rho^{-2/3}$ 

- More reduction at large densities
- Isospin-dependence ignored
- Screened AMD we use y = 0.85

# A quick look at the effect of $\sigma_{NN}$ on n, p production in pure AMD $4^{0}Ca + 5^{8}Ni @ 140 MeV/A$



- Central events with mid-rapidity cut
- Neutron, proton yield reduced due to screened
- More yield in mid-rapidity region (interaction zone)
- More yield in low Pt



Next, we need to have a fair comparison with data, i.e. select AMD events with the same centrality as in data.

# Fair comparison with Data

- Ideally, select AMD events with the same method as in data.
- Event selection in experiment
  - charge-particle multiplicity  $N_C$  measured by  $\sim 4\pi~{\rm detector}$
  - Assume b decreases with  $N_C$  montonically
  - Ignore fluctuation in  $N_C$  for each b

$$\hat{b}(x) = \frac{b(x)}{b_{max}} = \frac{\sqrt{P(N_C \ge x)}}{\sqrt{P(N_C \ge N_{C,min})}}$$

- $b_{max}$  : Estimated from beam intensity
- $P(N_C)$  : measured multiplicity distribution



S., Sweany. Constraining the proton/neutron effective mass splitting through heavy ion collision, Ph.D. thesis, MSU (2020).

## Event selection in AMD

- Count charge-particle multiplicity with experimental filter
  - angular coverage
  - E<sub>lab</sub> threshold (Sn, Pb foil)
  - single hit per crystal per event



Remove events which are invisible in experiment



Target



#### Event selection in AMD

- Count charge-particle multiplicity with experimental filter
  - angular coverage
  - E<sub>lab</sub> threshold (Sn, Pb foil)
  - single hit per crystal per event



$$\hat{b}(x) = \frac{b(x)}{b_{max}} = \frac{\sqrt{P(N_C \ge x)}}{\sqrt{P(N_C \ge N_{C,min})}}$$

- In model, fluctuation of b for each  $N_C$
- Use  $N_C^{AMD}$  to determine b, comparable to data

2D histogram : true distribution of b against  $N_C^{AMD}$ Black pts : estimated b from  $N_C^{EXP}$ Green line : estimated b from  $N_C^{AMD}$ 

#### Event selection in AMD

- Count charge-particle multiplicity with experimental filter
  - angular coverage
  - E<sub>lab</sub> threshold (Sn, Pb foil)
  - single hit per crystal per event



$$\hat{b}(x) = \frac{b(x)}{b_{max}} = \frac{\sqrt{P(N_C \ge x)}}{\sqrt{P(N_C \ge N_{C,min})}}$$

- In model, fluctuation of b for each  $N_C$
- Use  $N_C^{AMD}$  to determine b, comparable to data
- Experimental cut equivalent to  $N_C^{AMD} \ge 10$  in model

2D histogram : true distribution of b against  $N_C^{AMD}$ Black pts : estimated b from  $N_C^{EXP}$ Green line : estimated b from  $N_C^{AMD}$ 

# Effect of centrality cut in AMD : $p_T$ spectra

- A. Select events with b < 3 fm
- B. Select events with  $N_C \ge 10$



2D histogram : true distribution of b against  $N_C^{AMD}$ Black pts : estimated b from  $N_C^{EXP}$ Green line : estimated b from  $N_C^{AMD}$  <sup>48</sup>*Ca* + 64*Ni* @140*MeV*/*A* 



- Similar in proton  $p_T$  spectra
  - Spectrum with  $N_C \ge 10$  is smaller at high  $p_T$
  - Smaller available energy from collision in  $N_C \ge 10$
- More at low  $p_T$ , could be phase-space effect.

# Effect of centrality cut in AMD : $p_T$ spectra

- A. Select events with b < 3 fm
- B. Select events with  $N_C \ge 10$



2D histogram : true distribution of b against  $N_C^{AMD}$ Black pts : estimated b from  $N_C^{EXP}$ Green line : estimated b from  $N_C^{AMD}$ 

<sup>48</sup>*Ca* + 64*Ni* @140*MeV*/*A* 



- Opposite trend in Helium spectra
- Spectra with  $N_C \ge 10$  is larger at high  $p_T$

### Effect of centrality cut in AMD : t / 3He ratio



Diamonds : b < 3fm Circles :  $N_C \ge 10$  • About 20 % difference in proton-rich reaction

#### Effect of centrality cut in AMD t / 3He ratio



Diamonds : b < 3fm Circles :  $N_C \ge 10$ 

- About 20 % difference in proton-rich reaction
- About 12 % difference in proton-rich reaction

# Effect of centrality cut in AMD : $R_{21}$



- Effect of different centrality cuts roughly cancels out in  $R_{21}$
- The effect is also roughly cancels out in double ratio (not shown)
- Ready to compare AMD to experimental data

Diamonds : b < 3fm Circles :  $N_C \ge 10$ 

#### Compare to experimental data



- Calculation with  $\sigma_{NN}^{free}$  agrees better with experimental  $p_T$  spectra
- Use of increased  $\sigma_{NN}$  in recent experiment Sn + Sn @ 270MeV/A

Physics Letters B 822 (2021) 136681 J.W. Lee Eur. Phys. J. A 58 201 (2022)

#### Similar trend in Helium $p_T$ spectra



 $^{3}\mathrm{He}$ 

400

400

 $^{3}\mathrm{He}$ 

 $^{4}\mathrm{He}$ 



• Iso-scaling is observed in AMD with both  $\sigma_{NN}^{free}$  and  $\sigma_{NN}^{screened}$ 

Points : Data Dotted Lines : AMD Shades : fit to isoscaling law



Points : Data Dotted Lines : AMD Shades : fit to isoscaling law

- Iso-scaling is observed in AMD with both  $\sigma_{NN}^{free}$  and  $\sigma_{NN}^{screened}$
- reduced  $\sigma_{NN}$ :  $R_{21}$ (<sup>3</sup>He) is significantly larger than 1.
- free  $\sigma_{NN}$ :  $R_{21}(p, {}^{3}\text{He})$  are below 1 which implies p-rich reaction produces more protons,  ${}^{3}\text{He}$ , as it should be.
- extracted chemical potential are in better agreement

## Summary and outlook

- To correctly compare model to data, events should be selected in the same way as in experiment.
- Simple cut on b affects single ratios in the same reaction but not much in  $R_{21}$  or double ratio.
- Isoscaling observed in AMD with both  $\sigma_{NN}^{free}$  and  $\sigma_{NN}^{screened}$
- $p_T$  spectra and  $R_{21}$  calculated in AMD with free  $\sigma_{NN}$  are in a better agreement with experimental data at  $E_{beam}$ = 140 MeV/A compared to using reduced  $\sigma_{NN}$ .

# Backup : effect of $\sigma_{NN}$ over skyrme : proton spectra



Backup : AMD Detail



#### Backup : screening effect with different y



# Backup : free $\sigma_{np} > \sigma_{nn}$ , $\sigma_{pp}$ at all densities



Phys. Rev. C 48, 1702 1993 Phys. Rev. C 49, 1994

# Back up : experimental result for 56 MeV/A





#### Backup : Effect of centrality cut on Y(t) / Y(3He)



#### Backup : effect of $\sigma_{NN}$ on spectra of d in d, t, <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>4</sup>He



 $p_T/A \; [{\rm MeV/c}]$ 

Backup : Result at  $E_{beam}$  =56 MeV/A



 $p_T/A \; [{\rm MeV/c}]$ 

