#### Open issues in numerical modeling of core-collapse supernova

Hiroki Nagakura (National Astronomical Observatory of Japan)

Key collaborators: David Vartanyan, Ryuichiro Akaho, and Masamichi Zaizen



物理学会誌(第1号 2023年)

190	Proc. Jpn. Acad., Ser. B <b>100</b> (2024)	[Vol. 100,
	Review	
	Physical mechanism of core-collapse supernovae that neutrinos driv	7 <b>e</b>
	By Shoichi YAMADA, <sup>*1,*2,†</sup> <sup>©</sup> Hiroki NAGAKURA, <sup>*3</sup> <sup>©</sup> Ryuichiro AKAHO, <sup>*1</sup> <sup>©</sup> Akira HARADA, <sup>*</sup> Shun FURUSAWA, <sup>*5</sup> <sup>©</sup> Wakana IWAKAMI, <sup>*1</sup> <sup>©</sup> Hirotada OKAWA, <sup>*6</sup> <sup>©</sup> Hideo MATSUFURU <sup>*7</sup> <sup>©</sup> and Kohsuke SUMIYOSHI <sup>*8</sup> <sup>©</sup>	4 🝺
	(Edited by Katsuhiko SATO, M.J.A.)	

Abstract: The current understanding of the mechanism of core-collapse supernovae (CCSNe), one of the most energetic events in the universe associated with the death of massive stars and the main formation channel of compact objects such as neutron stars and black holes, is reviewed for broad readers from different disciplines of science who may not be familiar with the object. Therefore, we emphasize the physical aspects than the results of individual model simulations, although large-scale high-fidelity simulations have played the most important roles in the progress we have witnessed in the past few decades. It is now believed that neutrinos are the most important agent in producing the commonest type of CCSNe. The so-called neutrino-heating mechanism will be the focus of this review and its crucial ingredients in micro- and macrophysics and in numerics will be explained one by one. We will also try to elucidate the remaining issues.

Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Ser. B, Physical and Biological Sciences

"Theories of Astrophysical Big Bangs 2025" at Riken, Wako, Saitama, Japan: Feb. 17-19, 2025

#### = 0.010 s

#### CCSN explosions can be reproduced in numerical simulations

Nagakura et al. 2019

See also: Takiwaki's talk for a general review Wang's talk for more recent results Boccioli's poster for systematic studies



## Comparison between theory (CCSN simulations) and observations

Explosion energy:



Burrows and Vartanyan 2021 <sub>3</sub>

#### Gravitational waves:



 $\rightarrow$  See Sotani's talk: PNS asteroseismology for CCSN GWs.

## Neutrino signal:



Nagakura et al. 2021

 Explosion models have low neutrino luminosity than those with non-explosions
 (due to less accretion components)

2. The average energy of electrotype neutrinos and their antipartners are lower in 3D than 1D.

 3. Neutrino luminosity of heavyleptonic neutrinos are higher in 3D than 1D.
 (due to PNS convection)

See also Suwa's talk for neutrino signal in the late phase Nakanishi's poster for neutrino detection

## Correlation between TONE (E $_{\nu}$ ) and N $_{cum}$ in neutrino detector

Elavor integrated emitted neutrino energy

Nagakura et al. 2021



6

## Constraining neutrino oscillation in CCSNe by joint analysis ofGW and neutrino signalNagakura and Vartanyan 2024





#### Constraining neutrino oscillation in CCSNe by joint analysis of GW and neutrino signal Nagakura and Vartanyan 2024





## General relativistic full Boltzmann neutrino transport

$$p^{\mu}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \frac{dp^{i}}{d\tau}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{i}} = \left(\frac{\delta f}{\delta\tau}\right)_{col},$$

(Time evolution + Advection Term)

(Collision Term)

#### 6 dimensional Phase Space



$$dN = f(t, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{x})d^3pd^3x$$

#### Conservative form of GR Boltzmann eq.

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial (\sqrt{-g}\nu^{-1}p^{\alpha}f)}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \bigg|_{q_{(i)}} + \frac{1}{\nu^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(-\nu f p^{\alpha}p_{\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}e^{\beta}_{(0)}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sin \bar{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}} \left(\nu^{-2}\sin \bar{\theta}f \sum_{j=1}^{3} p^{\alpha}p_{\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}e^{\beta}_{(j)} \frac{\partial \ell_{(j)}}{\partial \bar{\theta}}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\sin^{2}\bar{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\varphi}} \left(\nu^{-2}f \sum_{j=2}^{3} p^{\alpha}p_{\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}e^{\beta}_{(j)} \frac{\partial \ell_{(j)}}{\partial \bar{\varphi}}\right) = S_{\mathrm{rad}}, \end{split}$$

Shibata and HN et al. 2014 (See also Cardall et  $a_{10}^2$ 2013)

Nagakura et al. 2014, 2017, 2019

Akaho et al. 2021

## - 3D CCSN simulations with full Boltzmann neutrino transport

Iwakami et al. 2020, 2021



## ✔ GR simulations with full Boltzmann neutrino transport



12

## Quantum Kinetics neutrino transport:

 $\int_{f}^{(-)}$ 

Vlasenko et al. 2014, Volpe 2015, Blaschke et al. 2016, Richers et al. 2019

#### Neutrino oscillation induced by self-interactions Pantalone 1992



1. Refractions by self-interactions induce neutrino flavor conversions, which is analogy to matter effects (e.g., MSW resonance).

2. The oscillation timescale is much shorter than the global scale of CCSN/BNSM.

3. Collective neutrino oscillation induced by neutrino-self interactions commonly occurs in CCSNe and BNSM environments.

## Rich flavor-conversion phenomena driven by neutrino-neutrino self-interactions

- Slow-mode (Duan et al. 2010)
  - Energy-dependent flavor conversion occurs.
  - The frequency of the flavor conversion is proportional to  $\sqrt{\omega\mu}$

#### - Fast-mode (FFC) (Sawyer 2005)

- Collective neutrino oscillation in the limit of  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ .
- The frequency of the flavor conversion is proportional to  $~\mu$
- Anisotropy of neutrino angular distributions drives the fast flavor-conversion.

#### - Collisional instability (Johns 2021)

• Asymmetries of matter interactions between neutrinos and anti-neutrinos drive flavor conversion.  $\Gamma = \overline{\Gamma} = \mu S$   $\Gamma = \overline{\Gamma}$ 

$$\operatorname{Im} \boxtimes \stackrel{\mu}{=} \frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{\mu}{(\mu D)^2 + 4! \, \mu S} - \frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{\mu}{2}$$

Γ: Matter-interaction rate

 $|\lambda + \mu| \sim |\omega|$ 

- Matter-neutrino resonance (Malkus et al. 2012)
  - The resonance potentially occur in BNSM/Collapsar environment (but not in CCSN).
  - Essentially the same mechanism as MSW resonance.

# Vacuum: $\omega = \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E_{\nu}},$ Matter: $\lambda = \sqrt{2}G_F n_e,$ Self-int: $\mu = \sqrt{2}G_F n_{\nu},$

## FFC occurs in both CCSN and BNSM

#### Core-collapse supernova

Binary neutron star merger



## Collisional instability also occurs in both CCSN and BNSM

#### Core-collapse supernova



Akaho et al. 2023

## - Global Simulations of FFC (in CCSN) Nagakura PRL 2023



#### Numerical setup:

Collision terms are switched on.

Fluid-profiles are taken from a CCSN simulation.

General relativistic effects are taken into account.

A wide spatial region is covered.

Three-flavor framework

Neutrino-cooling is enhanced by  $\sim 30\%$ Neutrino-heating is suppressed by  $\sim 40\%$ 



## - Global Simulations of FFC (in CCSN) Nagakura PRL 2023

#### Average energy

#### Energy flux



## - Global Simulations of FFC (in CCSN) Nagakura PRL 2023

#### Neutrino angular distributions



#### - FFC can change explosive nucleosynthesis in CCSN ejecta Fujimoto and Nagakura 2023



45

## - Neutron star kick powered by neutrino flavor conversions

#### Nagakura and Sumiyoshi 2024



## - Global simulations of FFC (in binary neutron star merger)

Colliding-beam model

Zaizen and Nagakura 2024



Appearance of <u>flavor swap and EXZS</u>:



Neutrino flavor swap are inevitable.

## - Analytic scheme to determine asymptotic states of FFC

#### Zaizen and Nagakura 2022







#### Conservation law of neutrinos + Stability condition (disappearance of ELN-XLN crossings)

## - BGK Subgrid model Nagakura et al. 2024

 $\overline{d\tau} \ \overline{\partial p^i}$ 

 $\overline{\partial} x^{\mu}$ 

$$p^{\mu}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \frac{dp^{i}}{d\tau}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{i}} = -p^{\mu}u_{\mu}S + ip^{\mu}n_{\mu}[H, f] \quad : \text{Full QKE}$$

$$p^{\mu}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \frac{dp^{i}}{d\tau}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p^{i}} = -p^{\mu}u_{\mu}S + p^{\mu}n_{\mu}\frac{1}{\tau_{a}}(f - f^{a}) \quad : \text{Relaxation}$$

 $u_{\mu}O$ 

: Relaxation-time approximation

#### Radial-angular distributions for survival probability of electron-type neutrinos



## Summary:

- Remarkable progress on numerical modeling of CCSN have been made during the last decade.
- V Observable signals can be discussed with realistic theoretical models.
- V However, there are still many uncertainties in input physics. Neutrino quantum kinetics is the greatest one in the current CCSN theory.
- These uncertainties should not be overlooked, as they may be a gamechanging ingredient.
- ✔ BGK subgrid model can offer a way to incorporate effects of flavor conversions into classical CCSN simulations.