# DAQ & GAGG Development (Pulse Shape Analysis)

Takayuki YANO (Kyoto University)



TOGAXSI Roadmap Workshop (Feb. 10, 2025)

## Contents

- DAQ
  - Development of TOGAXSI DAQ
  - Upgrade of SAMURAI DAQ
- The new PID method by pulse shape analysis

### **Development of TOGAXSI DAQ**

- TOGAXSI consists of Si strip detectors (SSD) & GAGG(Ce) calorimeters.
- DAQ for the SSDs has been already developed and used in some experiments.
- DAQ for the GAGG(Ce) array is currently under development, but it will be finished soon.

GAGG(Ce)  $(35 \times 35 \times 120 \text{ mm}^3)$ 

Si strip detector (100 µmt, 100µm pitch)





### **TOGAXSI GAGG DAQ**

- CAEN VX2740B waveform digitizer with DPP\_PHA firmware and Babirl based DAQ software are used.
- 3 digitizers will be used for TOGAXSI demonstrator.
- Basic functions were already tested and the test using beam will be performed in SAMURAI DAQ MS.





### **Upgrade of SAMURAI DAQ Higher Trigger Rate**

- Acceptable trigger rate of the current SAMURAI DAQ is limited mainly because AMSC AMT-TDC, which are used for DCs are slow (~300 µs).
- To accept higher trigger rate, we will install FIT & MPV (developed by Baba-san).
- The readout time will be improved. (t~300µs → t~15µs)



AMSC AMT TDC 77% for 1 kHz trigger



FIT + MPV87% for 10 kHz trigger



# **Upgrade of SAMURAI DAQ**

- **Delayed Gating Operation of QDC**
- TOGAXSI triggers are slow because generated by GAGG(Ce) calorimeters.
- The current SAMURAI DAQ cannot wait the slow trigger (> 500 ns) because of QDC.
- We plan to utilize the delayed gating operation of Mesytec MQDC to accept the slow trigger.



## PID by Pulse Shape Analysis of GAGG(Ce)

- Particle identification (PID) is important for (*p*,*pX*) experiment.
- Si strip detectors which used for TOGAXSI are so thin that  $\Delta E$  in Si detectors is small.

→ PID by  $\Delta E$ -*E* is difficult at high energy region.

PID by Pulse Shape Analysis with GAGG(Ce)

### GAGG(Ce) $(35 \times 35 \times 120 \text{ mm}^3)$

Si strip detector (100 µmt, 100µm pitch)







### Grand Raiden

### **Analysis & Results Pulse Shape Analysis**

• Compare the pulse shapes of *p* & *d* of the same energy.

Component	Quenching Effect
Fast	Large
Slow	Small

→ Pulse shapes depend on dE/dx.

- Reduced the pulse shapes to Q & H.
  - *Q* : Integrated total charge
  - *H* : Pulse height



### Analysis & Results PID with *Q/H* vs *Q*

- PID parameters:
  - Q = Light output
  - $Q/H \sim$  Decay time
- The loci are clearly separated in the *Q/H* vs *Q* correlation !!
- Response function depending on *AZ*<sup>2</sup> was successfully deduced.
- $2\sigma$  separation between p & d was achieved at  $E_p > 30$  MeV.



### Analysis & Results PID with *Q/H* vs *Q*

- PID parameters:
  - Q = Light output
  - $Q/H \sim$  Decay time
- The loci are clearly separated in the *Q/H* vs *Q* correlation !!
- Response function depending on *AZ*<sup>2</sup> was successfully deduced.
- $2\sigma$  separation between p & d was achieved at  $E_p > 30$  MeV.



### **Recent Developments** Implementation of PID with *Q/H* vs *Q* in FPGA

- This new PID method is implemented in the firmware of MIRA (125 MS/s waveform digitizer). In this firmware, the waveform is processed by the FPGA and only the charge (*Q*) and pulse height (*H*) are recorded.
- MIRA with this new firmware was employed in the TRIP MESA experiment (Nov. 2024) and it looked working well.



