dphi(truth - reco) vs pT

dphi(truth - reco) vs pT

truth_phi: electron hit on emcal surface phi reco_phi: cluster inner face center phi

2



For the distributions without any corrections applied, we studied the dphi performance in different pt range.

ps: There are many noisy towers in the EMCal, which take up a lot of storage. If we need to process a large number of events, we should apply an energy threshold when generating the pico DST; otherwise, we cannot be able to store many events.



dphi(truth - reco) vs pT



ML4Reco

Jingyu

Event

1M events simulation Based on Fun4all on sPHENIX

INPUTGENERATOR::SimpleEventGenerator[0] -> add_particles("e-", 1);

INPUTGENERATOR::SimpleEventGenerator[0] -> set_vtx(0, 0, 0);

INPUTGENERATOR::SimpleEventGenerator[0] -> set_pt_range(0, 10); // GeV

INPUTGENERATOR::SimpleEventGenerator[0] -> set_eta_range(-1.1, 1.1);

INPUTGENERATOR::SimpleEventGenerator[0] -> set_phi_range(-M_PI, M_PI);

0.5M for train and test, other 0.5M for showing performance

The data be used on showing performance is not same as train and test, no overfit in performance shown

Dataset

trk_feat: 5 hits position 5*3 inner/outer INTT only 1 clus Select Closest Points of MVTX

calo_feat:

Calo cluster reco with inner face center, Calo cluster reco with volume center, 9 towers that have max deposited energy (padding and cutting) DATASETS:

X: Feat_select Y: Truth_Pt

```
# data scaler
if scaler is None:
    scaler = StandardScaler()
    data_X = scaler.fit_transform(data_X)
else:
    data_X = scaler.transform(data_X)
```


[1/ΔΦ]

[INTT_R,Z + calo_R,Z,E]

Model

In the training from angle to pt, in addition to using resolution as the loss, some additional penalty mechanism are also needed.

Therefore, a simple approach is to train separately first, and then combine the results.

Train_model1 - [INTT R,Z + calo R,Z,E]

Hyper parameters:

• batch size=1024, epochs=200, lr=5e-5, val ratio=0.3

Loss function:

Relative resolution,

1e-6 to prevent division by zero. • pt reso = (yb - pred) / (yb + 1e-6)

- weights = (pt_reso.abs() < 0.2).float() * 2.0 + 1.0 reduce the influence of outlier data points
- loss = ((pt reso) ** 2 * weights).mean() Use squared values instead of absolute values to make the peak position closer to zero.
- if val loss < best val loss: Saved best model

model visualization

Train_model2 - $[1/\Delta \Phi]$

epochs=500.

- Loss function:
- pt_reso = (yb pred) / (yb + 1e-6)
- weights = (pt_reso.abs() < 0.2).float() * 2.0 + 1.0
- main_loss = ((pt_reso) ** 2 * weights).mean()
- monotonic_loss
 requires pt to decrease as the angle increases;
 - lambda_mono = 0.3 **otherwise, oscillations may occur.**
- boundary_loss
 - [0.5, 1, 2, 10, 15, 25, 50, 100, 200] ->[0.0961, 0.1922, 0.3844, 1.922, 2.883, 4.805, 9.61, 19.22, 38.44] :

17.5 15.0 (x) 12.5 10.0

5.0

2.5

- Iambda_boundary = min(0.005 * epoch, 0.2)
- loss = main_loss
- + lambda_mono * monotonic_loss
- + lambda_boundary * boundary_loss

Add boundary conditions outside the data range to ensure that the pt estimate is sufficiently elevated at small angles.

Dynamic weighting to prevent affecting data learning in the early stages.

Model1 - [INTT_R,Z + calo_R,Z,E]

12

Train_combined model 1&2

X: [dphi_i, pt_pred1_i, calo_edep_i, pt_pred2_i] + pt_bin_onehot Y: Truth Pt pt_est = 0.5 * (pt_dphi +

MLP: 3hidden layer, hidden_dim=128

epochs=300

Loss:

```
pred = model(xb)
    pt_reso = (yb - pred) / (yb)
    weights = (pt_reso.abs() < 0.2).float() * 2.0 + 1.0
    loss = ((pt_reso) ** 2 * weights).mean()</pre>
```

pt_est = 0.5 * (pt_dphi + pt_energy)
embed
pt_bin_edges = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]
pt_bin_onehot = [0] * 10

Performance

Post-correction

```
if reco_pt >= 8.8:
correction_factor = 0.02 + 0.08 * (reco_pt - 8.8)
pred_np[i] = reco_pt * (1.0 + correction_factor)
```


Better results: Better efficiency, Better bias, Better resolution

Summary

- Better efficiency: Only i-o INTT and calo have hits, with cluster deposited energy greater than 0.5 GeV.
- Better bias: The mean value and Gaussian peak are closer to zero compared to other reconstruction methods.
- Better resolution: The distribution is more symmetric, with the smallest width and the smallest standard deviation.
- The workflow, code, model configuration, hyper parameters, and post-corrections still need to be carefully checked.

Discuss on 0620

- reco and analysis on a fix R?
- a better function to require model2
- consider charge hadron into our study

Get $\Delta \phi$ from a fix R

inner face:

(cm)

С

112

104

102

100

-150

114

112

110

108

106 104

102

100

-150

r

-150

-100

-50

0

Due to the slant and sawtooth arrangement of the EMCal towers, both the recorded hit positions and the reconstructed cluster positions are not located at a fixed radius.

18

50

100

150 Z (cm)

volume center:

50

100

h2 zr tower

Entries 2815882

Mean x -0.2763

Std Dev x 80.37

Std Dev y 0.6855

150

522966

-0.2304

104.8

Z (cm)

h2 zr clust

Std Dev x 80.35

Std Dev v 0.8005

150

Z (cm)

Entries

Mean x

Mean v

100

104.9

Mean v

Genki: pt function of angel have eta dependence

[0.5, 1, 2, 10, 15, 25, 50, 100, 200] -> [0.0961, 0.1922, 0.3844, 1.922, 2.883, 4.805, 9.61, 19.22, 38.44]

Former: $pT = 0.1922 / \Delta \phi$ Now: Maybe we need a new function consider the eta dependence and for a fix R

From the discussion on Mattermost:

we can see the dependence of pT on $\Delta \phi$, as well as its η dependence. If there is a better function that describes pT as a function of both $\Delta \phi$ and η , I could incorporate more accurate boundary conditions, which might lead to improved results.

19

consider pion(charge hadron) into model

• Because the RCF has been quite busy recently, the jobs are running very slowly, so I still have no charge hadron simulation data.

• Jingyu

Truth particle to svtxtrack and project to fix radius

you can access the code from my *Fun4All_physiTuto.C, TruthToSvtxTrack* and *tutorial class* on /sphenix/user/jzhang1/INTT-EMCAL/InttSeedingTrackDev/ParticleGen

• From Primary particle momentum to def a track (TruthToSvtxTrack I create)

TruthToSvtxTrack *t2t = new TruthToSvtxTrack(); se->registerSubsystem(t2t);

- code on /sphenix/user/jzhang1/INTT-EMCAL/InttSeedingTrackDev/ParticleGen/physiTuto
- Using PHActsTrackProjection project track to a fix radius (PHActsTrackProjection)

```
auto truthProjection = new PHActsTrackProjection("TruthTrackProjection");
bool doEMcalRadiusCorr = true; // Set to true to apply the radius correction
float new_cemc_rad = 99;
if (doEMcalRadiusCorr)
{
    truthProjection->setLayerRadius(SvtxTrack::CEMC, new_cemc_rad);
}
se->registerSubsystem(truthProjection);
```

• Get the track state on the radius (prepareTruthTrack on tutorial class)

```
thisState = truth_track->get_state(99);
double em_x = thisState->get_x();
double em_y = thisState->get_y();
double em_r = sqrt(em_x*em_x + em_y*em_y);
double em_phi = thisState->get_phi();
double em_z = thisState->get_z();
```

Performance on 1GeV - not good

Projection position - Primary first G4hit on CEMC(truth)

PHActsTrackProjection: Magnetic field setting is const strength(1.4T)

Performance on 5GeV - not good

Projection position - Primary first G4hit on CEMC(truth)

PHActsTrackProjection: Magnetic field setting is const strength(1.4T)

pt - $\Delta \Phi$

Project G4hit to fix radius and reco the center

The geometry relationship

 $\Delta \Phi = \Phi 1 + \Phi 2$ $\Phi 1$ is constant with radius larger $\Phi 2$ will smaller with radius larger

Plan

- Continue to study the position reco and learn a better model
- Compare and plot the various method reco performance
 - x-axis is truth pt, y-axis is pt resolution, diff. line to plot each method
- Consider the charge hadron reconstruct to apply for event data

We have a 6% resolution for electron reconstruction in the 0–10 GeV range(we can improve the range to higher pt). we need a not-too-bad reco of charged hadrons, to perform eid. Pick out and reco electrons from charged tracks with 6%(will be better) resolution.

Try some simple electron-related reconstructions (such as photon conversions, K mesons, etc.) to prove that our work enables the data without TPC can be used to some physical analysis.

Thanks