



# Recent results from single-particle spectroscopy using the (d,p) transfer reaction

D. Beaumel, IPN Orsay / RIKEN Nishina center

- Shell evolution at N ~ 40 through <sup>68</sup>Ni(d,p)
- Properties of the Spin-orbit interaction from <sup>34</sup>Si(d,p) study



From M. Moukaddam

### **Evolution of Harmonic Oscillator Shell Closures**



 reduction of the gap when Z decreases
 quasi-degeneracy of a j,j-2 sequence above the fermi surface

Similar situation for N=40



(and also at N=8)



## The Nickel isotopes



#### For <sup>68</sup>Ni :

Doubly magic character of E(2+)/B(E2)

> No sign of shell closure in neutron separation energy

# Southwest of Nickel's



#### Large valence space SM calculations

S.M. Lenzi, F.Nowacki, A. Poves, and K. Sieja, PRC 82 (2010) LPNS interaction

fp shell +  $1g_{9/2}$  +  $2d_{5/2}$ 

| Nucleus          | $vg_{9/2}$ | vd <sub>5/2</sub> | 0p0h | 2p2h | 4p4h | 6p6h | <b>E</b> <sub>corr</sub> |
|------------------|------------|-------------------|------|------|------|------|--------------------------|
| <sup>68</sup> Ni | 0.98       | 0.10              | 55.5 | 35.5 | 8.5  | 0.5  | -9.03                    |
| <sup>66</sup> Fe | 3.17       | 0.46              | 1    | 19   | 72   | 8    | -23.96                   |
| <sup>64</sup> Cr | 3.41       | 0.76              | 0    | 9    | 73   | 18   | -24.83                   |
| <sup>62</sup> Ti | 3.17       | 1.09              | 1    | 14   | 63   | 22   | -19.62                   |
| <sup>60</sup> Ca | 2.55       | 1.52              | 1    | 18   | 59   | 22   | -12.09                   |

Drastic change with only 2 protons removed
 Strong gain in correlation energy

similar to <sup>34</sup>Si / <sup>32</sup>Mg New island of inversion

2d<sub>5/2</sub> plays a major role in the deformation mechanism at N = 40 *Caurier et al. EPJ, A, 15, 2002, 145* 

## Our approach : the <sup>68</sup>Ni(d,p) reaction



- Previous experiments:
- Isomer-state decay (Grzywacz et al., PRL 81 (1998))
- β-decay
   (Mueller et al., PRL83 (1999))
   2d<sub>5/2</sub> (5/2+) was not observed





### Collaboration

<u>M. Moukaddam, G. Duchêne</u>, D. Curien, F. Didierjean, Ch. Finck, A. Goasduff, F. Haas, F. Nowacki, J. Piot, K. Sieja IPHC - Strasbourg, France

<u>D. Beaumel</u>, N. de Séréville, S. Franchoo, S. Giron, J. Guillot, F. Hammache, Y. Matea, A. Matta, L. Perrot, E. Pllumbi, J. A. Scarpaci, I. Stefan IPN - Orsay, France

J. Burgunder, L. Caceres, E. Clement, B. Fernandez, S. Grevy, J. Pancin, R. Raabe, O. Sorlin, C. Stoedel, J.C. Thomas GANIL - Caen, France

F. Flavigny, A. Gillibert, V. Lapoux, L. Nalpas, A. Obertelli SPhN - Saclay, France

*M. N. Harakeh* GSI - Darmstadt, Germany

*J. Gibelin* LPC - Caen, France

*K. Kemper* Florida State University, USA

# Experimental setup





## Kinematical plots and E\* spectrum



# Excitation energy spectrum



| 2 hound states                           |  |
|--|--|
| • 5 Douriu States                        |  |
| • 2 resonances above S                   |  |
| <ul> <li>Background reactions</li> </ul> |  |
| (2 different ways)                       |  |
|  |  |

| Pic<br># | Energy<br>[MeV] | FWHM<br>[MeV] |
|----------|-----------------|---------------|
| G.S      | 0.00            | 1.04          |
| 1        | 2.47            | <u>1.43</u>   |
| 2        | 4.19            | 1.27          |
| 3        | 5.88            | 1.39          |
| 4        | 6.89            | 1.39          |

#### Evidence for a doublet state at E\*~2.5MeV



### **Differential cross-sections**



ZR code DWUCK L = 0,1,2,4

- Weak dependence on the exit channel pot.
- Significant dependence on the entrance pot.

Adiabatic channel (ADWA) provides better agreement



#### Differential cross-sections: 1<sup>st</sup> excited peak



# **Comparison with Shell model calculations**



### **Comparison with Shell model calculations**



# Conclusions

- >  $^{68}Ni(d,p)$  @ 25 MeV suitable for study of (L  $\geq$  2) shell structure of  $^{69}Ni$
- Spin and parity assignement for the G.S. (9/2+) and for the doublet at 2.47 MeV with sizeable spectroscopic factors

| Energy<br>[MeV] | L | Jπ   | SF        |
|-----------------|---|------|-----------|
| 0.00            | 4 | 9/2+ | 0.61±0.15 |
| 2.05            | 2 | 5/2+ | 0.32±0.10 |
| 2.74            | 2 | 5/2+ | 0.44±0.13 |

- Good agreement with Shell Model calculations Validation of the hypothesis postulated by the Strasbourg group on the small energy gap between 1g<sub>9/2</sub> and 2d<sub>5/2</sub> (Caurier et al., EPJA 15, 145 (2002))
- identification of a neutron state at 4.2 MeV and two resonances at ~5.9 and ~6.9 MeV
- <u>Outlook</u>: Data analysis of  $\gamma$ -rays (EXOGAM) for more accurate determination of excitation energies

#### How to probe the properties of the spin-orbit interaction



Density and Isospin dependence of

SO interaction not firmly established

**ρ(r)** V<sub>ℓs</sub>(r) normal mean field

..... central depletion





Probe of the SO density dependence

Optimum experimental candidate : <sup>34</sup>Si

From G.Burgunder

#### How to probe the properties of the spin-orbit interaction



Error From

From G.Burgunder

### Collaboration

<u>G. Burgunder, O. Sorlin</u>, L. Caceres, E. Clement, G. De France, B. Fernandez, S. Grevy, R. Raabe, C. Stoedel, J.C. Thomas (GANIL-Caen)

S. Giron, F. Hammache, N. de Séréville, D. Beaumel, S. Franchoo, J. Guillot, F. Maréchal, A. Matta, Y. Matea, L. Perrot, J. A. Scarpaci, I. Stefan (IPN-Orsay)

> F. Flavigny, A. Gillibert, V. Lapoux, L. Nalpas, A. Obertelli (SPhN Saclay)

G. Duchene, M. Moukaddam (IRES-Strasbourg)

J. Gibelin (LPC-Caen)

# Experimental setup



From G.Burgunder

# *Results for* <sup>34</sup>Si(d,p)<sup>35</sup>Si





### *Comparison* <sup>35</sup>Si vs <sup>37</sup>S

**Experimental single-particle strength distribution (preliminary)** 



> Need include contribution of all fragments

➤ Use all available data for <sup>36</sup>S and SM for <sup>34</sup>Si

#### A change by 25% in the SO splitting is derived between <sup>37</sup>S and <sup>35</sup>Si

- ➢ Not observed between <sup>41</sup>Ca and <sup>37</sup>S
- Being compared with model predictions
   RMF models seem predict bigger change (~70%)